



Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

Articles for the Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

5 pesan

Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>
Kepada: rgsa@openaccesspublications.org

26 Desember 2023 10.10

Dear: Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

I am Ning Adiasih, lecturer at Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

We have an interest in the field of science published by Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental, and now we have research that is in line with the "focus and scope" of this journal.

We have completed a scientific article entitled "**Overview Pluralism Law: Application Ammatoa Customary Crime Kajang, Bulukumba, South Sulawesi After the New Criminal Code Law**".

We hope this article can be published in the Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental, because there are interesting findings in the scientific article that can become new treasures in science.

Best Regards,
ThanksNing Adiasih
Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia**Articles for RGSA.doc**

391K

Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>
Kepada: Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

26 Desember 2023 10.20

Dear

Mrs. Ning Adiasih
Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, IndonesiaThank you for submitting the manuscript, "**Overview Pluralism Law: Application Ammatoa Customary Crime Kajang, Bulukumba, South Sulawesi After the New Criminal Code Law**" to Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Always check your email, because we will only notify you via this official email.

Best Regards,

--
Christian Luiz da Silva
Editor-in-Chief, Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

27 Desember 2023 11.21

Kepada: "Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental" <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>

Dear: Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

Thank you for your respons.

I will be happy to wait for further information.

Best Regards,

Ning Adiasih

Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>

11 Januari 2024 21.11

Kepada: Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

Dear

Mrs. Ning Adiasih

Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

The Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental Editorial Board has reviewed your article, the research topic is very interesting, but we decided that your article could not be accepted immediately. You must improve your article on several points.

I am sure you are willing to make this improvement because your scientific articles must meet Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental standards. Please correct your article according to the instructions from the Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental reviewers.

Reviewer 1 (Round 1):

Title: The title effectively captures the essence of the article, providing clear insight into the focus of the study.

Abstract: The abstract concisely summarizes the research, highlighting the significance of exploring pluralism in law within the context of customary crime among the Ammatoa community in Kajang, Bulukumba. However, it could benefit from elaborating on the specific methodologies employed and the key findings.

Introduction: The introduction sets a solid foundation by contextualizing the study within the framework of the new criminal code law and the unique customs of the Ammatoa community. The novelty lies in the exploration of how pluralism in law intersects with customary crime, offering a fresh perspective on legal anthropology.

Literature Review: The literature review effectively surveys existing scholarship on pluralism in law and customary practices, providing a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. However, more recent references could enhance the relevance and currency of the review.

Method: The method section adequately outlines the research approach, including data collection methods and participant selection criteria. However, further elaboration on the specific challenges and limitations faced during the research process would enhance the transparency of the study.

Result and Discussion: The results and discussion section presents a detailed analysis of the findings, elucidating the complexities of navigating plural legal systems within the Ammatoa community. The integration of theoretical frameworks enriches the discourse, facilitating a deeper understanding of the implications of the research.

Conclusion: The conclusion succinctly summarizes the key findings and their implications, underscoring the need for a nuanced approach to legal pluralism in addressing customary crime. However, a more explicit call to action or avenues for future research would enhance the concluding remarks.

References: The reference list is comprehensive and well-organized, featuring a diverse range of scholarly sources relevant to the study.

Reviewer 2 (Round 1):

Title: The title effectively encapsulates the core theme of the research, providing a clear indication of the study's focus on pluralism in law and its application within the context of customary crime among the Ammatoa community.

Abstract: The abstract succinctly outlines the research objectives, methodology, and key findings. However, it could be strengthened by providing more specific details regarding the significance of the research within the broader field of legal anthropology.

Introduction: The introduction effectively contextualizes the research within the framework of the new criminal code law and the unique customs of the Ammatoa community. The novelty of the study lies in its exploration of the intersections between pluralism in law and customary practices, offering valuable insights into legal anthropology.

Literature Review: The literature review provides a comprehensive overview of existing scholarship on pluralism in law and customary practices. However, a more critical analysis of the limitations and gaps in the literature would enhance the depth of the review.

Method: The method section provides a clear outline of the research approach, including data collection methods and participant selection criteria. However, further elaboration on the rationale behind the chosen methodologies would strengthen the methodological rigor of the study.

Result and Discussion: The results and discussion section presents a detailed analysis of the findings, elucidating the complexities of navigating plural legal systems within the Ammatoa community. The incorporation of theoretical frameworks enriches the discussion, offering valuable insights into the implications of the research.

Conclusion: The conclusion effectively summarizes the key findings and emphasizes the importance of adopting a nuanced approach to legal pluralism in addressing customary crime. However, more explicit recommendations for policymakers and practitioners would enhance the practical relevance of the study.

References: The reference list is comprehensive and well-structured, encompassing a diverse range of scholarly sources relevant to the research topic.

I hope you can make improvements as soon as possible, and send the revised article again via this email.

Best Regards,

--

Christian Luiz da Silva
Editor-in-Chief, Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

12 Januari 2024 09.13

Kepada: "Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental" <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>

Dear: Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

Thank you for your information.

I will immediately correct the article according to reviewers.

Best Regards,

Ning Adiasih

Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

Revision Article for Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

4 pesan

Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

17 Januari 2024 11.25

Kepada: "Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental" <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>

Dear

Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

Thank you for the patience of the Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental Editorial Board, waiting for the revision of my article. I have made improvements according to the reviewer's instructions. Revised article attached.

Best Regards,

Ning Adiasih

Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

**Revision1_RGSA-12012doc**

479K

Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>

24 Februari 2024 11.42

Kepada: Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

Dear

Mrs. Ning Adiasih

Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

Based on Reviewers' considerations, the article has, at some point, increased. Please follow the following revision instructions:

Reviewer 1 (Round 2):

Title: The title succinctly captures the essence of the research, focusing on pluralism in law and its application within the context of customary crime among the Ammatoa community. It effectively sets the stage for the exploration of legal dynamics within a unique cultural framework.

Abstract: The abstract provides a clear overview of the research objectives, methodologies, and key findings. However, it could benefit from a more explicit statement regarding the broader implications of the study for legal anthropology and the field of law.

Introduction: The introduction effectively contextualizes the research within the framework of the new criminal code law and the cultural intricacies of the Ammatoa community. The novelty lies in the exploration of how legal pluralism intersects with customary crime, offering valuable insights into the complexities of navigating multiple legal systems.

Literature Review: The literature review offers a comprehensive survey of existing scholarship on legal pluralism and customary practices. However, it could be strengthened by incorporating more recent publications and engaging with emerging debates in the field.

Method: The method section provides a clear outline of the research design and data collection procedures. However, further elaboration on the specific challenges encountered during the research process would enhance the transparency and rigor of the study.

Result and Discussion: The results and discussion section presents a detailed analysis of the findings, elucidating the complexities of legal dynamics within the Ammatoa community. The integration of theoretical frameworks enriches the discussion, offering valuable insights into the implications of the research for legal theory and practice.

Conclusion: The conclusion effectively summarizes the key findings and underscores the importance of adopting a nuanced approach to legal pluralism in addressing customary crime. However, a more explicit discussion of potential avenues for future research would enhance the concluding remarks.

References: The reference list is comprehensive and well-curated, featuring a diverse range of scholarly sources relevant to the research topic. However, ensuring consistency in citation style would improve the overall professionalism of the reference list.

Reviewer 2 (Round 2):

Title: The title aptly encapsulates the core focus of the research, providing a clear indication of the study's exploration of pluralism in law within the context of customary crime among the Ammatoa community. It effectively sets the tone for an in-depth analysis of legal dynamics within a unique cultural setting.

Abstract: The abstract succinctly summarizes the research objectives, methodologies, and key findings. However, it could be strengthened by providing a more explicit statement regarding the broader significance of the study for legal anthropology and its potential implications for legal practice.

Introduction: The introduction effectively situates the research within the framework of the new criminal code law and the cultural practices of the Ammatoa community. The novelty of the study lies in its exploration of the intersections between legal pluralism and customary crime, offering valuable insights into the complexities of navigating multiple legal systems.

Literature Review: The literature review provides a comprehensive overview of existing scholarship on legal pluralism and customary practices. However, a more critical engagement with the strengths and limitations of previous studies would enhance the depth of the review and contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the research context.

Method: The method section offers a clear outline of the research design and data collection procedures. However, providing more detailed information on the rationale behind the chosen methodologies and the steps taken to address potential biases would enhance the methodological rigor of the study.

Result and Discussion: The results and discussion section presents a detailed analysis of the findings, shedding light on the intricate legal dynamics within the Ammatoa community. The incorporation of theoretical frameworks enriches the discussion, offering valuable insights into the implications of the research for legal theory and practice.

Conclusion: The conclusion effectively summarizes the key findings and emphasizes the importance of adopting a nuanced approach to legal pluralism in addressing customary crime. However, a more explicit discussion of potential avenues for future research would enhance the concluding remarks and contribute to the ongoing scholarly dialogue in the field.

References: The reference list is comprehensive and well-organized, encompassing a diverse range of scholarly sources relevant to the research topic. However, ensuring consistency in citation style would improve the overall professionalism of the reference list.

Articles that have been revised and please send it back via this email.

Best Regards,

--

Christian Luiz da Silva
Editor-in-Chief, Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

25 Februari 2024 09.50

Kepada: "Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental" <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>

Dear
Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

Thank you for your response, I will be happy to revise the article according to these instructions.

Best Regards,

Ning Adiasih
Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

02 Maret 2024 09.11

Kepada: "Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental" <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>

Dear
Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

Thank you for the patience of the Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental Editorial Board in waiting for the submission of my second article revision.

I have made improvements according to the instructions from the reviewers. I am ready to wait for the next process.

Revised Article Attached.

Best Regards,

Ning Adiasih
Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia



Revision2_RGSA-12012.doc

489K



Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

Article accepted for publication - Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental-12012

1 pesan

Editor Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental <rgsa@openaccesspublications.org>
Kepada: Ning Adiasih <ning.a@trisakti.ac.id>

25 Maret 2024 12.50

Dear,
Mrs. Ning Adiasih
(Co-authors, you are copied into this email for information purposes only)

Ref: Article title: "Overview Pluralism Law: Application Ammatoa Customary Crime Kajang, Bulukumba, South Sulawesi After the New Criminal Code Law"
Submission code: RGSA-12012

I am pleased to inform you that your article has been accepted for publication in Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental Volume 18 Number 6 of 2024. You now need to upload the final revised version for this article and your author copyright agreement form.

Thank you! Your continuing cooperation is most appreciated.

With kind regards,

--
Christian Luiz da Silva
Editor-in-Chief, Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental

 **LoA_RGSA_12012.pdf**
457K