



DENTAL JOURNAL (MAJALAH KEDOKTERAN GIGI)

UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA

* P-ISSN : 19783728 <> E-ISSN : 24429740 Subject Area : Health



0.761905

Impact Factor



4704

Google Citations



Sinta 1

Current Accreditation

[Google Scholar](#) [Garuda](#) [Website](#) [Editor URL](#)

History Accreditation

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

[Garuda](#) [Google Scholar](#)

[Management of bimaxillary protrusion with missing molar using T-loop and couple force](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en>

[Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 \(2023\): March 2023 17-22](#)

2023 [DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p17-22](#) [Accred : Unknown](#)

[Creeping attachment post-gingival recession treatment using a vestibular incision subperiosteal tunneling access technique combined with a connective tissue graft](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en>

[Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 \(2023\): March 2023 13-16](#)

2023 [DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p13-16](#) [Accred : Unknown](#)

[Molecular docking study of Zingiber officinale Roscoe compounds as a mumps virus nucleoprotein inhibitor](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en>

[Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 \(2023\): March 2023 23-29](#)

2023 [DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p23-29](#) [Accred : Unknown](#)

[A case study of informed consent in Indonesian Law Number 29, 2004](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en>

[Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 \(2023\): March 2023 1-6](#)

2023 [DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p1-6](#) [Accred : Unknown](#)

[Interdisciplinary management of Class III malocclusion with cleft lip and palate](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en>

[Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 \(2023\): March 2023 7-12](#)

2023 DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p7-12 Accred : Unknown

[Knowledge of orofacial pain in students of the Dental Professional Program Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en> Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 (2023): March 2023 36-40

2023 DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p36-40 Accred : Unknown

[Chitosan's effects on the acidity, copper ion release, deflection, and surface roughness of copper-nickel-titanium archwire](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en> Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 (2023): March 2023 41-47

2023 DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p41-47 Accred : Unknown

[Prevalence of dental anomalies in pediatric patients at Dental and Oral Hospital of Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en> Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 (2023): March 2023 63-67

2023 DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p63-67 Accred : Unknown

[Physical characterization and analysis of tissue inflammatory response of the combination of hydroxyapatite gypsum puger and tapioca starch as a scaffold material](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en> Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 (2023): March 2023 53-57

2023 DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p53-57 Accred : Unknown

[Properties of nanocellulose and zirconia alumina on polymethylmethacrylate dental composite](#)

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga <https://fkg.unair.ac.id/en> Dental Journal Vol. 56 No. 1 (2023): March 2023 30-35

2023 DOI: 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i1.p30-35 Accred : Unknown

[View more ...](#)

Volume 56, Issue 3, September 2023


p-ISSN: 1978-3728

e-ISSN: 2442-9740

Dental Journal

Published quarterly per year

Majalah Kedokteran Gigi



Ovalbumin's potential as a wound-healing medicament in tooth extraction socket by induction of cell proliferation through the ERK2 pathway in silico
• Toxicity test of *Stenochlaena palustris* extract based on kidney histopathology examination • Oral field cancerization: Genetic profiling for a prevention strategy for oral potentially malignant disorders

Accredited No. 158/E/KPT/2021

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief



Alexander Patera Nugraha

Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0001-7427-7561

aTrgvz4AAAAJ

57194112535

Editorial Boards



Roeland Jozef Gentil De Moor

Department of Restorative Dentistry and Endodontology, Dental School, Ghent University, Belgium

0000-0002-5637-6731

-

7005928380



Cortino Sukotjo

University of Illinois at Chicago College of Dentistry, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Chicago, United States

0000-0002-2171-004X

hgnR1MEAAAAJ

6508194317



Samir Nammour

Department of Dental Science, Faculty of Medicine, University of Liege, Belgium

0000-0003-0321-9764

-

6602922393



Reza Fekrazad

Laser Reseach Center in Medical Science, Dental Faculty, AJA University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran, Islamic Republic of

0000-0001-5188-8829

goo.gl/of6YWQ

22952665700



Izzet Yavuz

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Harran University, Sanliurfa, Turkey

0000-0001-6953-747X

rmrNA5YAAAAJ

35967243400



Arvind Babu Rajendra Santosh

Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, School of Dentistry, Faculty of Medical Sciences, The University of the West Indies, Kingston, Jamaica

0000-0001-6587-8672

m2UVStsAAAAJ

57031949400



Guang Hong

Liaison Center for Innovative Dentistry, Graduate School of Dentistry, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

0000-0002-6620-1302

Jf6WCbAAAAAJ

72030317



Hong Sai Loh

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore

- - 7202491277



Kenji Yoshida

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, Aichi Gakuin University, Nisshin, Japan

- - 57080640700



Yasemin Yavuz

Department of Restorative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Harran University, Sanliurfa, Turkey

0000-0001-5961-4996 - 57197416152



Hamid Nurrohman

Missouri School of Dentistry & Oral Health, A.T. Still University 800 W. Jefferson St. Kirksville, Missouri, USA, United States

0000-0003-0019-037X LkqGnnOAAAAJ 52564067000



Harry Huiz Peeters

Laser Research Center, Bandung, Indonesia

0000-0001-6832-2987 p4SI2VYAAAAJ 51864447300



Miguel Rodrigues Martins

Co-Worker Aachen Dental Laser Center, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Porto University, Portugal

0000-0001-7206-0721 - 55993479000



Sajee Sattayut

Department of Oral Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

0000-0001-7111-9381 49f9rPUAAAAJ 55431381300



Rahmi Amtha

Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

0000-0002-2745-6652 V-atIWgAAAAJ 26031894400



R. Darmawan Setijanto

Department of Dental Public Health, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0001-7182-2712 yhHiNfsAAAAJ 55212583700



Anita Yuliati

Department of Dental Material, Faculty of dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0001-7040-0243 4FKAUqUAAAAJ 43462222



Udijanto Tedjosongko

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0003-3875-7415

mzYR9eAAAAAJ

6508026751

Associate Editors



Ketut Suardita

Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, IIK Bhakti Wiyata, Kediri, Indonesia

0000-0003-0492-5417

401S7UsAAAAJ

6506788956



Tansza Permata Setiana Putri

Department of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

0000-0002-0615-6677

qVMdH-QAAAAJ

57197847833



Adya Pramusita

Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0001-9826-7253

9sNHXVQAAAAJ

57204527351



Nastiti Faradilla Ramadhani

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0003-2126-4518

EIsPJOMAAAAJ

57191881659



Beta Novia Rizky

Department of Forensic Odontology, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0003-4307-8054

-cnzeczUAAAAJ

57214805206



I Komang Evan Wijaksana

Department of Periodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0001-6209-4530

98ez6TsAAAAJ

57205063686

Managing Editors



Muhammad Dimas Aditya Ari

Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0002-1044-8429

DNN5y5gAAAAJ

57200578006



Astari Puteri

Department of oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0003-2683-7477

B8065E8AAAAJ

57200385443



Beshlina Fitri W. R. Prakoeswa

Department of Forensic Odontology, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0003-0122-2388

vkt0scMAAAAAJ

57467259800



Saka Winias

Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0003-3039-0428

oE_WypgAAAAJ

57211330310



Aulia Ramadhani

Department of Dental Public Health, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

0000-0001-6400-6741

_Kx6_okAAAAJ

57205630113

Editorial Assistants



Novi Prastiwi

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia



Abdullah Mas'udy

Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Login

Username *

Password *

[Forgot your password?](#)

Keep me logged in

[Login](#)

[Register](#)

Journal Policies

Author Guidelines	Focus and Scope
Section Policies	Publication Ethics
Peer Review Process	Publication Frequency
Open Access Policy	Article Processing Charge
Plagiarism Screening	Archiving

Vol. 56 No. 3 (2023): September

Current Issue



Vol. 56 No. 3 (2023): September

Published: 2023-06-15

Original articles

Dental traumatic injuries during the COVID-19 pandemic: A retrospective study

Mehmet Veysel Kotanlı , Mehmet Emin Doğan , Sedef Kotanlı , Mehmet Sinan Doğan

139-143

Abstract : 543

PDF : 413

PDF

DOI : 10.20473/j.djmkkg.v56.i3.p139-143

Ovalbumin's potential as a wound-healing medicament in tooth extraction socket by induction of cell proliferation through the ERK2 pathway in silico

Sri Nabawiyati Nurul Makiyah , Sartika Puspita

144-153

Abstract : 540

PDF : 178

PDF

DOI : 10.20473/j.djmkkg.v56.i3.p144-153

Surface roughness assessment with fluoride varnish application: An in vitro study

Anie Apriani , Silvia Naliani , Rudy Djuanda , Shania Hysan Teanindar , Jessica Quiteria Florenthe , Ferri Baharudin

154-159

Abstract : 424

PDF : 218

PDF

DOI : 10.20473/j.djmkkg.v56.i3.p154-159

The compressive strength and static biodegradation rate of chitosan-gelatin limestone-based carbonate hydroxyapatite composite scaffold

Devi Rianti , Alqomariyah Eka Purnamasari , Rifayinqa Ruyani Putri , Noor Zain Salsabilla , Faradillah , Elly Munadzirah , Titien Hary Agustantina , Asti Meizarini , Anita Yuliati , Ardiyansyah Syahrom

16-16

Abstract : 472

PDF : 2



DOI : 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i3.p160-165

Amelogenin and alkaline phosphatase expression in ameloblast after saltwater fish consumption in pregnant mice (*Mus musculus*)

Sandy Christiono , Seno Pradopo , I Ketut Sudiana , Islamy Rahma Hutami , Regilia Shinta Mayangsari , Yayun Siti Rochmah , Zurairah Ibrahim 166-171

Abstract : 355

PDF : 181



DOI : 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i3.p166-171

The characteristics of swelling and biodegradation tests of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite biocomposite

Titien Hary Agustantina , Elly Munadzirah , Anita Yuliaty , Muhammad Riza Hafidz Bahtiar , Octarina , Rizki Fauziah Salma , Ajeng Putri Meyranti , Fathilah Abdul Razak 172-177

Abstract : 342

PDF : 220



DOI : 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i3.p172-177

Toxicity test of *Stenochlaena palustris* extract based on kidney histopathology examination

Maharani Laillyza Apriasari , Tiara Intan Permata Sari , I Wayan Arya Krishnawan Firdaus 178-183

Abstract : 345

PDF : 207



DOI : 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i3.p178-183

The effect of nanoparticle tooth grafts on osteoblast stimulation in the first stages of the bone healing process in Wistar rats compared to the micro-tooth graft technique

Ega Lucida Chandra Kumala , Malianawati Fauzia , Hana Salsabila Junivianti 184-188

Abstract : 350

PDF : 142



DOI : 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i3.p184-188

Review articles

Oral field cancerization: Genetic profiling for a prevention strategy for oral potentially malignant disorders

Karlina Puspasari , Togu Andrie Simon Pasaribu , Meircurius Dwi Condro Surboyo , Nurina Febriyanti Ayuningtyas , Arvind Babu Rajendra Santosh , Diah Savitri Ernawati 189-196

Abstract : 298

PDF : 223



DOI : 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i3.p189-196

Case reports

Closed reduction and drainage incision for the treatment of neglected and infected mandibular fractures

Eddy Hermanto , Fanny Margaretha Laihad , Amelia Elizabeth Pranoto , Monika Elidasari , Ivan Tantra , Sarianoferni , Dian Widya Damayanti 1201

Abstract : 403

PDF :



The diagnostic challenges and two-step surgical approach to an infected dentigerous cyst resembling a unicystic ameloblastoma: A case report

Cokorda Gde Suryabharata , Andra Rizqiawan , Indra Mulyawan , Sisca Meida Wati , Mohammad Zeshaan Rahman 202-207

Abstract : 330

PDF : 205



doi DOI : 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i3.p202-207

The early detection of tongue cancer with the etiology of mechanical trauma

Aris Setyawan , Zhavira Dwiyantri Anang , Rizki Nurida Rahmawati , Winda Kumalasari , Alfi Dian Uly Noor , Rima Talitha Yulianti 208-212

Abstract : 380

PDF : 204



doi DOI : 10.20473/j.djmk.v56.i3.p208-212

Login

Username *

Password *

[Forgot your password?](#)

Keep me logged in

Login

[Register](#)

Journal Policies

[Author Guidelines](#)

[Focus and Scope](#)

[Section Policies](#)

[Publication Ethics](#)

[Peer Review Process](#)

[Publication Frequency](#)

[Open Access Policy](#)

[Article Processing Charge](#)

[Plagiarism Screening](#)

[Archiving](#)

The characteristics of swelling and biodegradation tests of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite biocomposite

Titien Hary Agustantina¹, Elly Munadziroh¹, Anita Yuliaty¹, Muhammad Riza Hafidz Bahtiar², Octarina^{3,4}, Rizki Fauziah Salma², Ajeng Putri Meyranti², Fathilah Abdul Razak⁵

¹Department of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

²Undergraduate Student, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

³Doctoral Program, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

⁴Department of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

⁵Department of Oral and Craniofacial Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Background: A good biocomposite is a structure that can provide opportunities for cells to adhere, proliferate, and differentiate. It is affected by the characteristics of a material. As bone tissue regeneration occurs, biomaterials must have a high swelling ability and low biodegradability. The high swelling capability will have a larger surface area that can support maximal cell attachment and proliferation on the biocomposite surface, which accelerates the regeneration process of bone defects. **Purpose:** The study aimed to analyze the characteristics of swelling and biodegradation of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite (BAM-HA) biocomposite with various ratios. **Methods:** The BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 30:70, 35:65, and 40:60 (w/w) was synthesized using a freeze-dry method. The swelling test was done by measuring the initial weight and final weight after being soaked in phosphate-buffered saline for 24 hours and the biodegradation test was done by measuring the initial weight and final weight after being soaked in simulated body fluid for seven days. **Results:** The swelling percentage of BAM-HA biocomposite at each ratio of 30:70, 35:65, and 40:60 (w/w) was 303.90%, 477.94%, and 574.19%. The biodegradation percentage of BAM-HA biocomposite at each ratio of 30:70, 35:65, and 40:60 was 9.43%, 11.05%, and 12.02%. **Conclusion:** The BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) has the highest swelling percentage while the 30:70 (w/w) ratio has the lowest percentage of biodegradation.

Keywords: biocomposite; biodegradation; bovine amniotic membrane; hydroxyapatite; socket preservation; swelling

Article history: Received 10 October 2022; Revised 23 November 2022; Accepted 12 January 2023; Published 1 September 2023

Correspondence: Elly Munadziroh, Department of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga. Jl. Mayjen Prof. Dr. Moestopo 47 Surabaya, 60132, Indonesia. Email: elly-m@fkg.unair.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

The desired outcomes of biomaterials related to tissue engineering for clinical applications should include the attraction of natural resident stem cells to the site of injury and the suppression of inflammation, reduction of scar formation, enhancement of vascularization, and prevention of infection. The amniotic membrane is recommended for usage as an ideal biomaterial for wound healing due to its protein, cytokine, and growth factor concentration. The amniotic membrane can be used as a single or even double sheet to cover wounds on the body's exposed outer surfaces, such as the skin and cornea.¹

The amniotic membrane has many different applications in medicine, but it is particularly useful for treating skin

burns and preventing tissue adhesion during head, neck, abdomen, larynx, and genitourinary tract surgeries. The amniotic membrane can also serve as a barrier that protects internal organs.² For instance, wrapping the peritoneal cavity, tendon, spinal cord, and peripheral nerves in the amniotic membrane could prevent adhesion and minimize the formation of scars.¹

Amniotic membrane is a biomaterial used widely in tissue regeneration because it has anti-bacterial and anti-inflammatory properties.³ Amniotic membrane has growth factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), tissue inhibitor metalloproteinase (TIMP), transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β), and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF).⁴ One source of the amniotic

membrane is bovine. The bovine amniotic membrane has some benefits over the human amniotic membrane, such as being easier to legalize, being considered more ethical, and not being impacted by religious rituals.⁵

The limitation of the amniotic membrane is its low mechanical properties and rapid degradation, which makes it easy to decompose and difficult to maintain the structural integrity required for bone regeneration.⁶ The amniotic membrane is also easily torn by this feature when it is applied. The integrity of the structure, which is vital when mending extraction wounds with long-term treatment, will be impacted by how easily the amniotic membrane degrades. Modifying the amniotic membrane is needed to find a solution to this issue.⁷ The amniotic membrane in combination with a bone graft will produce biocomposites that have the potential to support bone formation and provide better outcomes.⁸

One of the bone graft materials that is often used to support the bone healing process is hydroxyapatite.⁹ Hydroxyapatite is a bioceramic material with a mineral composition similar to bones and teeth.^{10,11} Hydroxyapatite has been used widely as a biomaterial for bone tissue replacement and repair because of its high osteoconductivity, non-toxicity, and good biocompatibility.¹² Hydroxyapatite can be obtained from bovine bone (bovine hydroxyapatite).¹³ Bovine bone material consists of 93% hydroxyapatite and 7% β -tricalcium phosphate ($\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$, β -TCP).¹⁴ Bovine hydroxyapatite (BHA) has the same chemical composition as human bone, which affects bone metabolism.¹⁵

A combination of bovine amniotic membrane and hydroxyapatite will produce a new material in the form of a sponge-shaped biocomposite. The bovine amnion-hydroxyapatite membrane was expected to preserve the socket after tooth extraction. The characteristic properties of a biomaterial are important for successful tissue regeneration and must be able to adapt to the tissue that will be replaced.¹⁶ A good biocomposite is a structure that can provide opportunities for cells to adhere, proliferate, and differentiate. It is affected by the characteristics of a material.¹⁷

The swelling test is a method used to determine the capacity of the material to absorb liquid. The swelling properties of the biomaterial have an impact on good cell proliferation. Higher swelling abilities increase the surface area of the biomaterial, thus facilitating cell attachment to the biomaterial.¹⁸ With more cells attached, it is hoped that cell growth will also be faster. Swelling properties also play an important role in increasing the absorption of fluids from the body or media, as well as the transfer of nutrients and metabolic wastes.¹⁹ The increase in the swelling ratio is caused by the presence of hydrophilic properties. Hydrophilic conditions are suitable for cell attachment and proliferation, therefore it accelerates the regeneration process.²⁰

Biomaterials implanted in the body and in contact with biological systems can trigger a series of reactions between the biomaterials and host tissues. The ability

of biodegradation is an important role in biomaterials' formation of new tissues because of its properties that can affect cell viability and proliferation. The biodegradation test is a parameter needed to see the time required for biomaterial to be degraded according to the formation of new tissue. The biodegradation test can indicate the biodegradability of a material.²¹

Biomaterials that have been implemented in the body must be able to maintain sufficient mechanical properties and structural integrity so that cell adaptation goes well and can store their extracellular matrix. Biomaterials that have biodegradable properties are expected to be able to create space for new bone tissue to grow.²² Based on this, the study aimed to analyze the characteristics of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite (BAM-HA) biocomposite through a swelling and biodegradation test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval, which is managed as a condition for conducting research, has been obtained from the Research Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga Surabaya (No. 400/HRECC.FODM/VII/2021). This research is an experimental laboratory with a post-test-only control group design. The data used primary data, which was directly collected by the researcher.

The manufacture of BAM-HA biocomposite was done at the Biomaterial Center Installation of the Tissue Bank Hospital by Dr. Soetomo Surabaya. The dry amniotic membrane was prepared at 3 grams (30:70 ratio), 3.5 grams (ratio 35:65), and 4 grams (ratio 40:60). The amniotic membrane was cut into pieces of about 2 cm and added with 40 ml of 0.9% NaCl. Then, the amniotic membrane with NaCl was soaked for 5 minutes until the liquid was absorbed. The amniotic membrane was blended for 10 minutes to produce a smooth amniotic slurry. The amniotic slurry was added with 7 grams of hydroxyapatite powder (30:70 ratio), 6.5 grams of hydroxyapatite powder (ratio 35:65), and 6 grams of hydroxyapatite powder (ratio 40:60), then stirred until homogeneous and put into a petri dish with a diameter of 10 cm. The petri dish was put into the freezer (Thermo, USA) at -80°C for 24 hours, then freeze-dried (VirTis BenchTop™ “K” Series) for 24 hours at -100°C (Figure 1). Sponge-shaped biocomposite with a diameter of 10 cm was cut into pieces of 1.5x1.5 cm using a scalpel with a no. 15 blade and handle scalpel no. 3 (Figure 2).

The biocomposite is in the form of a sponge with a sizing of 1.5x1.5 cm and various ratios weighed as the initial weight (W_i). The biocomposite was immersed in 10 ml of Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) solution at 37°C for 24 hours. After that, the biocomposite was taken and then drained using Whatman filter paper for 3 seconds, and the final weight (W_f) was determined. The swelling ratio was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Swelling test (\%)} = \frac{W_f - W_i}{W_i} \times 100$$

The biodegradation test was performed using a biocomposite in the form of a sponge with a sizing of 1.5x1.5 cm and various ratios weighed as the W_i . The biocomposite was immersed in Simulated Body Fluid (SBF) solution at 37°C for seven days. After seven days, the biocomposite was taken and then dried using a freeze-drier for 48 hours at a temperature of -100°C. After that, the biocomposite was weighed again to determine the W_f . The biodegradation of biocomposites was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Biodegradation test (\%)} = \frac{W_i - W_f}{W_f} \times 100$$

RESULTS

The average value of the swelling level of the BAM-HA biocomposite (Table 1) increased after immersion for 24 hours. The BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) obtained an average swelling rate of 574.19%, which was the highest rate compared to the ratios of 35:65 and 30:70 (w/w), which were 477.94% and 303.90%, respectively.

Statistical analysis of variance (one-way Welch ANOVA) is one of the parametric statistical tests to




Figure 1. The results of making BAM-HA ratios of 30:70 (A), 35:65 (B), and 40:60 (C).




Figure 2. The results of the preparation of BAM-HA biocomposite ratios of 30:70 (A), 35:65 (B), and 40:60 (C).

Table 1. The average value of the swelling test

Biocomposite	Ratio	Swelling ratio (%)	
		Mean	SD
Bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite	30:70 (Control)	303.90	10.75
	35:65	477.94	10.90
	40:60	574.19	35.44

Table 2. The results of one-way Welch ANOVA statistical analysis on the swelling test

Robust tests of equality of means				
Swelling test	Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
Welch	745.760	2	16.455	.000

Table 3. Post-hoc Tukey HSD statistical test results of swelling percentage

Ratio	30:70	35:65	40:60
30:70		0.000*	0.000*
35:65			0.000*
40:60			

*Significant ($p < 0.05$)

determine differences between groups of data as a whole. The results of the one-way Welch ANOVA test showed a significance value of $p = 0.000$, which means that there was a significant difference between groups with a p -value of less than ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Therefore, further testing was carried out using the post-hoc Tukey Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) to find out which groups had significant differences. The post-hoc Tukey HSD test has been summarized and presented in Table 3.

There was a significant difference in the average level of swelling between the BAM-HA biocomposite ratio of 30:70 with a ratio of 35:65 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$), BAM-HA biocomposite ratio of 30:70 with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$), and the BAM-HA biocomposite ratio of 35:65 with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$) ($p < 0.05$).

The average value of the biodegradation rate of BAM-HA biocomposite in various ratios is shown in Table 4. The BAM-HA biocomposite 40:60 (w/w) ratio obtained the highest average value of the biodegradation rate at 12.02%, followed by 11.05% at 35:65 (w/w), and 9.54% at 30:70 (w/w).

The results of the one-way Welch ANOVA test showed a significance value of $p = 0.000$, which means that there was a significant difference between groups with a p -value of less than 0.05 (Table 5). Therefore, the post-hoc Tukey HSD analysis test was continued to find out which groups had significant differences. The post-hoc Tukey HSD test has been summarized and presented in Table 6.

Data analysis was performed using the post-hoc Tukey HSD test. It showed that there was a significant difference in the mean level of biodegradation between the BAM-HA biocomposite group with a ratio of 30:70 and a comparison ratio of 35:65 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$) and BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 30:70 and a comparison ratio of 40:60 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$).

DISCUSSION

The selection of biomaterials for making bone tissue regeneration material is a significant matter in the socket preservation procedure. The requirements for biomaterials in bone tissue regeneration, include having a porous structure that promotes cell proliferation and distribution so that it can support the formation of new bone tissue. Additionally, it can provide a temporary structure that will degrade over time with the formation of new tissue.^{23,24}

Socket preservation biomaterial candidates used in this study were obtained by synthesizing bovine amniotic membrane biomaterials and BHA with a combined ratio of 30:70, 35:65, and 40:60 (w/w) using the freeze-drying method. The freeze-drying process can form a porous structure, which can affect the outer surface of the biocomposite to become hydrophilic.^{25,26}

The characteristic properties of a biomaterial are important for the success of tissue regeneration and must be able to adapt to the tissue to be replaced.¹⁶ Swelling is one of the important properties in the application of biomaterials in bone tissue regeneration. Swelling in biomaterials can play a role in cell infiltration into biomaterials.²⁷ The results of the swelling test showed that the average swelling ratio was increasing from a ratio of 30:70 (w/w) of $303.90 \pm 10.75\%$, 35:65 (w/w) of $477.94 \pm 10.90\%$, 40:60 (w/w) of $574.19 \pm 35.44\%$, and after the one-way ANOVA test, showed that there was a significant difference between groups with a p -value of less than 0.05.

This study showed that the BAM-HA biocomposite with the larger bovine amniotic membrane ratio of 40:60 (w/w) obtained the highest percentage of swelling results compared to the 35:65 and 30:70 (w/w) ratios. That is because the bovine amniotic membrane biomaterial in this study contains a polymer in the form of collagen.²⁸

Table 4. The average value of the swelling test

Biocomposite	Ratio	Biodegradation ratio (%)	
		Mean	SD
Bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite	30:70 (Control)	9.54	1.43
	35:65	11.05	1.42
	40:60	12.02	0.48

Table 5. The results of one-way Welch ANOVA statistical analysis on the biodegradation test

Robust Tests of Equality of Means				
Biodegradation test	Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
Welch	14.048	2	14.307	.000

Table 6. Post-hoc Tukey HSD statistical test results of biodegradation percentage

Ratio	30:70	35:65	40:60
30:70		0.024*	0.000*
35:65			0.180
40:60			

*Significant ($p < 0.05$)

These findings are appropriate with several findings from previous research that demonstrates collagen is one of the polymers with a high-water absorption capacity. A high amount of collagen will increase the water absorption properties of the biomaterial. Collagen is a polymer with many hydrophilic groups, which can form hydrogen bonds with the surrounding solution. The low contact angle of biomaterials with hydrophilic characteristics can result in low surface tension. If the surface tension of the liquid is low, the surface of the biocomposite material will contact it more easily. As a result, the biocomposite can absorb liquids more readily.²⁹

Several other studies have shown that the increase in the percentage of swelling is directly proportional to the increase in the amount of gelatin, which is widely known as a biomaterial that has hydrophilic properties, while the increase in the amount of hydroxyapatite is inversely proportional to the percentage of swelling. The higher hydroxyapatite ratio in the biocomposite caused a decrease in the percentage of swelling and its swelling ability.^{19,30} By attaching calcium and phosphate to the hydrophilic groups of COOH or NH₂, hydroxyapatite forms a cross-link between polymer chains and reduces the biomaterial's hydrophilicity.³¹

Another property that plays a role in the success of bone tissue regeneration is biodegradability. Biodegradable biomaterials were expected to provide a space for the growth of new bone tissue.²² According to the data, the BAM-HA biocomposite ratio of 40:60 (w/w) had the highest average value of biodegradation at 12.020±0.483%, followed by 11.045±1.415% at a ratio of 35:65 (w/w). Meanwhile, the BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 30:70 (w/w) had the lowest percentage of biodegradation, which was 9.541±1.428%. The results of the one-way Welch ANOVA test showed a value of significance of $p = 0.000$, which means that there is a significant difference between groups with a p -value of less than 0.05. The lowest percentage of a biodegradation rate was obtained at a 30:70 (w/w) ratio, with the highest composition hydroxyapatite ratio. That is because hydroxyapatite can reduce the pore size of the biocomposite.³⁰ As a result, the lowest percentage level was attained at the 30:70 (w/w) ratio, which had a higher hydroxyapatite content than the 40:60 and 35:65 (w/w) ratios. Meanwhile, the average percentage of degradation rate was high for the 40:60 (w/w) ratio because it contained less hydroxyapatite and more bovine amniotic membrane than the ratios of 35:65 and 30:70 (w/w).

The inclusion of the hydroxyapatite ratio can result in a reduction in porosity size. Therefore, it may have an impact on a biocomposite's ability to access liquids. When the biomaterial immerses in the SBF solution, the solution forms a link with the biomaterial's surface. By capillary action, the fluid will progressively seep into the biomaterial. During this phase, the pore wall will serve as a new connection between the liquid and the biomaterial. A decrease in porosity size will result in a smaller surface area. As a result, liquid accessibility reduces, which results

in a slower rate of biodegradation.³² The level of biomaterial degradation is influenced by chemical properties, polymer composition, and environmental conditions.¹⁸

This study seeks to identify the appropriate combination ratio between the bovine amniotic membrane and hydroxyapatite and develop a bone biocomposite that produces swelling and biodegradation properties suitable for bone tissue regeneration. As bone tissue regeneration occurs, biomaterials must have a high swelling ability and low biodegradability. The high swelling capability will have a larger surface area that can support maximal cell attachment and proliferation on the biocomposite surface, which accelerates the regeneration process of bone defects.²⁷ Biocomposite can be used as bone graft material, preferably having a low level of biodegradation for bone regeneration to occur.²⁶

The results show that the percentage of swelling increased with the concentrations of bovine amniotic membrane increasing and the concentrations of hydroxyapatite decreasing. Additionally, the percentage of biodegradation decreased with the concentrations of hydroxyapatite increasing and the concentrations of bovine amniotic membrane decreasing. The BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) has high swelling and biodegradation ability, while the ratio of 30:70 (w/w) has low swelling and biodegradation ability.

REFERENCES

1. Elkhenany H, El-Derby A, Abd Elkodous M, Salah RA, Lotfy A, El-Badri N. Applications of the amniotic membrane in tissue engineering and regeneration: the hundred-year challenge. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2022; 13(1): 8.
2. Schmiedova I, Dembickaja A, Kiselakova L, Nowakova B, Slama P. Using of amniotic membrane derivatives for the treatment of chronic wounds. *Membranes (Basel).* 2021; 11(12): 941.
3. Kumar A, Chandra RV, Reddy AA, Reddy BH, Reddy C, Naveen A. Evaluation of clinical, antiinflammatory and antiinfective properties of amniotic membrane used for guided tissue regeneration: A randomized controlled trial. *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2015; 12(2): 127–35.
4. Faadhila T, Valentina M, Munadzirah E, Nirwana I, Soekartono H, Surboyo MC. Bovine sponge amnion stimulates socket healing: A histological analysis. *J Adv Pharm Technol Res.* 2021; 12(1): 99.
5. Putra NHD, Matulatan F, Wibowo MD, Danardono E. Effects of dried bovine amniotic membrane as prosthetics of abdominal fascial defect closure observed by the expression of platelet-derived growth factor in Rattus norvegicus wistar strain. *Syst Rev Pharm.* 2020; 11(6): 987–91.
6. Leal-Marín S, Kern T, Hofmann N, Pogozhykh O, Framme C, Börgel M, Figueiredo C, Glasmacher B, Gryshkov O. Human amniotic membrane: A review on tissue engineering, application, and storage. *J Biomed Mater Res Part B Appl Biomater.* 2021; 109(8): 1198–215.
7. Dadkhah Tehrani F, Firouzeh A, Shabani I, Shabani A. A review on modifications of amniotic membrane for biomedical applications. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2021; 8: 606982.
8. Nurhaeni CSW, Komara I. Socket preservation. *Padjadjaran J Dent.* 2015; 27(3): 133–8.
9. Arifin A, Mahyudin F, Edward M. The clinical and radiological outcome of bovine hydroxyapatite (bio hydrox) as boneGraft. *J Orthop Traumatol Surabaya.* 2020; 9(1): 9–16.

10. Kattimani VS, Kondaka S, Lingamaneni KP. Hydroxyapatite—Past, present, and future in bone regeneration. *Bone Tissue Regen Insights*. 2016; 7: BTRI.S36138.
11. Rujitanapanich S, Kumpapan P, Wanjanoi P. Synthesis of hydroxyapatite from oyster shell via precipitation. *Energy Procedia*. 2014; 56: 112–7.
12. Ardhiyanto HB. Peran hidroksiapatit sebagai material bone graft dalam menstimulasi kepadatan kolagen tipe L pada proses penyembuhan tulang. *Stomatognathic - J Kedokt Gigi*. 2012; 9(1): 16–8.
13. Yulianti A, Kartikasari N, Munadzirah E, Rianti D. The profile of crosslinked bovine hydroxyapatite gelatin chitosan scaffolds with 0.25% glutaraldehyde. *J Int Dent Med Res*. 2017; 10(1): 151–5.
14. Saputra AAH, Triyono J, Triyono T. Bovine bone hidroksiapatite materials mechanics properties at 900°C and 1200°C of calcination temperature. *Mek Maj Ilm Mek*. 2017; 16(1): 26–30.
15. Ratnayake JTB, Mucalo M, Dias GJ. Substituted hydroxyapatites for bone regeneration: A review of current trends. *J Biomed Mater Res Part B Appl Biomater*. 2017; 105(5): 1285–99.
16. Shoichet MS. Polymer scaffolds for biomaterials applications. *Macromolecules*. 2010; 43(2): 581–91.
17. Bružauskaitė I, Bironaitė D, Bagdonas E, Bernotienė E. Scaffolds and cells for tissue regeneration: different scaffold pore sizes—different cell effects. *Cytotechnology*. 2016; 68(3): 355–69.
18. Kartikasari N, Yulianti A, Listiana I, Setijanto D, Suardita K, Ariani MD, Sosiawan A. Characteristic of bovine hydroxyapatite-gelatin-chitosan scaffolds as biomaterial candidate for bone tissue engineering. In: 2016 IEEE EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences (IECBES). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: IEEE; 2016. p. 623–6.
19. Azhar FF, Olad A, Salehi R. Fabrication and characterization of chitosan-gelatin/nanohydroxyapatite- polyaniline composite with potential application in tissue engineering scaffolds. *Des Monomers Polym*. 2014; 17(7): 654–67.
20. Mohamed KR, Beherei HH, El-Rashidy ZM. In vitro study of nano-hydroxyapatite/chitosan-gelatin composites for bio-applications. *J Adv Res*. 2014; 5(2): 201–8.
21. Maji K, Dasgupta S, Pramanik K, Bissoyi A. Preparation and evaluation of gelatin-chitosan-nanobioglass 3D porous scaffold for bone tissue engineering. *Int J Biomater*. 2016; 2016: 9825659.
22. Bose S, Roy M, Bandyopadhyay A. Recent advances in bone tissue engineering scaffolds. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2012; 30(10): 546–54.
23. Melek LN. Tissue engineering in oral and maxillofacial reconstruction. *Tanta Dent J*. 2015; 12(3): 211–23.
24. Edgar L, McNamara K, Wong T, Tamburrini R, Katari R, Orlando G. Heterogeneity of scaffold biomaterials in tissue engineering. *Materials (Basel)*. 2016; 9(5): 332.
25. Tam TT, Todo M, Cheong KY, Hamid ZAA. Evaluation of cell viability of porous scaffold fabricated via freeze-drying technique for vascular tissue engineering. In: AIP Conference Proceedings. 2020. p. 020007.
26. Wattanutchariya W, Changkowchai W. Characterization of porous scaffold from chitosan-gelatin/hydroxyapatite for bone grafting. In: The International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists Vol II. Hongkong: Chiang Mai University; 2014. p. 1–5.
27. Asaeli AP, Yulianti A, Budhy TI, Wilda S, Silalahi I, Santoso D. The profile of cross-linked chitosan and collagen derived-chicken shank scaffold as biomaterials in tissue engineering. *J Int Dent Med Res*. 2019; 12(1): 6–11.
28. Gunasekaran D, Thada R, Jeyakumar GFS, Manimegalai NP, Shanmugam G, Sivagnanam UT. Physicochemical characterization and self-assembly of human amniotic membrane and umbilical cord collagen: A comparative study. *Int J Biol Macromol*. 2020; 165: 2920–33.
29. Anusavice KJ, Shen C, Rawls HR. *Phillips' science of dental materials*. 12th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2013. p. 592.
30. Ari MDA, Yulianti A, Rahayu RP, Saraswati D. The differences scaffold composition in pore size and hydrophobicity properties as bone regeneration biomaterial. *J Int Dent Med Res*. 2018; 11(1): 318–22.
31. Lee J, Yun H. Hydroxyapatite-containing gelatin/chitosan microspheres for controlled release of lysozyme and enhanced cytocompatibility. *J Mater Chem B*. 2014; 2(9): 1255–63.
32. Wang Q, Wang Q, Wan C. The effect of porosity on the structure and properties of calcium polyphosphate bioceramics. *Ceram - Silikat*. 2011; 55(1): 43–8.

The characteristics of swelling and biodegradation tests of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite biocomposite

by Octarina FKG

Submission date: 26-Jan-2024 09:55AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2278670194

File name: Dental_Journal_Lengkap.pdf (429.35K)

Word count: 4626

Character count: 24708

Original article

The characteristics of swelling and biodegradation tests of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite biocomposite

Titien Hary Agustantina¹, Elly Munadzirah¹, Anita Yuliaty¹, Muhammad Riza Hafidz Bahtiar², Octarina^{3,4}, Rizki Fauziah Salma², Ajeng Putri Meyranti², Fathilah Abdul Razak⁵

¹Department of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

²Undergraduate Student, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

³Doctoral Program, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

⁴Department of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

⁵Department of Oral and Craniofacial Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Background: A good biocomposite is a structure that can provide opportunities for cells to adhere, proliferate, and differentiate. It is affected by the characteristics of a material. As bone tissue regeneration occurs, biomaterials must have a high swelling ability and low biodegradability. The high swelling capability will have a larger surface area that can support maximal cell attachment and proliferation on the biocomposite surface, which accelerates the regeneration process of bone defects. **Purpose:** The study aimed to analyze the characteristics of swelling and biodegradation of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite (BAM-HA) biocomposite with various ratios. **Methods:** The BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 30:70, 35:65, and 40:60 (w/w) was synthesized using a freeze-dry method. The swelling test was done by measuring the initial weight and final weight after being soaked in phosphate-buffered saline for 24 hours and the biodegradation test was done by measuring the initial weight and final weight after being soaked in simulated body fluid for seven days. **Results:** The swelling percentage of BAM-HA biocomposite at each ratio of 30:70, 35:65, and 40:60 (w/w) was 303.90%, 477.94%, and 574.19%. The biodegradation percentage of BAM-HA biocomposite at each ratio of 30:70, 35:65, and 40:60 was 9.43%, 11.05%, and 12.02%. **Conclusion:** The BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) has the highest swelling percentage while the 30:70 (w/w) ratio has the lowest percentage of biodegradation.

Keywords: biocomposite; biodegradation; bovine amniotic membrane; hydroxyapatite; socket preservation; swelling

Article history: Received 10 October 2022; Revised 23 November 2022; Accepted 12 January 2023; Published 1 September 2023

Correspondence: Elly Munadzirah, Department of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Mayjen Prof. Dr. Moestopo 47 Surabaya, 60132, Indonesia. Email: elly-m@fkg.unair.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

The desired outcomes of biomaterials related to tissue engineering for clinical applications should include the attraction of natural resident stem cells to the site of injury and the suppression of inflammation, reduction of scar formation, enhancement of vascularization, and prevention of infection. The amniotic membrane is recommended for usage as an ideal biomaterial for wound healing due to its protein, cytokine, and growth factor concentration. The amniotic membrane can be used as a single or even double sheet to cover wounds on the body's exposed outer surfaces, such as the skin and cornea.¹

The amniotic membrane has many different applications in medicine, but it is particularly useful for treating skin

burns and preventing tissue adhesion during head, neck, abdomen, larynx, and genitourinary tract surgeries. The amniotic membrane can also serve as a barrier that protects internal organs.² For instance, wrapping the peritoneal cavity, tendon, spinal cord, and peripheral nerves in the amniotic membrane could prevent adhesion and minimize the formation of scars.¹

Amniotic membrane is a biomaterial used widely in tissue regeneration because it has anti-bacterial and anti-inflammatory properties.³ Amniotic membrane has growth factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), tissue inhibitor metalloproteinase (TIMP), transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β), and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF).⁴ One source of the amniotic

membrane is bovine. The bovine amniotic membrane has some benefits over the human amniotic membrane, such as being easier to legalize, being considered more ethical, and not being impacted by religious rituals.⁵

The limitation of the amniotic membrane is its low mechanical properties and rapid degradation, which makes it easy to decompose and difficult to maintain the structural integrity required for bone regeneration.⁶ The amniotic membrane is also easily torn by this feature when it is applied. The integrity of the structure, which is vital when mending extraction wounds with long-term treatment, will be impacted by how easily the amniotic membrane degrades. Modifying the amniotic membrane is needed to find a solution to this issue.⁷ The amniotic membrane in combination with a bone graft will produce biocomposites that have the potential to support bone formation and provide better outcomes.⁸

One of the bone graft materials that is often used to support the bone healing process is hydroxyapatite.⁹ Hydroxyapatite is a bioceramic material with a mineral composition similar to bones and teeth.^{10,11} Hydroxyapatite has been used widely as a biomaterial for bone tissue replacement and repair because of its high osteoconductivity, non-toxicity, and good biocompatibility.¹² Hydroxyapatite can be obtained from bovine bone (bovine hydroxyapatite).¹³ Bovine bone material consists of 93% hydroxyapatite and 7% β -tricalcium phosphate ($\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$, β -TCP).¹⁴ Bovine hydroxyapatite (BHA) has the same chemical composition as human bone, which affects bone metabolism.¹⁵

A combination of bovine amniotic membrane and hydroxyapatite will produce a new material in the form of a sponge-shaped biocomposite. The bovine amniotic membrane was expected to preserve the socket after tooth extraction. The characteristic properties of a biomaterial are important for successful tissue regeneration and must be able to adapt to the tissue that will be replaced.¹⁶ A good biocomposite is a structure that can provide opportunities for cells to adhere, proliferate, and differentiate. It is affected by the characteristics of a material.¹⁷

The swelling test is a method used to determine the capacity of the material to absorb liquid. The swelling properties of the biomaterial have an impact on good cell proliferation. Higher swelling abilities increase the surface area of the biomaterial, thus facilitating cell attachment to the biomaterial.¹⁸ With more cells attached, it is hoped that cell growth will also be faster. Swelling properties also play an important role in increasing the absorption of fluids from the body or media, as well as the transfer of nutrients and metabolic wastes.¹⁹ The increase in the swelling ratio is caused by the presence of hydrophilic properties. Hydrophilic conditions are suitable for cell attachment and proliferation, therefore it accelerates the regeneration process.²⁰

Biomaterials implanted in the body and in contact with biological systems can trigger a series of reactions between the biomaterials and host tissues. The ability

of biodegradation is an important role in biomaterials' formation of new tissues because of its properties that can affect cell viability and proliferation. The biodegradation test is a parameter needed to see the time required for biomaterial to be degraded according to the formation of new tissue. The biodegradation test can indicate the biodegradability of a material.²¹

Biomaterials that have been implemented in the body must be able to maintain sufficient mechanical properties and structural integrity so that cell adaptation goes well and can store their extracellular matrix. Biomaterials that have biodegradable properties are expected to be able to create space for new bone tissue to grow.²² Based on this, the study aimed to analyze the characteristics of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite (BAM-HA) biocomposite through a swelling and biodegradation test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval, which is managed as a condition for conducting research, has been obtained from the Research Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga Surabaya (No. 400/HRECC.FODM/VII/2021). This research is an experimental laboratory with a post-test-only control group design. The data used primary data, which was directly collected by the researcher.

The manufacture of BAM-HA biocomposite was done at the Biomaterial Center Installation of the Tissue Bank Hospital by Dr. Soetomo Surabaya. The dry amniotic membrane was prepared at 3 grams (30:70 ratio), 3.5 grams (ratio 35:65), and 4 grams (ratio 40:60). The amniotic membrane was cut into pieces of about 2 cm and added with 40 ml of 0.9% NaCl. Then, the amniotic membrane with NaCl was soaked for 5 minutes until the liquid was absorbed. The amniotic membrane was blended for 10 minutes to produce a smooth amniotic slurry. The amniotic slurry was added with 7 grams of hydroxyapatite powder (30:70 ratio), 6.5 grams of hydroxyapatite powder (ratio 35:65), and 6 grams of hydroxyapatite powder (ratio 40:60), then stirred until homogeneous and put into a petri dish with a diameter of 10 cm. The petri dish was put into the freezer (Thermo, USA) at -80°C for 24 hours, then freeze-dried (VirTis BenchTop™ "K" Series) for 24 hours at -100°C (Figure 1). Sponge-shaped biocomposite with a diameter of 10 cm was cut into pieces of 1.5x1.5 cm using a scalpel with a no. 15 blade and handle scalpel no. 3 (Figure 2).

The biocomposite is in the form of a sponge with a sizing of 1.5x1.5 cm and various ratios weighed as the initial weight (Wi). The biocomposite was immersed in 10 ml of Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) solution at 37°C for 24 hours. After that, the biocomposite was taken and then drained using Whatman filter paper for 3 seconds, and the final weight (Wf) was determined. The swelling ratio was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Swelling test (\%)} = \frac{Wf - Wi}{Wi} \times 100$$

The biodegradation test was performed using a biocomposite in the form of a sponge with a sizing of 1.5x1.5 cm and various ratios weighed as the W_i . The biocomposite was immersed in Simulated Body Fluid (SBF) solution at 37°C for seven days. After seven days, the biocomposite was taken and then dried using a freeze-drier for 48 hours at a temperature of -100°C. After that, the biocomposite was weighed again to determine the W_f . The biodegradation of biocomposites was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Biodegradation test (\%)} = \frac{W_i - W_f}{W_f} \times 100$$

RESULTS

The average value of the swelling level of the BAM-HA biocomposite (Table 1) increased after immersion for 24 hours. The BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) obtained an average swelling rate of 574.19%, which was the highest rate compared to the ratios of 35:65 and 30:70 (w/w), which were 477.94% and 303.90%, respectively.

Statistical analysis of variance (one-way Welch ANOVA) is one of the parametric statistical tests to




Figure 1. The results of making BAM-HA ratios of 30:70 (A), 35:65 (B), and 40:60 (C).




Figure 2. The results of the preparation of BAM-HA biocomposite ratios of 30:70 (A), 35:65 (B), and 40:60 (C).

Table 1. The average value of the swelling test

Biocomposite	Ratio	Swelling ratio (%)	
		Mean	SD
Bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite	30:70 (Control)	303.90	10.75
	35:65	477.94	10.90
	40:60	574.19	35.44

Table 2. The results of one-way Welch ANOVA statistical analysis on the swelling test

Robust tests of equality of means				
Swelling test	Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
Welch	745.760	2	16.455	.000

Table 3. Post-hoc Tukey HSD statistical test results of swelling percentage

Ratio	30:70	35:65	40:60
30:70		0.000*	0.000*
35:65			0.000*
40:60			

*Significant (p < 0.05)

determine differences between groups of data as a whole. The results of the one-way Welch ANOVA test showed a significance value of $p = 0.000$, which means that there was a significant difference between groups with a p -value of less than ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Therefore, further testing was carried out using the post-hoc Tukey Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) to find out which groups had significant differences. The post-hoc Tukey HSD test has been summarized and presented in Table 3.

There was a significant difference in the average level of swelling between the BAM-HA biocomposite ratio of 30:70 with a ratio of 35:65 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$), BAM-HA biocomposite ratio of 30:70 with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$), and the BAM-HA biocomposite ratio of 35:65 with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$) ($p < 0.05$).

The average value of the biodegradation rate of BAM-HA biocomposite in various ratios is shown in Table 4. The BAM-HA biocomposite 40:60 (w/w) ratio obtained the highest average value of the biodegradation rate at 12.02%, followed by 11.05% at 35:65 (w/w), and 9.54% at 30:70 (w/w).

The results of the one-way Welch ANOVA test showed a significance value of $p = 0.000$, which means that there was a significant difference between groups with a p -value of less than 0.05 (Table 5). Therefore, the post-hoc Tukey HSD analysis test was continued to find out which groups had significant differences. The post-hoc Tukey HSD test has been summarized and presented in Table 6.

Data analysis was performed using the post-hoc Tukey HSD test. It showed that there was a significant difference in the mean level of biodegradation between the BAM-HA biocomposite group with a ratio of 30:70 and a comparison ratio of 35:65 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$) and BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 30:70 and a comparison ratio of 40:60 (w/w) ($p = 0.000$).

DISCUSSION

The selection of biomaterials for making bone tissue regeneration material is a significant matter in the socket preservation procedure. The requirements for biomaterials in bone tissue regeneration, include having a porous structure that promotes cell proliferation and distribution so that it can support the formation of new bone tissue. Additionally, it can provide a temporary structure that will degrade over time with the formation of new tissue.^{23,24}

Socket preservation biomaterial candidates used in this study were obtained by synthesizing bovine amniotic membrane biomaterials and BHA with a combined ratio of 30:70, 35:65, and 40:60 (w/w) using the freeze-drying method. The freeze-drying process can form a porous structure, which can affect the outer surface of the biocomposite to become hydrophilic.^{25,26}

The characteristic properties of a biomaterial are important for the success of tissue regeneration and must be able to adapt to the tissue to be replaced.¹⁶ Swelling is one of the important properties in the application of biomaterials in bone tissue regeneration. Swelling in biomaterials can play a role in cell infiltration into biomaterials.²⁷ The results of the swelling test showed that the average swelling ratio was increasing from a ratio of 30:70 (w/w) of $303.90 \pm 10.75\%$, 35:65 (w/w) of $477.94 \pm 10.90\%$, 40:60 (w/w) of $574.19 \pm 35.44\%$, and after the one-way ANOVA test, showed that there was a significant difference between groups with a p -value of less than 0.05.

This study showed that the BAM-HA biocomposite with the larger bovine amniotic membrane ratio of 40:60 (w/w) obtained the highest percentage of swelling results compared to the 35:65 and 30:70 (w/w) ratios. That is because the bovine amniotic membrane biomaterial in this study contains a polymer in the form of collagen.²⁸

Table 4. The average value of the swelling test

Biocomposite	Ratio	Biodegradation ratio (%)	
		Mean	SD
Bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite	30:70 (Control)	9.54	1.43
	35:65	11.05	1.42
	40:60	12.02	0.48

Table 5. The results of one-way Welch ANOVA statistical analysis on the biodegradation test

Robust Tests of Equality of Means				
Biodegradation test	Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
Welch	14.048	2	14.307	.000

Table 6. Post-hoc Tukey HSD statistical test results of biodegradation percentage

Ratio	30:70	35:65	40:60
30:70		0.024*	0.000*
35:65			0.180
40:60			

*Significant ($p < 0.05$)

These findings are appropriate with several findings from previous research that demonstrates collagen is one of the polymers with a high-water absorption capacity. A high amount of collagen will increase the water absorption properties of the biomaterial. Collagen is a polymer with many hydrophilic groups, which can form hydrogen bonds with the surrounding solution. The low contact angle of biomaterials with hydrophilic characteristics can result in low surface tension. If the surface tension of the liquid is low, the surface of the biocomposite material will contact it more easily. As a result, the biocomposite can absorb liquids more readily.²⁹

Several other studies have shown that the increase in the percentage of swelling is directly proportional to the increase in the amount of gelatin, which is widely known as a biomaterial that has hydrophilic properties, while the increase in the amount of hydroxyapatite is inversely proportional to the percentage of swelling. The higher hydroxyapatite ratio in the biocomposite caused a decrease in the percentage of swelling and its swelling ability.^{19,30} By attaching calcium and phosphate to the hydrophilic groups of COOH or NH₂, hydroxyapatite forms a cross-link between polymer chains and reduces the biomaterial's hydrophilicity.³¹

Another property that plays a role in the success of bone tissue regeneration is biodegradability. Biodegradable biomaterials were expected to provide a space for the growth of new bone tissue.²² According to the data, the BAM-HA biocomposite ratio of 40:60 (w/w) had the highest average value of biodegradation at 12.020±0.483%, followed by 11.045±1.415% at a ratio of 35:65 (w/w). Meanwhile, the BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 30:70 (w/w) had the lowest percentage of biodegradation, which was 9.541±1.428%. The results of the one-way Welch ANOVA test showed a value of significance of $p = 0.000$, which means that there is a significant difference between groups with a p -value of less than 0.05. The lowest percentage of a biodegradation rate was obtained at a 30:70 (w/w) ratio, with the highest composition hydroxyapatite ratio. That is because hydroxyapatite can reduce the pore size of the biocomposite.³⁰ As a result, the lowest percentage level was attained at the 30:70 (w/w) ratio, which had a higher hydroxyapatite content than the 40:60 and 35:65 (w/w) ratios. Meanwhile, the average percentage of degradation rate was high for the 40:60 (w/w) ratio because it contained less hydroxyapatite and more bovine amniotic membrane than the ratios of 35:65 and 30:70 (w/w).

The inclusion of the hydroxyapatite ratio can result in a reduction in porosity size. Therefore, it may have an impact on a biocomposite's ability to access liquids. When the biomaterial immerses in the SBF solution, the solution forms a link with the biomaterial's surface. By capillary action, the fluid will progressively seep into the biomaterial. During this phase, the pore wall will serve as a new connection between the liquid and the biomaterial. A decrease in porosity size will result in a smaller surface area. As a result, liquid accessibility reduces, which results

in a slower rate of biodegradation.³² The level of biomaterial degradation is influenced by chemical properties, polymer composition, and environmental conditions.¹⁸

This study seeks to identify the appropriate combination ratio between the bovine amniotic membrane and hydroxyapatite and develop a bone biocomposite that produces swelling and biodegradation properties suitable for bone tissue regeneration. As bone tissue regeneration occurs, biomaterials must have a high swelling ability and low biodegradability. The high swelling capability will have a larger surface area that can support maximal cell attachment and proliferation on the biocomposite surface, which accelerates the regeneration process of bone defects.²⁷ Biocomposite can be used as bone graft material, preferably having a low level of biodegradation for bone regeneration to occur.²⁶

The results show that the percentage of swelling increased with the concentrations of bovine amniotic membrane increasing and the concentrations of hydroxyapatite decreasing. Additionally, the percentage of biodegradation decreased with the concentrations of hydroxyapatite increasing and the concentrations of bovine amniotic membrane decreasing. The BAM-HA biocomposite with a ratio of 40:60 (w/w) has high swelling and biodegradation ability, while the ratio of 30:70 (w/w) has low swelling and biodegradation ability.

REFERENCES

- Elkhenany H, El-Derby A, Abd Elkodous M, Salah RA, Lotfy A, El-Badri N. Applications of the amniotic membrane in tissue engineering and regeneration: the hundred-year challenge. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2022; 13(1): 8.
- Schmiedova I, Dembickaja A, Kiselakova L, Nowakova B, Slama P. Using of amniotic membrane derivatives for the treatment of chronic wounds. *Membranes (Basel).* 2021; 11(12): 941.
- Kumar A, Chandra RV, Reddy AA, Reddy BH, Reddy C, Naveen A. Evaluation of clinical, antiinflammatory and antiinfective properties of amniotic membrane used for guided tissue regeneration: A randomized controlled trial. *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2015; 12(2): 127–35.
- Faadhila T, Valentina M, Munadzirah E, Nirwana I, Soekartono H, Surboyo MC. Bovine sponge amnion stimulates socket healing: A histological analysis. *J Adv Pharm Technol Res.* 2021; 12(1): 99.
- Putra NHD, Matulatan F, Wibowo MD, Danardono E. Effects of dried bovine amniotic membrane as prosthetics of abdominal fascial defect closure observed by the expression of platelet-derived growth factor in *Rattus norvegicus wistar* strain. *Syst Rev Pharm.* 2020; 11(6): 987–91.
- Leal-Marín S, Kern T, Hofmann N, Pogozhykh O, Framme C, Börgel M, Figueiredo C, Glasmacher B, Gryshkov O. Human amniotic membrane: A review on tissue engineering, application, and storage. *J Biomed Mater Res Part B Appl Biomater.* 2021; 109(8): 1198–215.
- Dadkhah Tehrani F, Firouzeh A, Shabani I, Shabani A. A review on modifications of amniotic membrane for biomedical applications. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2021; 8: 606982.
- Nurhaeni CSW, Komara I. Socket preservation. *Padjadjaran J Dent.* 2015; 27(3): 133–8.
- Arifin A, Mahyudin F, Edward M. The clinical and radiological outcome of bovine hydroxyapatite (bio hydrox) as bone graft. *J Orthop Traumatol Surabaya.* 2020; 9(1): 9–16.

10. Kattimani VS, Kondaka S, Lingamaneni KP. Hydroxyapatite—Past, present, and future in bone regeneration. *Bone Tissue Regen Insights*. 2016; 7: BTRIS36138.
11. Rujitanapanich S, Kumpapan P, Wanjanoi P. Synthesis of hydroxyapatite from oystershell via precipitation. *Energy Procedia*. 2014; 56: 112–7.
12. Ardhiyanto HB. Peran hidroksiapatit sebagai material bone graft dalam menstimulasi kepadatan kolagen tipe I pada proses penyembuhan tulang. *Stomatognathic - J Kedokt Gigi*. 2012; 9(1): 16–8.
13. Yuliati A, Kartikasari N, Munadzirah E, Riandi D. The profile of crosslinked bovine hydroxyapatite gelatin chitosan scaffolds with 0.25% glutaraldehyde. *J Int Dent Med Res*. 2017; 10(1): 151–5.
14. Saputra AAH, Triyono J, Triyono T. Bovine bone hidroksiapatite materials mechanics properties at 900°C and 1200°C of calcination temperature. *Mek Maj Ilm Mek*. 2017; 16(1): 26–30.
15. Ratnayake JTB, Mucalo M, Dias GJ. Substituted hydroxyapatites for bone regeneration: A review of current trends. *J Biomed Mater Res Part B Appl Biomater*. 2017; 105(5): 1285–99.
16. Shoichet MS. Polymer scaffolds for biomaterials applications. *Macromolecules*. 2010; 43(2): 581–91.
17. Bruzauskaitė I, Bironaitė D, Bagdonas E, Bernotienė E. Scaffolds and cells for tissue regeneration: different scaffold pore sizes—different cell effects. *Cytotechnology*. 2016; 68(3): 355–69.
18. Kartikasari N, Yuliati A, Listiana I, Setijanto D, Suardita K, Ariani MD, Sosiawan A. Characteristic of bovine hydroxyapatite-gelatin-chitosan scaffolds as biomaterial candidate for bone tissue engineering. In: 2016 IEEE EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences (IECBES). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: IEEE; 2016. p. 623–6.
19. Azhar FF, Olad A, Salehi R. Fabrication and characterization of chitosan-gelatin/nanohydroxyapatite- polyaniline composite with potential application in tissue engineering scaffolds. *Des Monomers Polym*. 2014; 17(7): 654–67.
20. Mohamed KR, Beherei HH, El-Rashidy ZM. In vitro study of nano-hydroxyapatite/chitosan-gelatin composites for bio-applications. *J Adv Res*. 2014; 5(2): 201–8.
21. Maji K, Dasgupta S, Pramanik K, Bissoyi A. Preparation and evaluation of gelatin-chitosan-nanobioglass 3D porous scaffold for bone tissue engineering. *Int J Biomater*. 2016; 2016: 9825659.
22. Bose S, Roy M, Bandyopadhyay A. Recent advances in bone tissue engineering scaffolds. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2012; 30(10): 546–54.
23. Melek LN. Tissue engineering in oral and maxillofacial reconstruction. *Tanta Dent J*. 2015; 12(3): 211–23.
24. Edgar L, McNamara K, Wong T, Tamburrini R, Katari R, Orlando G. Heterogeneity of scaffold biomaterials in tissue engineering. *Materials (Basel)*. 2016; 9(5): 332.
25. Tam TT, Todo M, Cheong KY, Hamid ZAA. Evaluation of cell viability of porous scaffold fabricated via freeze-drying technique for vascular tissue engineering. In: AIP Conference Proceedings. 2020. p. 020007.
26. Wattanuchariya W, Changkotchai W. Characterization of porous scaffold from chitosan-gelatin/hydroxyapatite for bone grafting. In: The International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists Vol II. Hongkong: Chiang Mai University; 2014. p. 1–5.
27. Asaeli AP, Yuliati A, Budhy TI, Wilda S, Silalahi I, Santoso D. The profile of cross-linked chitosan and collagen derived-chicken shank scaffold as biomaterials in tissue engineering. *J Int Dent Med Res*. 2019; 12(1): 6–11.
28. Gunasekaran D, Thada R, Jeyakumar GFS, Manimegalai NP, Shanmugam G, Sivagnanam UT. Physicochemical characterization and self-assembly of human amniotic membrane and umbilical cord collagen: A comparative study. *Int J Biol Macromol*. 2020; 165: 2920–33.
29. Anusavice KJ, Shen C, Rawls HR. Phillips' science of dental materials. 12th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2013. p. 592.
30. Ari MDA, Yuliati A, Rahayu RP, Saraswati D. The differences scaffold composition in pore size and hydrophobicity properties as bone regeneration biomaterial. *J Int Dent Med Res*. 2018; 11(1): 318–22.
31. Lee J, Yun H. Hydroxyapatite-containing gelatin/chitosan microspheres for controlled release of lysozyme and enhanced cytocompatibility. *J Mater Chem B*. 2014; 2(9): 1255–63.
32. Wang Q, Wang Q, Wan C. The effect of porosity on the structure and properties of calcium polyphosphate bioceramics. *Ceram - Silikaty*. 2011; 55(1): 43–8.

The characteristics of swelling and biodegradation tests of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite biocomposite

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

14%

INTERNET SOURCES

9%

PUBLICATIONS

10%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	5%
2	unair.ac.id Internet Source	2%
3	Submitted to iGroup Student Paper	1%
4	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	1%
5	mjltm.org Internet Source	1%
6	Alexander Patera Nugraha, Diah Savitri Ernawati, Ida Bagus Narmada, Taufan Bramantoro et al. "RANK-RANKL-OPG expression after gingival mesenchymal stem cell hypoxia preconditioned application in an orthodontic tooth movement animal model", Journal of Oral Biology and Craniofacial Research, 2023 Publication	1%

7	balimedicaljournal.ejournals.ca Internet Source	1 %
8	Ton Duc Thang University Publication	1 %
9	www.sciencegate.app Internet Source	1 %
10	repository.unej.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
11	Nadia Kartikasari, Anita Yuliati, Indah Listiana, Darmawan Setijanto, Ketut Suardita, Maretaningtyas Dwi Ariani, Agung Sosiawan. "Characteristic of bovine hydroxyapatite-gelatin-chitosan scaffolds as biomaterial candidate for bone tissue engineering", 2016 IEEE EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences (IECBES), 2016 Publication	1 %
12	Hoda Elkhenany, Azza El-Derby, Mohamed Abd Elkodous, Radwa A. Salah, Ahmed Lotfy, Nagwa El-Badri. "Applications of the amniotic membrane in tissue engineering and regeneration: the hundred-year challenge", Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 2022 Publication	1 %
13	Submitted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Student Paper	<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 15 words

Exclude bibliography On

The characteristics of swelling and biodegradation tests of bovine amniotic membrane-hydroxyapatite biocomposite

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

GENERAL COMMENTS

/0

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6
