


BUKTI REVIEW JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI

Jurnal : Journal of Housing and the Built Environment (JOHO)
Kode artikel : JOHO-D-21-00297
Judul Artikel : Rural/suburban gentrification in the corridor of Semarang-Bawen, a fast-growing industrial area of Semarang Metropolitan Region, Indonesia.



No	Perihal	Tanggal
1	Bukti permohonan mereview artikel	16 Maret 2022
2	Bukti penugasan mereview dari website JOHO	15 Maret – 18 April 2022
3	Bukti balasan penerimaan mereview artikel	16 Maret 2022
4	Bukti mereview dari website JOHO	18 April 2022
5	Bukti mereview manual	18 April 2022
6	Bukti balasan telah mereview artikel	18 April 2022

Jurnal : Journal Design and Built Environment (JDBE)
Kode artikel : JDBE 12906
Judul Artikel : Defining the Walkability Factors for Improving Urban Environmental Quality and Human Well-Being in Dharmawangsa Street Corridor (Case Study : Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia)



No	Perihal	Tanggal
7	Bukti mereview manual JDBE	30 Mei 2019

Kode artikel : JDBE181066
Judul Artikel : How does street trading activities keeps Chinatown Kuala Lumpur a living cultural enclave?

No	Perihal	Tanggal
8	Bukti mereview manual JDBE	28 November 2019
9	Bukti balasan telah mereview artikel	28 November 2019

Journal of Housing and the Built Environment (JOHO)

1. Bukti permohonan mereview artikel, 16 Maret 2022

Manuscript **JOHO-D-21-00297** for review External Inbox x



Journal of Housing and the Built Environment (JOHO) <em@editorialmanager.com>

Mar 16, 2022, 6:01 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

to me ▼

Dear Dr winandari,

In view of your expertise I would be very grateful if you could review the following manuscript which has been submitted to Journal of Housing and the Built Environment.

Manuscript Number: **JOHO-D-21-00297**

Title: Rural/suburban gentrification in the corridor of Semarang-Bawen, a fast-growing industrial area of Semarang Metropolitan Region, Indonesia

Abstract: This study examines the gentrification phenomenon in the Semarang-Bawen corridor, a fast-growing industrial area of Semarang Metropolitan Region (SMR), Indonesia. The analyses involve observing the spatial change and comparing the gentrification characteristics in two industrial areas: the area in the arterial road in Bergas and the enclave-shaped area in Pringapus. The data contain the results of satellite image analyses, visual observations, and a questionnaire survey. Supervised classification of satellite images and descriptive statistics are the primary tool of analysis. The results show that the gentrification characteristics in both locations are pretty similar. The remote and enclave location of the Pringapus industrial area does not significantly make the gentrification process different from that in other suburban locations. A slight difference lies in the variety of migrants' occupations and the local people's adaptability, which in Bergas that has better accessibility and is more urbanized are a bit higher. However, the migrants and the local people can conveniently live side by side in both locations. This study also concludes that gentrification in suburban areas of metropolitan regions in developing countries, to some extent, can positively affect their development despite the negative impacts that may occur.

In case you accept to review this submission please click on this link:

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/joho/asp?i=121111&i=VF1LWLS7>

If you do not have time to do this, or do not feel qualified, please click on this link:

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/joho/asp?i=121112&i=2VEETITG>

We hope you are willing to review the manuscript. If so, would you be so kind as to return your review to us within 30 days of agreeing to review? If not, could you be so kind as to suggest a colleague who could do the review? Thank you.

You are requested to submit your review online by using the Editorial Manager website.

Your username is: mi.nink@trisakti.ac.id

If you forgot your password, you can click the 'Send Login Details' link on the EM Login page at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/joho/>

IN ORDER TO KEEP DELAYS TO A MINIMUM, PLEASE ACCEPT OR DECLINE THIS ASSIGNMENT ONLINE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. We appreciate your assistance.

With kind regards,

Ali Soltani

Associate Editor

Journal of Housing and the Built Environment

2. Bukti penugasan mereview dari website JOHO, 15 Maret – 18 April 2022

← Completed Reviewer Assignments

Page: 1 of 1 (1 total assignments)

Results per page 10

Action	My Reviewer Number	Manuscript Number	Article Type	Article Title	Final Disposition	Date Reviewer Invited	Date Reviewer Agreed	Date Review Due	Date Review Submitted	Days Taken	Editor's Name
Action Links	2	JOHO-D-21-00297	Article	Rural/suburban gentrification in the corridor of Semarang-Bawen, a fast-growing industrial area of Semarang Metropolitan Region, Indonesia	Transfer	15 Mar 2022	16 Mar 2022	27 Apr 2022	18 Apr 2022	33	

Page: 1 of 1 (1 total assignments)

Results per page 10

3. Bukti balasan penerimaan mereview artikel, 16 Maret 2022

JOHO-D-21-00297 - thank you for agreeing - [EMID:e7e936ee33e7cc6a] External Inbox x

Journal of Housing and the Built Environment (JOHO) <em@editorialmanager.com>

Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 1:25 PM

to me ▼

JOHO-D-21-00297

"Rural/suburban gentrification in the corridor of Semarang-Bawen, a fast-growing industrial area of Semarang Metropolitan Region, Indonesia"

Journal of Housing and the Built Environment

Dear Dr winandari,

Thank you for agreeing to review the above manuscript.

If you would like to view and/or download the submission, please click this link: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/joho/l.asp?i=121162&l=7BOJ5CEE>

If you are ready to submit your comments, you may click this link: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/joho/l.asp?i=121163&l=N5BKKTQM>

Please be aware that this link will expire after 1 click.

You can also submit your review by logging in with your username and password at: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/joho/>

If you have forgotten your username or password please use the "Send Login Details" link to get your login information. For security reasons, your password will be reset.

We look forward receiving your review by 27 Apr 2022.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. We appreciate your assistance.

With kind regards,

Ali Soltani

Associate Editor

Journal of Housing and the Built Environment

4. Bukti mereview dari website JOHO, 18 April 2022

editorialmanager.com/joho/ReviewerComments.aspx?docid=3981&peopleid=8970&rev=0&unBlindReviewer=false

JOHO-D-21-00297

"Rural/suburban gentrification in the corridor of Semarang-Bawen, a fast-growing industrial area of Semarang Metropolitan Region, Indonesia"
Original Submission

maria immaculata ririk winandari, ST, MT (Reviewer 2)

Reviewer Recommendation Term:	Conditionally accept after minor revisions
Transfer Authorization	Response
If this submission is transferred to another publication, do we have your consent to include your identifying information?	Yes
If this submission is transferred to another publication, do we have your consent to include your original review?	Yes
Custom Review Question(s):	Response
Publons Reviewer Recognition. Springer Nature can send verification of this review directly to Publons (a subsidiary of Clarivate Analytics). If you would like to take advantage of this service, please click on the "Yes" option below. Your name, email address, title of the reviewed manuscript, name of the journal, and date of your review submission (the "Review Data") will then be transmitted to Publons after the final decision on the manuscript has been made. If you have already registered at Publons, they will notify you of the receipt of this review and update your profile as per your settings and their policy. If you are not registered with Publons, you will receive an email from them asking you to register in order for them to be able to recognize your review on your new profile page. Publons may use the Review Data to generate derivative metadata for the benefit of Publons and you as a reviewer, carefully considering the sensitivity of such information. For example, Publons may verify your record as a reviewer by updating your profile published on its webservice if you have registered for such service or help editors to identify candidate reviewers. Please find the details of processing in Publons' privacy policy https://publons.com/about/terms	Yes
Comments to Editor:	
Confidential Review for the Journal of Housing and the Built Environment =====	
Kindly state whether or not (choose yes or no): - the objective of the paper is presented clearly no - the paper is relevant yes - the paper contributes significantly to the field of research yes - the structure of the submission is clear no - the structure of the submission is logical yes - the distinction between main and secondary issues is clear no - the methodology is appropriate to the research question yes - the data are appropriate and relevant yes - the interpretation of the findings is justified yes - the subject is of interest to a wide public yes - the literature is up to date and pertinent yes - the title is informative and concise yes - the abstract is informative and to the point no - the tables and/or figures are used effectively yes_some figures - the references are complete and correct (spelling etc.) yes if not, please provide examples - the article is too long no which parts could be shortened or deleted? are there, on the other hand, any parts which could be elaborated?	
Comments to the Editorial Board:	
Please indicate your recommendation regarding publication (mark one): 1. accept _____ 2. conditionally accept after minor revision V _____ 3. encourage resubmission after major revision _____ if necessary, would you be prepared to review the resubmitted manuscript? yes or no 4. reject _____	

Please write your anonymous comments for the author in the text box for comments for authors. Please formulate your comments as constructive criticism.
Thank you very much for your co-operation.

Comments to Author:

Dear Author

This is an interesting paper. You have made good efforts to address the points rose, but haven't got there completely yet. Please find the list of things I feel still need attention to make this publishable:

- * This manuscript needs an explanation in the beginning regarding Semarang's areas that experiencing gentrification related to industrial development.
- * It would still be useful to outline the research questions/hypothesis more clearly at the start of the paper. The research questions still need elucidating more clearly whether to be discussed about architectural style or the selection of housing locations
- * Gentrification indicators regarding architectural style should be explained first in the introduction / references as well as in the discussion, it is necessary to bring up more related to the architectural style.
- * The questions asked in the questioner need to be detailed to clarify the discussion flow.
- * Method needs to be clarified regarding the selection of cases and how to process data & analysis.
- * Discussion of research findings needs to be clarified by discussion between indicators, existing conditions and theories revealed in the literature review.
- * Conclusion should be the strength of research, demonstrating the uniqueness of gentrification in Semarang-Bawen compared to other locations. It is necessary to present a more detailed conclusion which is a research finding that has not been revealed by other studies.

Close

5. Bukti mereview manual, 18 April 2022

**Journal of Housing and the Built Environment (JOHO)
Review**

Title: Rural/suburban gentrification in the corridor of Semarang-Bawen, a fast-growing industrial area of Semarang Metropolitan Region, Indonesia
Manuscript Number: JOHO-D-21-00297

I. Recommended disposition of the manuscript: *check one*.

- ☐ Do not accept for publication.
- ☐ Ask for major revisions and continue with a second review.
- ☒ Accept with minor revisions.
- ☐ Accept as written with no need for any revisions.

II. Additional/Detail comments or suggestions to be sent to the authors:

Dear Author

This is an interesting paper. You have made good efforts to address the points rose, but haven't got there completely yet. Please find the list of things I feel still need attention to make this publishable:

- This manuscript needs an explanation in the beginning regarding Semarang's areas that experiencing gentrification related to industrial development.
- It would still be useful to outline the research questions/hypothesis more clearly at the start of the paper. The research questions still need elucidating more clearly whether to be discussed about architectural style or the selection of housing locations
- Gentrification indicators regarding architectural style should be explained first in the introduction / references as well as in the discussion, it is necessary to bring up more related to the architectural style.
- The questions asked in the questioner need to be detailed to clarify the discussion flow.
- Method needs to be clarified regarding the selection of cases and how to process data & analysis.
- Discussion of research findings needs to be clarified by discussion between indicators, existing conditions and theories revealed in the literature review.
- Conclusion should be the strength of research, demonstrating the uniqueness of gentrification in Semarang-Bawen compared to other locations. It is necessary to present a more detailed conclusion which is a research finding that has not been revealed by other studies.

6. Bukti balasan telah mereview artikel, 18 April 2022

Thank you - let us know how we can improve the reviewing process - [EMID:bf590c03d2dc2715]

External Inbox x



Journal of Housing and the Built Environment (JOHO) <em@editorialmanager.com>

Mon, Apr 18, 2022, 4:24 PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

to me ▾

Dear Dr winandari,

Thank you very much for your review of manuscript

JOHO-D-21-00297, "Rural/suburban gentrification in the corridor of Semarang-Bawen, a fast-growing industrial area of Semarang Metropolitan Region, Indonesia".

We greatly appreciate your assistance.

With kind regards,

Journals Editorial Office

Springer

We really value your feedback! Please spend 1 minute to tell us about your experience of reviewing - click https://springernature.eu.qualtrics.com/fe/form/SV_cNPY5OM4ZC3PKON?j=10901

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/joho/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

↶ Reply

↷ Forward

Journal Design and Built Environment (JDBE)

7. Bukti mereview manual JDBE, 30 Mei 2019

Journal Design and Built Environment Review Form First Review Process

Title: Defining the Walkability Factors for Improving Urban Environmental Quality and Human Well-Being in Dharmawangsa Street Corridor (Case Study : Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia)
Manuscript Number: JDBE181066
Reviewer: Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari

I. Recommended disposition of the manuscript: *check one*.

- ☐ Do not accept for publication.
- ☒ Ask for major revisions and continue with a second review.
- ☐ Accept with minor revisions.
- ☐ Accept as written with no need for any revisions.

II. Additional/Detail comments or suggestions to be sent to the authors:

Dear Author

This is an interesting paper. You have made good efforts to address the points rose, but haven't got there completely yet. Please find the list of things I feel still need attention to make this publishable:

- It would still be useful to outline the research questions/hypothesis more clearly at the start of the paper. The research questions still need elucidating more clearly.
- The questions asked in the interviews need to be detailed to clarify the discussion flow.
- It is necessary to clarify the 'walkability' and 'Dharmawangsa Street Corridor' compare to other focus and location by added the type of visitor's transportation as well as its percentage.
- Method needs to be clarified regarding the selection of respondents and how to process data & analysis.
- The use of the latest literature (5 years) on walkability especially in Indonesia is needed to clarify the uniqueness of the research results.
- It is necessary to add the existing condition of the street corridor. Discussion of research findings needs to be clarified by discussion between indicators, existing conditions and theories revealed in the literature review.
- Conclusion should be the results of discussion between data and theory, not something that arises later without prior discussion. For example: pavement quality should have appeared in the literature review then discussed in more detail in section 3 by discussing existing conditions with previous theories. This should be the strength of research, demonstrating the uniqueness of the walkability character in Soetomo Hospital compared to other locations. It is necessary to present a more detailed conclusion which is a research finding that has not been revealed by other studies.

8. Bukti mereview manual JDBE, 28 November 2019

Journal Design and Built Environment Review Form
First Review Process

Manuscript Title: How does street trading activities keeps Chinatown Kuala Lumpur a living cultural enclave?

Manuscript Number: JDBE 12906

Reviewer: Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari

I. Recommended disposition of the manuscript: *check one.*

Do not accept for publication.

☒ **X** Ask for major revisions and continue with a second review.

☐ Accept with minor revisions.

Accept as written with no need for any revisions.

II. Additional/Detail comments or suggestions to be sent to the authors:

Dear Author

This is an interesting paper. You have made good efforts to address the points rose, but haven't got there completely yet. Please find the list of things I feel still need attention to make this publishable:

- It will be more valuable if this manuscript used minimum 10 references that were published no more than 5 years ago and came from reputable journal to clarify the uniqueness of the research results.
- It would still be useful to explain the research methodology clearly and concisely at the start of the paper (abstract).
- Citing sources that meet the citation format and writing sentences in paragraphs needs to be improved.
- The research questions need to be detailed to clarify the discussion flow.
- Method needs to be clarified regarding the selection of case, respondents and how to process data & analysis.
- Discussion of research findings needs to be clarified by discussion between indicators, existing conditions and theories revealed in the literature review.
- Conclusion should be the results of discussion between data and theory. This should be the strength of research, demonstrating the uniqueness of the trading activities in Kuala Lumpur Chinatown compared to other locations. It is necessary to present a more detailed conclusion which is a research finding that has not been revealed by other studies.

9. Bukti balasan telah mereview artikel, 28 November 2019

