


## INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

<https://eudl.eu/>



The screenshot shows the homepage of the European Union Digital Library (EUDL). At the top left is the EUDL logo with the text "European Union Digital Library". To the right are navigation tabs for "Proceedings", "Series", "Journals", "Search", and "EAI". Below these is a search bar with a dropdown menu labeled "Select" and a text input field containing "search terms here...". The main content area features a dark blue banner with the text "Share knowledge innovation through European Union Digital Library". The banner contains an illustration of a person interacting with a large digital screen, surrounded by books, a clock, and gears. In the bottom right corner of the banner is the EAI logo with the tagline "RESEARCH MEETS INNOVATION".



The image shows the cover of the proceedings for the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics (ICBAE 2022). The cover has a blue background with a geometric pattern. The title "ICBAE 2022" is prominently displayed in white. Below the title, the subtitle "Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics" is written in a smaller font. The location and dates "Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia 10-11 August 2022" are listed below the subtitle. The "EDITORS" section lists the following names: Bima Cinintya Pratama, Sarkar Kabir, Hassan Mohammad Kabir, Rizal Yaya, Salina Kassim, Judit Kovács, Naelati Tubastuvi, Tiara Pandansari, Azmi Fitriati, Wida Purwidianti, and Suryo Budi Santoso. At the bottom left is the CCER logo, and at the bottom right is the EAI logo with the tagline "RESEARCH MEETS INNOVATION".



Buy Full Book

ICBAE 2022

Other Years

ICBAE 2020

ICBAE 2022

**ICBAE**

Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics, ICBAE 2022, 10-11 August 2022, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia

The 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics (ICBAE) 2022 continued the agenda to bring together researchers, academics, experts and professionals in examining selected themes by applying multidisciplinary approaches. This conference is the third intentional conference he...  
[more »](#)

**Editor(s):** Bima Ciniytha Pratama (Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia), Sarkar Kabir (Coventry University London, United Kingdom), Hassan Mohammad Kabir (University of New Orleans, USA), Rizal Yaya (Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia), Salina Kassim (International Islamic University Malaysia), Judit Kovács (University of Debrecen, Hungary), Naela Ti Tubastuvi (Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia), Tiara Pandansari (Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia), Azmi Fitriati (Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia), Wida Purwidiarti (Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia) and Suryo Budi Santoso (Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia)

Publisher EAI ISBN 978-1-63190-364-9 ISSN 2593-7650 Series CCER

Conference dates 10th–11th Aug 2022 Location Purwokerto, Indonesia

Appeared in EUDL 2022-08-23

Copyright © 2022–2024 EAI



**Conference Organization**

<b>Steering Committee</b>	Dr. Nandini Tishamri, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia
Bima Ciniytha Pratama, S.E., M.Si., Ph.D., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Hadi Prasono, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
<b>Organizing Committee</b>	
Nur Izzah Nurrahma, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Azzahra Nurrahman, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Rizka Fadhila, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Fitri Yuliana, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Dwi Wicari, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Fandi Nurrahman, S.E., M.M., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Melinda Nurrahman, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Ibu Nurrahma, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Rendy Pratiwi, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Tina Purwati, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Bisma Ciniytha Pratama, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Wida Purwidiarti, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Ibana Nurrahman, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Dr. Anas Firdausy, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Prof. Dr. Muhammad Kholil Haniin, Universitas Islam, University of New Orleans	
Prof. Dr. Suban Kusuma, International Islamic University	
Prof. Rizal Yaya, S.E., M.Si., Ph.D., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta	
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sarkar Kabir, Coventry University	
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hassan Mohammad Kabir, University of Orleans	
Dr. Elan Rasywan, M.Si., Ak. CA, CPA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Dr. Sri Widayanti, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Suryo Budi Santoso, S.E., M.Si., Ph.D., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Dr. Eren Kadirhan, S.E., M.M., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Dr. Novi Daryanti, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Aldimar Durrant, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Fahma Baiti, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
M. Anas Mubandaz, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Dia Syarif, M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Yuliana Pratiwi, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Siti Nur Azzah, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Irvan Rudianto, S.E., MBA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Abdullah, S.E., Sp. M.E., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Herman Estegono, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Muhammad Fandi, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Heady Widayanto, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Ibana Nurrahman, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Ena Hidayati, S.E., Ak. M.M., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Alvin Yuzar Kurniawan, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Fitri Opa Dewandaru, S.E., M.Ace, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Lutfi Fauzan, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Helianto Wibisono, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Indi Nur Syarif, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Solihat Eko Baiti Santoso, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Frank Firdausy, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Mama Muhi Kholid, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Amir, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	

Pawati, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Risa Mulyanti, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Asni Nurrahman, S.E., M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Dia, Tri Septia Mayi Rahayu, M.Si., Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	
Am. Kurniawan, S.E., M.Si., Ak. CA, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia	

Showing 61–70 of 139 results

Page size: 10 25 50

First Previous 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 ... Next Last

Fintech Development and Banking Performance in Indonesia  
Research Article in Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics, ICBAE 2022, 10-11 August 2022, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia  
Dimas Bagus Wiranatakusuma, Daffa Zain Ar Rifqi Jami

Moderating Institutional Ownership : Profitability and Firm Value  
Research Article in Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics, ICBAE 2022, 10-11 August 2022, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia  
Lestari Adhi Widyowati, Inta Hartaningtyas Rani

The Effect Of Price, Product Quality, And Quality Of Service On Consumer Satisfaction On Uad Kobessah Coffee  
Research Article in Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics, ICBAE 2022, 10-11 August 2022, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia  
Dika Setiarto N, Fitroh Adilla

The Role of Pro-Environmental Belief as a Driver of Green Purchase Behavior: Green Product Quality as a Moderating Variable  
Research Article in Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics, ICBAE 2022, 10-11 August 2022, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia  
RR Dyah Astarini, Luki Adiat Pratomo

Work Discipline, Competency, and Work Environment: Its Effect on Employee's Performance  
Research Article in Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics, ICBAE 2022, 10-11 August 2022, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia  
Purnadi Purnadi, Hanna Triliani Anggita, Yudhistira Pradipta Aryoko, Nayasari Wahyu Mulya Putri

A PLS-SEM Structural Equation Model Analysis of Causes and Effects for Formula E Car Racing in Jakarta Tourism Destinations

<https://eudl.eu/doi/10.4108/eai.10-8-2022.2320825>



Proceedings Series Journals Search EAI

Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Business, Accounting, and Economics, ICBAE 2022, 10-11 August 2022, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia

Research Article

### The Role of Pro-Environmental Belief as a Driver of Green Purchase Behavior: Green Product Quality as a Moderating Variable

Cite BibTeX Plain Text

RR Dyah Astarini<sup>1\*</sup>, Luki Adiat Pratomo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>: Trisakti University

\*Contact email: diah.astarini@trisakti.ac.id



Download  
353 downloads

#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to investigate the role of pro-environmental belief as a driver of green purchase behavior. The data was collected by distributing questionnaires to 135 (hundred thirty-five) respondents who were filled in online via a google form, and offline by distributing leaflets. The data used is cross-sectional and used purposive sampling as a sampling method technique. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) is used as an analytical method. The results of this study indicate that altruistic value has no positive effect on pro-environmental belief and hedonic value has a positive effect on pro-environment belief. In addition, pro-environmental belief has a positive effect on green personal values and a positive influence on green personal values towards green purchase behavior. However, there is no positive effect of green personal value toward green purchase behavior and green purchase intention toward a green purchase behavior. It is also found that green product quality is moderating the effect of green personal value toward green product intention and the influence of green product intention towards green purchase behavior on the low group of respondents. The findings can give benefit companies in understanding consumers' attitudes and tendencies toward green products and services. The originality of the study is by applying green product quality as a moderating variable.

Keywords pro-environmental belief green personal value green purchase behavior green product quality

Published 2022-08-23 Publisher EAI

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4108/eai.10-8-2022.2320825>

# The Role of Pro-Environmental Belief as a Driver of Green Purchase Behavior: Green Product Quality as a Moderating Variable

RR Dyah Astarini and Luki Adiati Pratomo  
diah.astarini@trisakti.ac.id and luki.adiati@trisakti.ac.id

Faculty of Economics and Business, Trisakti University

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to investigate the role of pro-environmental belief as a driver of green purchase behavior. The data was collected by distributing questionnaires to 135 (hundred thirty-five) respondents who were filled in online via a google form, and offline by distributing leaflets. The data used is cross-sectional and used purposive sampling as a sampling method technique. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) is used as an analytical method. The results of this study indicate that altruistic value has no positive effect on pro-environmental belief and hedonic value has a positive effect on pro-environment belief. In addition, pro-environmental belief has a positive effect on green personal values and a positive influence on green personal values towards green purchase behavior. However, there is no positive effect of green personal value toward green purchase behavior and green purchase intention toward a green purchase behavior. It is also found that green product quality is moderating the effect of green personal value toward green product intention and the influence of green product intention towards green purchase behavior on the low group of respondents. The findings can give benefit companies in understanding consumers' attitudes and tendencies toward green products and services. The originality of the study is by applying green product quality as a moderating variable.

**Keywords:** pro-environmental belief, green personal value, green purchase behavior, green product quality

**Paper type:** Research Paper

## 1 Introduction

In recent decades consumer behavior become a crucial consideration in a marketing context, as a consequence of the increase in modern information and communication technology. It shows that changes in consumer behavior patterns play a role in changing consumer lifestyles [1]. One of the changes encourages consumer sensitivity to green consumption or green purchases. [2] stated, that green consumption has emerged as one of the important topics in the marketing context. Green consumption, which was initiated by buying green products, has become a major trend in the global business competition environment. The purchase of products or services as a derivative of green consumption began to emerge due to government regulations, citizen awareness, and company policies to increase awareness of environmentally friendly products [3]. Consumers who have an environmentally conscious attitude tend to adopt environmentally friendly lifestyle behaviors, in order to preserve nature and the environment in a sustainable manner [4]. The purchase of environmentally friendly products (green purchase behavior) is based on the consumer's environmental care behavior driven by values derived from the causal beliefs of someone who cares about environmental sustainability in a sustainable manner [3].

In this study, altruistic value and hedonic value will be discussed. Altruistic value is the feeling or concern of individuals who have a relationship with the environment [5]. Altruistic values can be a driving factor for individual attitudes to have environmental care behavior; [6] found that there is a significant association between altruistic values and environmental care behavior. Research by [7] stated if someone has high altruistic value he or she will be more concerned about environmental problems and has a stronger desire to participate in environmental care behavior.

Hedonic value is a value that is owned by each individual as a subject of personal understanding related to pleasure and joy [8]. Hedonic value is a value attached importance to pleasure or enjoyment in one's life (personal enjoyment that a person has). There have not been many studies on the effect of hedonic values on environmental care attitudes, but [9] ; [10] in their research proves that hedonic values have a positive influence on environmental care behavior or pro-environmental beliefs.

Pro-environmental belief or environmental care behavior is understood as behavior that arises from one's awareness to minimize the negative impact of an action on nature, such as minimizing the use of resources, saving in energy use, using harmless materials, and reducing waste [11]. The formation of an attitude of caring for the environment can arise from values derived from the cause and effect of one's beliefs.



Research by [21] found that there is a significant influence of personal norms in buying organic food for over several years. Beliefs that lead to buying organic products tend to increase the variance in the explanation of the behavior of buying environmentally friendly products [21] and [2] also emphasized that the stronger personal norms the more people's intention to apply eco-innovation in their life. This statement is also supported by [13] who found a significant effect of personal norms toward green consumption behavior or green purchase behavior in the tourism industry. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis is:

H4: there is a positives effect of green personal value towards green purchase intention

#### **The effect of Green Personal Value on Green Purchase Behavior**

[13] found that personal norms have a significant relationship with green consumption behavior or green purchase behavior in the tourism industry. Although research examining the personal norm variable on green purchase behavior in the field of herbal products is still very limited, in the study of [9], it is stated that personal norm has an influence on green purchase behavior. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H5: there is a positives effect of green personal value towards green purchase behavior

#### **The Effect of Green Purchase Intention on Green Purchase Behavior**

The tendency to buy a brand is generally based on the intention or motive in making a purchase [22]. Furthermore, it is said that purchase intention is a predictor of buying behavior. However, research conducted in China said that although the purchase of a product was based on purchase intention, it did not fully show the same level of effectiveness [23]. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H6: there is a positives effect of green purchase intention towards green purchase behavior

#### **The Role of Green products Quality as a moderating variable**

Some researchers find that there are several variables that can link the association between personal norms, green purchase intentions, and green purchase behavior, including gender [16], culture ([17]., 2016), emotional appeals [18] and green product quality [24]. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H7: green products quality moderating green personnel value on green purchase intentions

H8: green products quality moderating green personnel value on green purchase behavior

## **Research Methodology**

Hypothesis testing was used as a research design. is a data. This study used non-probability sampling methods and a cross-sectional. We used an individual as an analysis unit. Data were collected by sharing an online questionnaire via google-form. Hundred and thirty-five respondents were collected after to fill-out a thirty-items questionnaire. We adopted the items from [9] which consisted of altruistic (3 indicators), hedonic (4 indicators) dan pro-environmental belief (3 items). It is also adapted from [25]), which is consist of green personal values (6 items). Whereas green purchase intentions (3 items) are adopted [26]. Green purchase behavior (8 items) is adopted from [27] &[28]. Lastly, green product quality (3 items) is taken from [24]. This study used purposive sampling with some criterias: 1) respondents had been buying and using green products or services and 2) respondents had been used at least twice for the past four months. Five Likert Scale (1-5) with five alternatives (from strongly disagree to strongly agree) is being used. Validity test with a loading factor more than 0.40 and reliability test with Cronbach's Alpha more than 0.6 [29] are used to assess instruments

**Table 1. Variable and Measurement**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>References</b>
Altruistic	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The health aspect is my consideration, when I want to use a service.</li> <li>2. When using a service, I focus on services that are environmentally friendly.</li> <li>3. I am concerned about people who use services that are not environmentally friendly</li> </ol>	[9]

Hedonic	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I have my own pleasure when using environmentally friendly services.</li> <li>2. The use of environmentally friendly services has a positive influence on me.</li> <li>3. I will enjoy using environmentally friendly services.</li> <li>4. I will feel relaxed using environmentally friendly services.</li> </ol>	[9]
Pro-Environmental Belief	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I want to participate in protecting the atmosphere</li> <li>2. I convince that it is important to make environmental issues a personal responsibility for each one</li> <li>3. I believe that helping the environment is a moral obligation.</li> </ol>	[9]
Green personal value	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I believe it is a personal obligation to take whatever action I can take to prevent environmental damage.</li> <li>2. I feel obligated to protect the environment from damage, regardless of what other people do.</li> <li>3. I feel guilty when my actions contribute to environmental damage.</li> <li>4. I feel responsible for protecting the environment from damage.</li> <li>5. People like me should do whatever they can to protect the environment from damage.</li> <li>6. I like to use green products than conventional products</li> </ol>	[25]
Green purchase Intentions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I use this products / service because it cares about the environment.</li> <li>2. I hope to use these products / services in the future because of their concern for the environment.</li> <li>3. In general, I am happy in using this products / service because it is a green products</li> </ol>	[26]
Green purchase behaviour	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I often use services whose performance is friendly to the environment.</li> <li>2. I often use services whose performance does not harm the environment.</li> <li>3. When I want to use a service, I will make sure that the service I use has an environmentally friendly certificate.</li> <li>4. I often use services that support trade fairness in the community.</li> <li>5. I often use services that oppose the use of animals as testing tools.</li> <li>6. I often use services that are labeled environmentally friendly.</li> <li>7. I often use services that care about the environment.</li> <li>8. I prefer to use services that are environmentally friendly, even though they are more expensive.</li> </ol>	[27] & [28]
Green Product Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Green product has higher quality than conventional product.</li> <li>2. Green product has more functions than conventional product.</li> <li>3. Green product has a better aesthetic properties than conventional product.</li> </ol>	[24]

**Table 2. The Goodness of Fit Test Results**

Type of Indicators	Measurement	Cut Off	Value	Result
	<i>Sig. Probability</i>	more or equal to 0,05	0,000	<i>Poor Fit</i>
<i>Absolute Fit Indices</i>	GFI	more or equal to 0,90	0,767	<i>Poor Fit</i>
	RMSEA	less or equal to 0,10	0,073	<i>Goodness of Fit</i>
	NFI	more or equal to 0,90	0,792	<i>Poor Fit</i>
	TLI	more or	0,888	<i>Marginal Fit</i>

<b>Incremental Fit Indices</b>	CFI	more or equal to 0,90	0,900	<i>Goodness of Fit</i>
	RFI	more or equal to 0,90	0,767	<i>Poor Fit</i>
	IFI	more or equal to	0,901	<i>Goodness of Fit</i>
<b>Parsimony Fit Indices</b>	AGFI	less or equal to GFI	0,720	<i>Goodness of Fit</i>

Source: processed data AMOS 21

## Results And Discussion

The total respondents who can be analyzed are 135 people, the majority are women by 83 people (61.5%). The age range of the respondents is 18 years old - above 54 years old where the majority were 18 years old - 34 years old (45.9%). The last education of the majority of respondents are S1 which is 42 people (31.1%). The majority of respondents' status are students of 45 people (33.3%). The income of most of the respondents is between Rp 1.500.000 to Rp 4.500.000 (25.2%). Seventy-five respondents like buy food (55.65%). Moreover, sixty respondents say that the reason of buying a green-products due to the environment friendly and the followed by healthy live.

**Table 3. Descriptive Statistic**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Deviation Standard</b>
<i>Altruistic</i>	4.304	0,789
<i>Hedonic</i>	4.398	0.773
<i>Pro-Environmental Belief</i>	4.561	0,720
<i>Green personal value</i>	4.380	0.771
<i>Green purchase Intentions</i>	4.462	0.710
<i>Green purchase behavior</i>	4,113	0,829
<i>Green Product Quality</i>	4.017	0,819

Source: AMOS 21 processed data

From the table, it seen that mean of altruistic is 4.304. It means respondents care about the environment as they always consider the health aspects before buying products and concerns about the negative aspects of the products. Mean of hedonic is 4398, it can be said that respondents are happy and enjoy buying green products. Moreover, pro-environmental belief 's mean is 4.561. Therefore, it can be said that respondents are very aware and understand of negative impacts if someone does not care on environment. They want to participate in protecting the earth and moral responsibility to save the planet is very crucial. Green personal values' mean is 4.380. It is also stated that respondents have a personal obligation to prevents environmental degradation, feel guilty with the environmental gradation and want to protect environment from gradation. Green Purchase Intentions mean is 4.462. It can be said that respondents have an intention on purchasing green products / service due to their interest to the atmosphere. Indeed, Green purchase behavior's mean is 4.113, it can be stated that respondents have been bought green products and still want to buy although the price is more expensive than ordinary / conventional products or services. Green products quality's means is 4.017, it means that respondents perceived green products quality is higher than ordinary products / services and has more function than conventional products/ services

**Table 4. Hypotheses Result**

<b>Hipotesis</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b>Results</b>
H1: Altruistic → Pro-Environmental Belief	0,166	0,430	Not supported



H2: Hedonics → Pro-Environmental Belief	0,816	0,000	supported
H3: Pro-Environmental Belief → Green Personal Value	0,900	0,000	supported
H4: Green Personal Value → Green Personal Intentions	0,950	0,000	supported
H5: Green Personal Value → Green Purchase Behavior	0,516	0,146	Not supported
H6: Green Personal Intentions → Green Purchase Behavior	0,383	0,287	Not supported
H7: Green Personal Value → Green Purchase Intentions moderated by Green Product Quality			
For Low Group	0,897	0,000	supported
For High Group	0,770	0,000	
H8: Green Purchase Intentions → Green Purchase Behavior moderated by Green Product Quality			
For low Group :	0,931	0,000	supported
For High Group:	0,807	0,000	

## Discussion

The hypothesis testing showed mixed results. Five hypotheses are supported and others are not supported or rejected. Hypotheses 1 assessed the significant effect of altruistic towards pro-environmental belief. Estimated value is 0.166 and p-value is 0.430. It can be stated that H01 is accepted and Ha1 is rejected. It means that there is no effect of altruistic towards pro-environmental belief.

Hypotheses 2 assessed the positive effect of hedonic towards pro-environmental belief. It can be seen from the table, estimate value is 0.816 and p-value is 0.000. So, it can be said that H02 is rejected and Ha2 is accepted. Therefore, hedonics have a strong positive effect towards pro-environmental belief. It means that the more people enjoy and happy in buying green products, the more people willing to participate in preserving the environment.

Hypotheses 3 assessed the significant effect of pro-environmental belief towards green personal values. Result shows estimated value is 0.900 and p-value is 0.000. It can be said that H03 is rejected and Ha3 is accepted. Therefore, pro-environmental belief has a strong significant effect on green personal value. It means that the more people willing the participate in preserving the environment to more people feel obliged to save environment from degradations.

Hypotheses 4 evaluated the positive effect of green personal value towards green purchase intentions. Result shows estimated value is 0.950 and p-value is 0.000. It can be said that H04 is rejected and Ha4 is accepted. Therefore, green personal value has a strong positive effect toward green purchase intentions. It means that the more people willing the participate in protecting environment from degradation the more people intend to buy the environment friendly product.

Hypotheses 5 assessed the positive effect of green personal value toward green purchase intentions. Result shows that estimated value is 0.516 and p-value is 0.146. It can be said that H05 is accepted and Ha5 is rejected. Therefore, green personal value have no positive effect towards green purchase behavior.

Hypotheses 6 assessed the positive effect of green purchase intentions towards green purchase behavior. The result shows estimated value is 0.383 and p-value is 0.267. It can be said that H06 is accepted and Ha6 is rejected. Therefore, green purchase intentions have no significant effect towards green purchase behavior. This is supported by [23] on his study in China,

Hypotheses 7, based on the table of results of testing the seventh hypothesis above, it is generally known that the probability level value is  $0.007 < 0.05$ . This shows that there are differences between the two groups of green products quality, low and high groups. To see in detail the magnitude effect of green personnel value on green purchase intentions, the p-value in low is 0.000 and p-value in high group is 0.001 which are lower than 0.05. Meanwhile, estimate value for low group is 0.897 and for high group is 0.770. Therefore, the hypothesis is supported. It means the green products quality of the low group dominates in influencing green purchase intentions.

Hypotheses 8, based on the table of results of testing the eighth hypothesis above, it is generally known that the probability level value is  $0.000 < 0.05$ . This shows that there are differences between the two groups of green products quality, low and high groups. To see in detail the magnitude of the influence of green personnel value on green purchase behavior, the p-value in the low and high groups are the same, that is 0.000. This is lower than 0.005.

Moreover, estimate value for low group is 0.931 and for high group is 0.807. As a result, the hypothesis is supported, where the green products quality of the low group dominates in influencing green purchase behavior.

## Conclusion

The conclusion of this research show that altruistic has no effect on pro-environmental belief. However, hedonics has a very significant effect toward pro-environmental belief. Moreover, pro-environmental belief also has a strong positive effect toward green personal value. However, green personal value has no positive effect towards green purchase intentions as well as green purchase intention toward green purchase behavior.

In accordance with the current marketing concept and consumer behavior, this study broaden the literature by giving more focus to the effects of pro-environmental belief, green personal value, green purchase intentions that lead to green purchase behavior. It is also show that the role of green product quality is very important. Therefore, the company who provide green products or services has to improve the quality of the product including brand and services. This study also has some limitations. First, research area was only surrounding Jakarta. Second, the most of data collection is using google.doc. Therefore, it is suggested to expand area of study and add some variables such egoistics and biopheric environmntal [5]. It may also add mediation variables such as eco-innovation [2] to generate more comprehensive study.

## References

- [1] S. A. Narula and A. Desore, "Framing green consumer behaviour research: Opportunities and challenges," *Soc. Responsib. J.*, 2016, doi: 10.1108/SRJ-08-2014-0112.
- [2] J. Jansson, A. Marell, and N. Annika, "Green consumer behavior: Determinants of curtailment and eco-innovation adoption," *J. Consum. Mark.*, vol. 27, pp. 358–370, Jun. 2010, doi: 10.1108/07363761011052396.
- [3] H. R. Kaufmann, M. F. A. K. Panni, and Y. Orphanidou, "Factors affecting consumers' green purchasing behavior: An integrated conceptual framework," *Amfiteatru Econ.*, 2012.
- [4] L. W. Zou and R. Y. K. Chan, "Why and when do consumers perform green behaviors? An examination of regulatory focus and ethical ideology," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 94, pp. 113–127, 2019.
- [5] V. Swami, T. Chamorro-Premuzic, R. Snelgar, and A. Furnham, "Egoistic, altruistic, and biospheric environmental concerns: A path analytic investigation of their determinants," *Scand. J. Psychol.*, 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2009.00760.x.
- [6] K. Kiatkawsin, "Young travelers' intention to behave pro-environmentally: Merging the value-belief-norm theory and the expectancy theory," *Tour. Manag.*, vol. 59, pp. 76–88, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2016.06.018.
- [7] M. vicente-molina, A. Fernández-Sainz, and J. Izagirre-Olaizola, "Environmental knowledge and other variables affecting pro-environmental behaviour: Comparison of university students from emerging and advanced countries," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 61, May 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.05.015.
- [8] C. Teller, T. Reutterer, and P. Schnedlitz, "Hedonic and utilitarian shopper types in evolved and created retail agglomerations," *Int. Rev. Retail. Distrib. Consum. Res.*, 2008, doi: 10.1080/09593960802113877.
- [9] A. Jaini, F. Quoquab, J. Mohammad, and N. Hussin, "Antecedents of green purchase behavior of cosmetics products: An empirical investigation among Malaysian consumers," *Int. J. Ethics Syst.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/IJOES-11-2018-0170.
- [10] H. Lind, T. Nordfjærn, S. Jørgensen, and T. Rundmo, "The value-belief-norm theory, personal norms and sustainable travel mode choice in urban areas," *J. Environ. Psychol.*, vol. in press, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.06.001.
- [11] A. Kollmuss and J. Agyeman, "Mind the Gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior?," *Environ. Educ. Res.*, 2002, doi: 10.1080/13504620220145401.
- [12] I. Rahman and D. Reynolds, "Predicting green hotel behavioral intentions using a theory of environmental commitment and sacrifice for the environment," *Int. J. Hosp. Manag.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.09.007.
- [13] P. Joohyung and H. Sejin, "Understanding pro-environmental behavior: A comparison of sustainable consumers and apathetic consumers," *Int. J. Retail Distrib. Manag.*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 388–403, Jan. 2012, doi: 10.1108/09590551211222367.
- [14] A. K. Moser, "Thinking green, buying green? Drivers of pro - Environmental purchasing behavior," *J. Consum. Mark.*, 2015, doi: 10.1108/JCM-10-2014-1179.
- [15] A. Jaini, F. Quoquab, J. Mohammad, and N. Hussin, "'I buy green products, do you...?': The moderating effect of eWOM on green purchase behavior in Malaysian cosmetics industry," *Int. J. Pharm. Healthc. Mark.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/IJPHM-02-2019-0017.
- [16] P. M. Tikka, M. T. Kuitunen, and S. M. Tynys, "Effects of educational background on students' attitudes, activity levels, and knowledge concerning the environment," *J. Environ. Educ.*, 2000, doi: 10.1080/00958960009598640.
- [17] B. Chekima, S. Chekima, S. A. W. Syed Khalid Wafa, O. A. Igaua, and S. L. Sondoh, "Sustainable consumption: The effects of knowledge, cultural values, environmental advertising, and demographics," *Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/13504509.2015.1114043.
- [18] R. P. Vlosky, L. K. Ozanne, and R. J. Fontenot, "A conceptual model of US consumer willingness-to-pay for

- environmentally certified wood products,” *J. Consum. Mark.*, 1999, doi: 10.1108/07363769910260498.
- [19] D. Birch, J. Memery, and M. De Silva Kanakaratne, “The mindful consumer: Balancing egoistic and altruistic motivations to purchase local food,” *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2017.10.013.
- [20] R. Doran and S. Larsen, “The Relative Importance of Social and Personal Norms in Explaining Intentions to Choose Eco-Friendly Travel Options,” *Int. J. Tour. Res.*, vol. 18, pp. 159–166, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1002/jtr.2042.
- [21] B. Møller and J. Thøgersen, “Car Use Habits: An Obstacle to the Use of Public Transportation? BT - Road Pricing, the Economy and the Environment,” C. Jensen-Butler, B. Sloth, M. M. Larsen, B. Madsen, and O. A. Nielsen, Eds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 301–313.
- [22] I. Ajzen, “Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. The theory of planned behavior,” *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 1991.
- [23] R. Y. K. Chan, “Determinants of Chinese consumers’ green purchase behavior,” *Psychol. Mark.*, 2001, doi: 10.1002/mar.1013.
- [24] M. F. Y. Cheung and W. M. To, “An extended model of value-attitude-behavior to explain Chinese consumers’ green purchase behavior,” *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.04.006.
- [25] T. Islam, M. M. Khan, I. Ahmed, and K. Mahmood, “Promoting in-role and extra-role green behavior through ethical leadership: mediating role of green HRM and moderating role of individual green values,” *Int. J. Manpow.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/IJM-01-2020-0036.
- [26] S. Amin and M. T. Tarun, “Effect of consumption values on customers’ green purchase intention: a mediating role of green trust,” *Soc. Responsib. J.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/SRJ-05-2020-0191.
- [27] C. K. M. Lai and E. W. L. Cheng, “Green purchase behavior of undergraduate students in Hong Kong,” *Soc. Sci. J.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.soscij.2015.11.003.
- [28] A. Khare, “Antecedents to green buying behaviour: A study on consumers in an emerging economy,” *Mark. Intell. Plan.*, 2015, doi: 10.1108/MIP-05-2014-0083.
- [29] J. F. Hair, R. E. Anderson, R. L. Tatham, and W. C. Black, *Multivariate Data Analysis, Multivariate Data Analysis*. 2018.

# The Role of Pro-Environmental Belief as a Driver of Green Purchase Behavior: Green Product Quality as a Moderating Variable

*by Rr Dyah Astarini, Luki Adiati Pratomo*

---

**Submission date:** 11-Apr-2023 11:21AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2061260107

**File name:** The\_Role\_of\_Pro-Environmental\_Belief\_dyah\_luki.pdf (294.34K)

**Word count:** 4546

**Character count:** 24985

# The Role of Pro-Environmental Belief as a Driver of Green Purchase Behavior: Green Product Quality as a Moderating Variable

RR Dyah Astarini and Luki Adiati Pratomo  
diah.astarini@trisakti.ac.id and luki.adiati@trisakti.ac.id

13  
Faculty of Economics and Business, Trisakti University

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to investigate the role of pro-environmental belief as a driver of green purchase behavior. The data was collected by distributing questionnaires to 135 (hundred thirty-five) respondents who were filled in online via a google form, and offline by distributing leaflets. The data used is cross-sectional and used purposive sampling as a sampling method technique. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) is used as an analytical method. The results of this study indicate that altruistic value has no positive effect on pro-environmental belief and hedonic value has a positive effect on pro-environment belief. In addition, pro-environmental belief has a positive effect on green personal values and a positive influence on green personal values towards green purchase behavior. However, there is no positive effect of green personal value toward green purchase behavior and green purchase intention toward a green purchase behavior. It is also found that green product quality is moderating the effect of green personal value toward green product intention and the influence of green product intention towards green purchase behavior on the low group of respondents. The findings can give benefit companies in understanding consumers' attitudes and tendencies toward green products and services. The originality of the study is by applying green product quality as a moderating variable.

**Keywords:** pro-environmental belief, green personal value, green purchase behavior, green product quality

25  
**Paper type:** Research Paper

## 1 Introduction

In recent decades consumer behavior become a crucial consideration in a marketing context, as a consequence of the increase in modern information and communication technology. It shows that changes in consumer behavior patterns play a role in changing consumer lifestyles [1]. One of the changes encourages consumer sensitivity to green consumption or green purchases. [2] stated, that green consumption has emerged as one of the important topics in the marketing context. Green consumption, which was initiated by buying green products, has become a major trend in the global business competition environment. The purchase of products or services as a derivative of green consumption began to emerge due to government regulations, citizen awareness, and company policies to increase awareness of environmentally friendly products [3]. Consumers who have an environmentally conscious attitude tend to adopt environmentally friendly lifestyle behaviors, in order to preserve nature and the environment in a sustainable manner [4]. The purchase of environmentally friendly products (green purchase behavior) is based on the consumer's environmental care behavior driven by values derived from the causal beliefs of someone who cares about environmental sustainability in a sustainable manner [3].

In this study, altruistic value and hedonic value will be discussed. Altruistic value is the feeling or concern of individuals who have a relationship with the environment [5]. Altruistic values can be a driving factor for individual attitudes to have environmental care behavior; [6] found that there is a significant association between altruistic values and environmental care behavior. Research by [7] stated if someone has high altruistic value he or she will be more concerned about environmental problems and has a stronger desire to participate in environmental care behavior.


Hedonic value is a value that is owned by each individual as a subject of personal understanding related to pleasure and joy [8]. Hedonic value is a value attached importance to pleasure or enjoyment in one's life (personal enjoyment that a person has). There have not been many studies on the effect of hedonic values on environmental care attitudes, but [9] ; [10] in their research proves that hedonic values have a positive influence on environmental care behavior or pro-environmental beliefs.

Pro-environmental belief or environmental care behavior is understood as behavior that arises from one's awareness to minimize the negative impact of an action on nature, such as minimizing the use of resources, saving in energy use, using harmless materials, and reducing waste [11]. The formation of an attitude of caring for the environment can arise from values derived from the cause and effect of one's beliefs.

Personal norm or personal value is a person's belief in what is right or wrong, something that is attached to a person and experienced as a moral feeling to perform a certain behavior [12] and if something is right, then it will become a reference in everyday life to care more about the environment. [2] found that someone with powerful personal norms will encourage others to apply eco-innovation in his daily life. Purchase of products that are consumed daily (green consumption behavior), of course, related to the personal norms that are believed (personal norm or green personal value); If the personal norms are believed to support environmental improvement, then of course the purchase of products made is environmentally friendly products to minimize damage to the environment [13]. Furthermore, [14] states that individuals who have strong personal norms or green personal values will escalate the possible changes in consumption patterns from time to time into more environmentally friendly behavior. This condition is reinforced by [9], who found that personal norms have an effect on green purchase behavior

Previous research has found that pro-environmental belief will encourage purchases of environmentally friendly products or services [14], [15] and are preceded by green purchase intentions. Some researchers say culture [16], gender [17] and emotional appeals [18] is moderating the impact of personal norms on green purchase intention. In this study, the role of green product quality is examined as a moderating variable on the effect of pro-environmental belief on green purchase intentions and green purchase behavior.

## Conceptual Framework



## Hypothesis Development

### The Effect of Altruistic Value on Pro-Environmental Belief

[7] found that someone who has a high level of orientation toward altruistic values is usually more concerned with environmental problems and more motivated in participating in environment care behavior (pro-environmental belief). The results of research by [19] and [4] proves that the behavior of environmental awareness of individuals will grow with the encouragement of altruistic values. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H1: there is a positive effect of altruistic value towards pro-environmental belief

### The Effect of Hedonic Value on Pro-Environmental Belief

[10] have produced evidence in their research about a positive relationship between hedonic values towards a certain belief in environmental consciousness. Likewise, [9] found that hedonic values have a strong positive influence on pro-environmental beliefs. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H2: there is a positive effect of hedonic value towards pro-environmental belief

### The Effect of Pro-Environmental Belief on Green Personal Value

Previous research conducted by [20] resulted that personal norms have a significant relationship with environmental care behavior or pro-environmental belief. This is also reinforced by [6] research which found that there is a positive association between pro-environmental beliefs and personal norms. This finding is supported by previous research was done by [2] which found that there is a significant influence of pro-environmental beliefs on personal norms. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H3: there is a positive effect of pro-environmental belief towards green personal value

### The Effect of Green personal value on Green Purchase Intention

Research by [21] found that there is a significant influence of personal norms in buying organic food for over several years. Beliefs that lead to buying organic products tend to increase the variance in the explanation of the behavior of buying environmentally friendly products [21] and [2] also emphasized that the stronger personal norms the more people's intention to apply eco-innovation in their life. This statement is also supported by [13] who found a significant effect of personal norms toward green consumption behavior or green purchase behavior in the tourism industry. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis is:

H4: there is a positives effect of green personal value towards green purchase intention

#### **The effect of Green Personal Value on Green Purchase Behavior**

[13] found that personal norms have a significant relationship with green consumption behavior or green purchase behavior in the tourism industry. Although research examining the personal norm variable on green purchase behavior in the field of herbal products is still very limited, in the study of [9], it is stated that personal norm has an influence on green purchase behavior. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H5: there is a positives effect of green personal value towards green purchase behavior

#### **The Effect of Green Purchase Intention on Green Purchase Behavior**

The tendency to buy a brand is generally based on the intention or motive in making a purchase [22]. Furthermore, it is said that purchase intention is a predictor of buying behavior. However, research conducted in China said that although the purchase of a product was based on purchase intention, it did not fully show the same level of effectiveness [23]. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H6: there is a positives effect of green purchase intention towards green purchase behavior

#### **The Role of Green products Quality as a moderating variable**

14 Some researchers find that there are several variables that can link the association between personal norms, green purchase intentions, and green purchase behavior, including gender [16], culture ([17], 2016), emotional appeals [18] and green product quality [24]. Thus, the proposed hypothesis is:

H7: green products quality moderating green personnel value on green purchase intentions

H8: green products quality moderating green personnel value on green purchase behavior

## **Research Methodology**

Hypothesis testing was used as a research design. is a data. This study used non-probability sampling methods and a cross-sectional. We used an individual as an analysis unit. Data were collected by sharing an online questionnaire via google-form. Hundred and thirty-five respondents were collected after to fill-out a thirty-items questionnaire. We adopted the items from [9] which consisted of altruistic (3 indicators), hedonic (4 indicators) dan pro-environmental belief (3 items). It is also adapted from [25]), which is consist of green personal values (6 items). Whereas green purchase intentions (3 items) are adopted [26]. Green purchase behavior (8 items) is adopted from [27] & [28]. Lastly, green product quality (3 items) is taken from [24]. This study used purposive sampling with some criterias: 1) respondents had been buying and using green products or services and 2) respondents had been used at least twice for the past four months. Five Likert Scale (1-5) with five alternatives (from strongly disagree to strongly agree) is being used. Validity test with a loading factor more than 0.40 and reliability test with Cronbach's Alpha more than 0.6 [29] are used to assess instruments

**Table 1. Variable and Measurement**

Variable	Measurement	References
Altruistic	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The health aspect is my consideration, when I want to use a service.</li> <li>2. When using a service, I focus on services that are environmentally friendly.</li> <li>3. I am concerned about people who use services that are not environmentally friendly</li> </ol>	[9]

Hedonic	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I have my own pleasure when using environmentally friendly services.</li> <li>2. The use of environmentally friendly services has a positive influence on me.</li> <li>3. I will enjoy using environmentally friendly services.</li> <li>4. I will feel relaxed using environmentally friendly services.</li> </ol>	[9]
Pro-Environmental Belief	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I want to participate in protecting the atmosphere</li> <li>2. I convince that it is important to make environmental issues a personal responsibility for each one</li> <li>3. I believe that helping the environment is a moral obligation.</li> </ol>	[9]
Green personal value	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I believe it is a personal obligation to take whatever action I can take to prevent environmental damage.</li> <li>2. I feel obligated to protect the environment from damage, regardless of what other people do.</li> <li>3. I feel guilty when my actions contribute to environmental damage.</li> <li>4. I feel responsible for protecting the environment from damage.</li> <li>5. People like me should do whatever they can to protect the environment from damage.</li> <li>6. I like to use green products than conventional products</li> </ol>	[25]
Green purchase Intentions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I use this products / service because it cares about the environment.</li> <li>2. I hope to use these products / services in the future because of their concern for the environment.</li> <li>3. In general, I am happy in using this products / service because it is a green products</li> </ol>	[26]
Green purchase behaviour	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I often use services whose performance is friendly to the environment.</li> <li>2. I often use services whose performance does not harm the environment.</li> <li>3. When I want to use a service, I will make sure that the service I use has an environmentally friendly certificate.</li> <li>4. I often use services that support trade fairness in the community.</li> <li>5. I often use services that oppose the use of animals as testing tools.</li> <li>6. I often use services that are labeled environmentally friendly.</li> <li>7. I often use services that care about the environment.</li> <li>8. I prefer to use services that are environmentally friendly, even though they are more expensive.</li> </ol>	[27] & [28]
Green Product Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Green product has higher quality than conventional product.</li> <li>2. Green product has more functions than conventional product.</li> <li>3. Green product has a better aesthetic properties than conventional product.</li> </ol>	[24]

**Table 2. The Goodness of Fit Test Results**

Type of Indicators	Measurement	Cut Off	Value	Result
<i>Absolute Fit Indices</i>	<i>Sig. Probability</i>	more or equal to 0,05	0,000	<i>Poor Fit</i>
	GFI	more or equal to 0,90	0,767	<i>Poor Fit</i>
	RMSEA	less or equal to 0,10	0,073	<i>Goodness of Fit</i>
	NFI	more or equal to 0,90	0,792	<i>Poor Fit</i>
	TLI	more or	0,888	<i>Marginal Fit</i>



<i>Incremental Fit Indices</i>	CFI	equal to 0,90 more or equal to 0,90	0,900	<i>Goodness of Fit</i>
	RFI	more or equal to 0,90	0,767	<i>Poor Fit</i>
	IFI	more or equal to	0,901	<i>Goodness of Fit</i>
<hr/>				
<i>Parsimony Fit Indices</i>	AGFI	less or equal to GFI	0,720	<i>Goodness of Fit</i>

Source: processed data AMOS 21

## Results And Discussion

The total respondents who can be analyzed are 135 people, the majority are women by 83 people (61.5%). The age range of the respondents is 18 years old - above 54 years old where the majority were 18 years old - 34 years old (45.9%). The last education of the majority of respondents are S1 which is 42 people (31.1%). The majority of respondents' status are students of 45 people (33.3%). The income of most of the respondents is between Rp 1.500.000 to Rp 4.500.000 (25.2%). Seventy-five respondents like buy food (55.65%). Moreover, sixty respondents say that the reason of buying a green-products due to the environment friendly and the followed by healthy live.

**Table 3. Descriptive Statistic**

Variables	Mean	Deviation Standard
<i>Altruistic</i>	4.304	0,789
<i>Hedonic</i>	4.398	0,773
<i>Pro-Environmental Belief</i>	4.561	0,720
<i>Green personal value</i>	4.380	0,771
<i>Green purchase Intentions</i>	4.462	0,710
<i>Green purchase behavior</i>	4,113	0,829
<i>Green Product Quality</i>	4.017	0,819

Source: AMOS 21 processed data

From the table, it seen that mean of altruistic is 4.304. It means respondents care about the environment as they always consider the health aspects before buying products and concerns about the negative aspects of the products. Mean of hedonic is 4398, it can be said that respondents are happy and enjoy buying green products. Moreover, pro-environmental belief 's mean is 4.561. Therefore, it can be said that respondents are very aware and understand of negative impacts if someone does not care on environment. They want to participate in protecting the earth and moral responsibility to save the planet is very crucial. Green personal values' mean is 4.380. It is also stated that respondents have a personal obligation to prevents environmental degradation, feel guilty with the environmental gradation and want to protect environment from gradation. Green Purchase Intentions mean is 4.462. It can be said that respondents have an intention on purchasing green products / service due to their interest to the atmosphere. Indeed, Green purchase behavior's mean is 4.113, it can be stated that respondents have been bought green products and still want to buy although the price is more expensive than ordinary / conventional products or services. Green products quality's means is 4.017, it means that respondents perceived green products quality is higher than ordinary products / services and has more function than conventional products/ services

**Table 4. Hypotheses Result**

Hipotesis	Estimate	p-value	Results
H1: Altruistic → Pro-Environmental Belief	0,166	0,430	Not supported

H2: Hedonics → Pro-Environmental Belief	0,816	0,000	supported
H3: Pro-Environmental Belief → Green Personal Value	0,900	0,000	supported
H4: Green Personal Value → Green Personal Intentions	0,950	0,000	supported
H5: Green Personal Value → Green Purchase Behavior	0,516	0,146	Not supported
H6: Green Personal Intentions → Green Purchase Behavior	0,383	0,287	Not supported
H7: Green Personal Value → Green Purchase Intentions moderated by Green Product Quality			
For Low Group	0,897	0,000	supported
For High Group	0,770	0,000	
H8: Green Purchase Intentions → Green Purchase Behavior moderated by Green Product Quality			
For low Group :	0,931	0,000	supported
For High Group:	0,807	0,000	

## Discussion

The hypothesis testing showed mixed results. Five hypotheses are supported and others are not supported or rejected. Hypotheses 1 assessed the significant effect of altruistic towards pro-environmental belief. Estimated value is 0.166 and p-value is 0.430. It can be stated that H01 is accepted and Ha1 is rejected. It means that there is no effect of altruistic towards pro-environmental belief.

Hypotheses 2 assessed the positive effect of hedonic towards pro-environmental belief. It can be seen from the table, estimate value is 0.816 and p-value is 0.000. So, it can be said that H02 is rejected and Ha2 is accepted. Therefore, hedonics have a strong positive effect towards pro-environmental belief. It means that the more people enjoy and happy in buying green products, the more people willing to participate in preserving the environment.

Hypotheses 3 assessed the significant effect of pro-environmental belief towards green personal values. Result shows estimated value is 0.900 and p-value is 0.000. It can be said that H03 is rejected and Ha3 is accepted. Therefore, pro-environmental belief has a strong significant effect on green personal value. It means that the more people willing to participate in preserving the environment to more people feel obliged to save environment from degradations.

Hypotheses 4 evaluated the positive effect of green personal value towards green purchase intentions. Result shows estimated value is 0.950 and p-value is 0.000. It can be said that H04 is rejected and Ha4 is accepted. Therefore, green personal value has a strong positive effect toward green purchase intentions. It means that the more people willing to participate in protecting environment from degradation the more people intend to buy the environment friendly product.

Hypotheses 5 assessed the positive effect of green personal value toward green purchase intentions. Result shows that estimated value is 0.516 and p-value is 0.146. It can be said that H05 is accepted and Ha5 is rejected. Therefore, green personal value have no positive effect towards green purchase behavior.

Hypotheses 6 assessed the positive effect of green purchase intentions towards green purchase behavior. The result shows estimated value is 0.383 and p-value is 0.267. It can be said that H06 is accepted and Ha6 is rejected. Therefore, green purchase intentions have no significant effect towards green purchase behavior. This is supported by [23] on his study in China,

Hypotheses 7, based on the table of results of testing the seventh hypothesis above, it is generally known that the probability level value is  $0.007 < 0.05$ . This shows that there are differences between the two groups of green products quality, low and high groups. To see in detail the magnitude effect of green personnel value on green purchase intentions, the p-value in low is 0.000 and p-value in high group is 0.001 which are lower than 0.05. Meanwhile, estimate value for low group is 0.897 and for high group is 0.770. Therefore, the hypothesis is supported. It means the green products quality of the low group dominates in influencing green purchase intentions.

Hypotheses 8, based on the table of results of testing the eighth hypothesis above, it is generally known that the probability level value is  $0.000 < 0.05$ . This shows that there are differences between the two groups of green products quality, low and high groups. To see in detail the magnitude of the influence of green personnel value on green purchase behavior, the p-value in the low and high groups are the same, that is 0.000. This is lower than 0.005.

Moreover, estimate value for low group is 0.931 and for high group is 0.807. As a result, the hypothesis is supported, where the green products quality of the low group dominates in influencing green purchase behavior.

## Conclusion

The conclusion of this research show that altruistic has no effect on pro-environmental belief. However, hedonics has a very significant effect toward pro-environmental belief. Moreover, pro-environmental belief also has a strong positive effect toward green personal value. However, green personal value has no positive effect towards green purchase intentions as well as green purchase intension toward green purchase behavior.

In accordance with the current marketing concept and consumer behavior, this study broaden the literature by giving more focus to the effects of pro-environmental belief, green personal value, green purchase intentions that lead to green purchase behavior. It is also show that the role of green product quality is very important. Therefore, the company who provide green products or services has to improve the quality of the product including brand and services. This study also has some limitations. First, research area was only surrounding Jakarta. Second, the most of data collection is using google.doc. Therefore, it is suggested to expand area of study and add some variables such egoistics and biopheric environmntal [5]. It may also add mediation variables such as eco-innovation [2] to generate more comprehensive study.

## References

- [1] S. A. Narula and A. Desore, "Framing green consumer behaviour research: Opportunities and challenges," *Soc. Responsib. J.*, 2016, doi: 10.1108/SRJ-08-2014-0112.
- [2] J. Jansson, A. Marell, and N. Annika, "Green consumer behavior: Determinants of curtailment and eco-innovation adoption," *J. Consum. Mark.*, vol. 27, pp. 358–370, Jun. 2010, doi: 10.1108/07363761011052396.
- [3] H. R. Kaufmann, M. F. A. K. Panni, and Y. Orphanidou, "Factors affecting consumers' green purchasing behavior: An integrated conceptual framework," *Amfiteatru Econ.*, 2012.
- [4] L. W. Zou and R. Y. K. Chan, "Why and when do consumers perform green behaviors? An examination of regulatory focus and ethical ideology," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 94, pp. 113–127, 2019.
- [5] V. Swami, T. Chamorro-Premuzic, R. Snelgar, and A. Furnham, "Egoistic, altruistic, and biospheric environmental concerns: A path analytic investigation of their determinants," *Scand. J. Psychol.*, 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2009.00760.x.
- [6] K. Kiatkawsin, "Young travelers' intention to behave pro-environmentally: Merging the value-belief-norm theory and the expectancy theory," *Tour. Manag.*, vol. 59, pp. 76–88, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2016.06.018.
- [7] M. vicente-molina, A. Fernández-Sainz, and J. Izagirre-Olaizola, "Environmental knowledge and other variables affecting pro-environmental behaviour: Comparison of university students from emerging and advanced countries," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 61, May 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.05.015.
- [8] C. Teller, T. Reutterer, and P. Schnedlitz, "Hedonic and utilitarian shopper types in evolved and created retail agglomerations," *Int. Rev. Retail. Distrib. Consum. Res.*, 2008, doi: 10.1080/09593960802113877.
- [9] A. Jaini, F. Quoquab, J. Mohammad, and N. Hussin, "Antecedents of green purchase behavior of cosmetics products: An empirical investigation among Malaysian consumers," *Int. J. Ethics Syst.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/IJOES-11-2018-0170.
- [10] H. Lind, T. Nordfjærn, S. Jørgensen, and T. Rundmo, "The value-belief-norm theory, personal norms and sustainable travel mode choice in urban areas," *J. Environ. Psychol.*, vol. in press, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.06.001.
- [11] A. Kollmuss and J. Agyeman, "Mind the Gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior?," *Environ. Educ. Res.*, 2002, doi: 10.1080/13504620220145401.
- [12] I. Rahman and D. Reynolds, "Predicting green hotel behavioral intentions using a theory of environmental commitment and sacrifice for the environment," *Int. J. Hosp. Manag.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.09.007.
- [13] P. Joohyung and H. Sejin, "Understanding pro-environmental behavior: A comparison of sustainable consumers and apathetic consumers," *Int. J. Retail Distrib. Manag.*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 388–403, Jan. 2012, doi: 10.1108/09590551211222367.
- [14] A. K. Moser, "Thinking green, buying green? Drivers of pro - Environmental purchasing behavior," *J. Consum. Mark.*, 2015, doi: 10.1108/JCM-10-2014-1179.
- [15] A. Jaini, F. Quoquab, J. Mohammad, and N. Hussin, "'I buy green products, do you...?': The moderating effect of eWOM on green purchase behavior in Malaysian cosmetics industry," *Int. J. Pharm. Healthc. Mark.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/IJPHM-02-2019-0017.
- [16] P. M. Tikka, M. T. Kuitunen, and S. M. Tynys, "Effects of educational background on students' attitudes, activity levels, and knowledge concerning the environment," *J. Environ. Educ.*, 2000, doi: 10.1080/00958960009598640.
- [17] B. Chekima, S. Chekima, S. A. W. Syed Khalid Wafa, O. A. Igaua, and S. L. Sondoh, "Sustainable consumption: The effects of knowledge, cultural values, environmental advertising, and demographics," *Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/13504509.2015.1114043.
- [18] R. P. Vlosky, L. K. Ozanne, and R. J. Fontenot, "A conceptual model of US consumer willingness-to-pay for

- environmentally certified wood products," *J. Consum. Mark.*, 1999, doi: 10.1108/07363769910260498.
- [19] D. Birch, J. Memery, and M. De Silva Kanakarathne, "The mindful consumer: Balancing egoistic and altruistic motivations to purchase local food," *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2017.10.013.
- [20] R. Doran and S. Larsen, "The Relative Importance of Social and Personal Norms in Explaining Intentions to Choose Eco-Friendly Travel Options," *Int. J. Tour. Res.*, vol. 18, pp. 159–166, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1002/jtr.2042.
- [21] B. Møller and J. Thøgersen, "Car Use Habits: An Obstacle to the Use of Public Transportation? BT - Road Pricing, the Economy and the Environment," C. Jensen-Butler, B. Sloth, M. M. Larsen, B. Madsen, and O. A. Nielsen, Eds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 301–313.
- [22] I. Ajzen, "Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. The theory of planned behavior," *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 1991.
- [23] R. Y. K. Chan, "Determinants of Chinese consumers' green purchase behavior," *Psychol. Mark.*, 2001, doi: 10.1002/mar.1013.
- [24] M. F. Y. Cheung and W. M. To, "An extended model of value-attitude-behavior to explain Chinese consumers' green purchase behavior," *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.04.006.
- [25] T. Islam, M. M. Khan, I. Ahmed, and K. Mahmood, "Promoting in-role and extra-role green behavior through ethical leadership: mediating role of green HRM and moderating role of individual green values," *Int. J. Manpow.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/IJM-01-2020-0036.
- [26] S. Amin and M. T. Tarun, "Effect of consumption values on customers' green purchase intention: a mediating role of green trust," *Soc. Responsib. J.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/SRJ-05-2020-0191.
- [27] C. K. M. Lai and E. W. L. Cheng, "Green purchase behavior of undergraduate students in Hong Kong," *Soc. Sci. J.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.soscij.2015.11.003.
- [28] A. Khare, "Antecedents to green buying behaviour: A study on consumers in an emerging economy," *Mark. Intell. Plan.*, 2015, doi: 10.1108/MIP-05-2014-0083.
- [29] J. F. Hair, R. E. Anderson, R. L. Tatham, and W. C. Black, *Multivariate Data Analysis, Multivariate Data Analysis*. 2018.

# The Role of Pro-Environmental Belief as a Driver of Green Purchase Behavior: Green Product Quality as a Moderating Variable

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

12%

PUBLICATIONS

4%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1 Ishfaq Ahmed, Talat Islam, Aeina Umar. "Bridging organisational and individual green actions through green knowledge sharing & individual values", Knowledge Management Research & Practice, 2022  
Publication 1%
- 2 Submitted to Pymble Ladies College  
Student Paper 1%
- 3 Abdullah Al Mamun, Naeem Hayat, Muhammad Mohiuddin, Anas A. Salameh, Noor Raihani Zainol. "Modelling the energy conservation behaviour among Chinese households under the premises of value-belief-norm theory", Frontiers in Energy Research, 2022  
Publication 1%
- 4 Manjit Kour, Rajinder Kaur, Anmol Sharma. "Antecedents of Green Purchase Behavior: A 1%

# Study of Consumers in Northern India", ECS Transactions, 2022

Publication

5

Rafida Yanti, Suwarno Suwarno. "The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on The Financial Performance of Mining Companies Listed on The Indonesia Stock Exchange Period 2016-2020", Journal Universitas Muhammadiyah Gresik Engineering, Social Science, and Health International Conference (UMGESHIC), 2023

Publication

1 %

6

Mageswary Karpudewan. "The relationships between values, belief, personal norms, and climate conserving behaviors of Malaysian primary school students", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2019

Publication

<1 %

7

Submitted to Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto

Student Paper

<1 %

8

Farheen Naz, Judit Oláh, Dinu Vasile, Róbert Magda. "Green Purchase Behavior of University Students in Hungary: An Empirical Study", Sustainability, 2020

Publication

<1 %

9

Hashim Zameer, Ying Wang, Humaira Yasmeen. "Reinforcing green competitive

<1 %

advantage through green production,  
creativity and green brand image:  
Implications for cleaner production in China",  
Journal of Cleaner Production, 2020

Publication

---

10

International Journal of Retail & Distribution  
Management, Volume 40, Issue 5 (2012-04-21)

Publication

---

<1 %

11

Erry Yusuf, Vera Yuli Erviana. "The Effect of  
Learning Styles on Learning Outcomes of 4th  
Grade Elementary School Students",  
International Journal of Learning Reformation  
in Elementary Education, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

12

Sheng-Hsiung Chang, Chin-Wen Chang. "Tie  
strength, green expertise, and interpersonal  
influences on the purchase of organic food in  
an emerging market", British Food Journal,  
2017

Publication

---

<1 %

13

Submitted to Trisakti University

Student Paper

---

<1 %

14

Vishal Gupta. "Validating the theory of  
planned behavior in green purchasing  
behavior", SN Business & Economics, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

15 "Eurasian Business and Economics Perspectives", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021  $<1\%$   
Publication

---

16 Submitted to Jose Rizal University  $<1\%$   
Student Paper

---

17 Submitted to Liverpool John Moores University  $<1\%$   
Student Paper

---

18 Chekima, Brahim, Syed Azizi Wafa Syed Khalid Wafa, Oswald Aisat Igau, Sohaib Chekima, and Stephen Laison Sondoh. "Examining green consumerism motivational drivers: does premium price and demographics matter to green purchasing?", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2016.  $<1\%$   
Publication

---

19 Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan  $<1\%$   
Student Paper

---

20 Pradipta Halder, Eric N. Hansen, Jyrki Kangas, Tommi Laukkanen. "How national culture and ethics matter in consumers' green consumption values", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2020  $<1\%$   
Publication

---



21 Maryam Farooq, Salman Yahya. "The Impact of Green Religiosity on The Green Product Switching Behavior in Pakistan: The Role of Green Personal Values and Green Altruism", Journal of Religion and Health, 2021  
Publication

---

22 Nika Hein. "Factors Influencing the Purchase Intention for Recycled Products: Integrating Perceived Risk into Value-Belief-Norm Theory", Sustainability, 2022  
Publication

---

23 Ronnie Cheung, Aris Y.C. Lam, Mei Mei Lau. "Drivers of green product adoption: the role of green perceived value, green trust and perceived quality", Journal of Global Scholars of Marketing Science, 2015  
Publication

---

24 [open.uct.ac.za](http://open.uct.ac.za)  
Internet Source

---

25 [www.coursehero.com](http://www.coursehero.com)  
Internet Source

---

26 Blok, Vincent, Renate Wesselink, Oldrich Studynka, and Ron Kemp. "Encouraging sustainability in the workplace: a survey on the pro-environmental behaviour of university employees", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2015.  
Publication

---

27 Christopher Groening, Joseph Sarkis, Qingyun Zhu. "Green marketing consumer-level theory review: A compendium of applied theories and further research directions", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2018 <1 %

Publication

---

28 Goh, See Kwong, and M.S. Balaji. "Linking green skepticism to green purchase behavior", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2016. <1 %

Publication

---

29 Hong Wang, Xiao Han, Di Kuang, Zhichen Hu. "The Influence Factors on Young Consumers' Green Purchase Behavior: Perspective Based on Theory of Consumption Value", 2018 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET), 2018 <1 %

Publication

---

30 Lucyna Witek, Wiesława Kuźniar. "Green Purchase Behavior: The Effectiveness of Sociodemographic Variables for Explaining Green Purchases in Emerging Market", Sustainability, 2020 <1 %

Publication

---

31 Swetarupa Chatterjee, Naman Sreen, Pradip H. Sadarangani, Bidyut Jyoti Gogoi. "Impact of Green Consumption Value, and Context-

<1 %

Specific Reasons on Green Purchase Intentions: A Behavioral Reasoning Theory Perspective", Journal of Global Marketing, 2021

Publication

32

Xueqin Wang, Kum Fai Yuen, Yiik Diew Wong, Chee-Chong Teo. "It is green, but is it fair? Investigating consumers' fairness perception of green service offerings", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2018

Publication

<1 %

33

doaj.org  
Internet Source

<1 %

34

dokumen.pub  
Internet Source

<1 %

35

repofeb.undip.ac.id  
Internet Source

<1 %

36

Genovaitė Liobikienė, Jurga Bernatoniene. "Why determinants of green purchase cannot be treated equally? The case of green cosmetics: Literature review", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2017

Publication

<1 %

37

Yawar Abbas Sandhu, Selvan A/I Perumal, Waida Irani Mohd Fauzi. "The Predictors and Consequences of Personal Norms in Context of Organic Food Among Pakistani

<1 %

# Consumers", International Journal of Financial Research, 2019

Publication

---

---

Exclude quotes      Off

Exclude matches      Off

Exclude bibliography      On

# The Role of Pro-Environmental Belief as a Driver of Green Purchase Behavior: Green Product Quality as a Moderating Variable

---

GRADEMARK REPORT

---

FINAL GRADE

**/0**

GENERAL COMMENTS

**Instructor**

---

PAGE 1

---

PAGE 2

---

PAGE 3

---

PAGE 4

---

PAGE 5

---

PAGE 6

---

PAGE 7

---

PAGE 8

---