



SCIENTIFIC DENTAL JOURNAL

[FACULTY OF DENTISTRY, TRISAKTI UNIVERSITY](#)

★ P-ISSN : 25806548 < > E-ISSN : 2541321X 📍 Subject Area : Health, Science, Education

1.02273
Impact Factor

124
Google Citations

S2
Current
Accreditation

[Google Scholar](#) [Garuda](#) [Website](#) [Editor URL](#)

History Accreditation

2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023

[Garuda](#) [Google Scholar](#)

[The Effects of Different Bracket Types on Orthodontic Treatment Evaluated with the Objective Grading System](#)

Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 3 No. 1\(2019\): JANUARY 9-16](#)

📅 2019 📄 DOI: [10.26912/sdj.v3i1.3634](#) [S2 Journal](#)

[Bimaxillary Orthognathic Surgery in Skeletal Class III Malocclusion](#)

Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 3 No. 1\(2019\): JANUARY 23-29](#)

📅 2019 📄 DOI: [10.26912/sdj.v3i1.3662](#) [S2 Journal](#)

[Lower Facial Height and Soft Tissue Changes in Bimaxillary Protrusion Cases](#)

Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 3 No. 1\(2019\): JANUARY 1-7](#)

📅 2019 📄 DOI: [10.26912/sdj.v3i1.3633](#) [S2 Journal](#)

[Compatibility of Types III/IV Gypsum with Addition Silicone Impression Material](#)

Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 3 No. 1\(2019\): JANUARY 17-22](#)


📅 2019 📄 DOI: [10.26912/sdj.v3i1.3664](#) [S2 Journal](#)

[Oral Opportunistic Infections in Patient with HIV Wasting Syndrome](#)

Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 3 No. 1\(2019\): JANUARY 31-39](#)

📅 2019 📄 DOI: [10.26912/sdj.v3i1.3608](#) [S2 Journal](#)

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar




Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2017
Citation	124	123
h-index	6	6
i10-index	3	3

[Analyzing the Width of the Maxillary Sinus from Private Practice in Bogor, Indonesia](#)Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University. [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 2 No. 2 \(2018\): May 59-66](#)2018 [DOI: 10.26912/sdj.v2i2.2504](#) [S2 Journal](#)[The Efficacy of Fish Scales as Bone Graft Alternative Materials](#)Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University. [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 2 No. 1 \(2018\): January 9-17](#)2018 [DOI: 10.26912/sdj.v2i1.1954](#) [S2 Journal](#)[Chewing Gum, Acetaminophen, and Green Tea Effect in Reducing Pain After Orthodontic Appliance Placement](#)Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University. [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 2 No. 2 \(2018\): May 51-57](#)2018 [DOI: 10.26912/sdj.v2i2.2530](#) [S2 Journal](#)[Comparing the Effectivities of Chitosan Citrate and Chitosan Acetate in Eradicating Enterococcus faecalis Biofilm](#)Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University. [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 2 No. 1 \(2018\): January 1-7](#)2018 [DOI: 10.26912/sdj.v2i1.2290](#) [S2 Journal](#)[Enzymatic Activity of Bromelain Isolated Pineapple \(*Ananas comosus*\) Hump and Its Antibacterial Effect on *Enterococcus faecalis*](#)Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University. [Scientific Dental Journal Vol. 2 No. 2 \(2018\): May 39-50](#)2018 [DOI: 10.26912/sdj.v2i2.2540](#) [S2 Journal](#)[View more ...](#)Get More with
SINTA Insight[Go to Insight](#)

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar



Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2017
Citation	124	123
h-index	6	6
i10-index	3	3

ISSN (Print) : 2580-6548
ISSN (Online): 2541-321X



SCIENTIFIC DENTAL JOURNAL

Official Journal of Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

www.scidentj.com



[About](#) ▾[Articles](#) ▾[Authors](#) ▾[Search](#) ▾[Subscribe](#)[Contact Us](#)[Reader Login](#) ▾

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

Dr. Armelia Sari Widyarman

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

armeliasari@trisakti.ac.id

sdj@trisakti.ac.id

Executive Editor:

Dr. Rosalina Tjandrawinata,

Department of Dental Material, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

rosatjandrawinata@gmail.com

Editorial Board:

Dr. Carolina Marpaung

Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

carolina@trisakti.ac.id

Dr. Chaminda Jayampath Seneviratne,

Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore

[About the journal](#)[POPULAR ARTICLES](#)[JOIN AS REVIEWER](#)[GET EMAIL ALERTS](#)[RECOMMEND](#)



January-April 2021

Volume 5 | Issue 1

Page Nos. 1-55


Online since Tuesday, February 16, 2021

Accessed 756 times.

PDF access policy

Journal allows immediate open access to content in HTML + PDF

 [View issue as eBook](#)

 [Issue statistics](#)

 [RSS](#)

[JOIN AS REVIEWER](#)

[GET EMAIL ALERTS](#)

[RECOMMEND](#)

[Show all abstracts](#)

[Show selected abstracts](#)

[Export selected to](#) ▼

[Add to my list](#)

REVIEW ARTICLES



Biological age estimation using DNA methylation analysis: A systematic review

p. 1

Muhammad Garry Syahrizal Hanafi, Nurtami Soedarsono, Elza Ibrahim Auerkari

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_27_20

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}



Oral health status of people with locomotor disability in India: A systematic review

p. 12

Lakshmi Krishnan, Parangimalai Divakar Madankumar


DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_40_20

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}



ORIGINAL ARTICLES





DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_40_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}

ORIGINAL ARTICLES




Efficacy of a conventional inferior alveolar nerve block compared to the Vazirani–Akinosi and Gow-Gates techniques for mandibular anesthesia p. 20

Rishabh Shah, Pallavi Kalia, BS Dayanithi, Sunil Kumar Gulia, Rishabh Bhanot, Sasikanth Challari

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_47_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}




A retrospective study to ascertain the need for hardware removal following orthognathic surgery p. 24

Pranav Parashar, Sunil Kumar Gulia, Sharwan Kumar Singh, Heeralal Chokotiya, Bhushan Thoke, Rahul V.C. Tiwari

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_49_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}




Child preferences for the dentist attire and dental health-care setting: A cross-sectional study p. 28

Greeshma Ganesh, Faizal C Peedikayil, Soni Kottayi, TP Chandru

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_16_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}



The effects of reinforced cellulose nanocrystals from sugarcane bagasse fiber on the hardness of glass ionomer cements p. 33

Hernindya Dwifulqi, Rosalina Tjandrawinata, Joko Kusnoto

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_53_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}

Original Article

The Effects of Reinforced Cellulose Nanocrystals from Sugarcane Bagasse Fiber on the Hardness of Glass Ionomer Cements

Hernindya Dwifulqi, Rosalina Tjandrawinata¹, Joko Kusnoto²

Department of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dentistry, Maranatha University, Departments of ¹Dental Materials and ²Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Bandung, Indonesia

Received: 10-10-20
Revised: 02-01-21
Accepted: 18-01-21
Published Online: 16-02-21

Abstract

Background: Advances in nanotechnology research make the use of cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) attractive for improving the mechanical properties of glass ionomer cement (GIC). Sugarcane bagasse (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is a CNCs source with a high CNC content (72.5%). **Objective:** This study aimed to determine the effect of the addition of sugarcane bagasse CNCs on the mechanical properties of GIC. **Methods:** In total, 42 GIC (Fuji IX, GC, Japan) samples were divided into six groups, with various concentrations of CNCs, added to the samples. After 24 h immersion in distilled water at 37°C, the samples were analyzed using the Vickers hardness test. The samples were also characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). For statistical analysis, a one-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test, was applied. A value of $P < 0.05$ denoted statistical significance. **Results:** The TEM revealed crystalline particles in the form of nanocrystals, with varying particle sizes (lengths of 100–200 nm and diameters of 4–19 nm). The addition of 0.4% of CNCs from bagasse fiber to GIC increased the Vickers hardness of the material by 38.89% ($P < 0.05$). **Conclusion:** The addition of 0.4% of sugarcane bagasse can improve the hardness of GIC.

Keywords: Bagasse, cellulose nanocrystal, glass ionomer cement, sugarcane, Vickers hardness

Introduction

Glass ionomer cement (GIC) is a restorative material widely used in dental clinics because of its ability to adhere to enamel and dentine, which in turn, releases fluoride. GIC has poor mechanical properties, which limits its use in high-stress areas.¹⁻³ To improve the mechanical properties of GIC, several modification has been done, in particular, the addition of different amounts of cellulose nanocrystals (CNC)s from eucalyptus wood.⁴ The study led by Silva *et al.* found that 50% CNCs from eucalyptus wood added into GIC marked improvements in various mechanical properties (e.g., compressive strength, elastic modulus, and diametral tensile strength), but there is no information regarding the Vickers hardness value.⁴

Cellulose-based nanomaterials have the potential for biocomposites development in industrial and biomedical

applications.⁵ Cellulose is an abundant biopolymer in nature, biodegradable and nontoxic, with a low density and good mechanical properties.⁶ Cellulose is found in plant cell walls in wood, cotton, hemp, and other plant-based materials and plays an essential role in plant structure.⁷

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) bagasse is a potential source of cellulose in the production of crystalline nanocellulose.⁸ According to the literature, around 640–660 Mton of sugarcane can produce 160 Mton of bagasse. As shown in previous research, the crystallinity value of CNCs from bagasse was higher (72.5%) than that of chemically purified

Address for correspondence: Dr. Joko Kusnoto, Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia.
E-mail: j_kusno@hotmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Dwifulqi H, Tjandrawinata R, Kusnoto J. The effects of reinforced cellulose nanocrystals from sugarcane bagasse fiber on the hardness of glass ionomer cements. *Sci Dent J* 2021;5:33-6.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website: www.scidentj.com

DOI: 10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_53_20

cellulose (63.5%).⁷ Studies also demonstrated that the crystalline phase was essential in increasing the strength of the material.⁹ Nevertheless, no further information regarding the efficacy of sugarcane CNCs' augmentation into GIC. Considering this research gap, this study aims to determine the effect of sugarcane CNCs' addition on the hardness of GIC.

Materials and Methods

CNCs from sugarcane bagasse was prepared using the basic hydrolysis method, followed by bleaching and acidic hydrolysis. Basic hydrolysis was carried out by dissolving 30 g of dried sugarcane bagasse in sodium hydroxide 4M. As the hydrolysis product was in the form of a suspension, filtration of a suspension was first performed. The filtrate was then compressed. Subsequently, the bagasse was bleached using 1.25% sodium hypochlorite solution. The dried cellulose was added to 45% sulfuric acid solution. Following ultrasonication for 10 min, the dried cellulose was centrifuged at a speed of 10,000 rpm for 10 min and then filtered using filter paper to obtain CNCs in gel form. The synthesized CNCs were then characterized using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Hitachi HT7700, Tokyo, Japan) with $\times 30,000$. The CNCs lengths and diameters were measured. In this study, TEM was used because it has a focus of light, which contains high electron energy so that it can analyze the microstructural of a specimen, the crystal structure with high resolution compared to scanning electron microscopy, which can only penetrate the surface of the sample.

The CNCs was then weighed and added to a GIC matrix of a conventional GIC (Fuji IX, GC, Tokyo, Japan, LOT 1804051). There were six experimental groups, whereas each group consists of seven GIC's. Each group was incorporated with different concentrations of CNCs: GIC-CNCs 1%; GIC-CNCs 0.8%; GIC-CNCs 0.6%; GIC-CNCs 0.4%, and GIC-CNCs 0.2%, along with a control group of GIC without CNCs, respectively. These concentrations are based on the research conducted by Silva *et al.* about the addition of CNCs from eucalyptus wood to GIC.⁴ After the addition of the various concentrations of CNCs to the samples, the mixture was sonicated for 2 min to produce a GIC powder, with a powder/liquid ratio of 1/1. Materials for GIC were then manipulated manually according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Samples of GIC-CNCs were produced with 3-mm thick and 5 mm in diameter. Before testing the mechanical properties of the samples using the Vickers hardness test, the samples were immersed in distilled water for 24 h at $37^{\circ}\text{C} (\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C})$.¹⁰

Statistical analysis

In each group, the hardness values were calculated, with the average value and standard deviation recorded. To analyze the average value of each test group, the Ryan–Joiner normality test was used. As all the data were normally distributed, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical test was performed, followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test. A value of $P < 0.05$ denoted statistical significance.

Results

The TEM characterization of the morphology of the crystalline particles in the CNCs revealed whiskers (crystallinity index: 75%) with varying particle sizes (lengths of 100–200 nm and diameters of 4–19 nm). which is shown in Figure 1.

The mean values and standard deviations in the Vickers hardness test are summarized in Table 1. The statistical analysis revealed a significant difference in the Vickers hardness among the groups ($P < 0.05$) and pointed to a substantial increase in the Vickers hardness of the GIC in all the groups. The maximum Vickers hardness was obtained at CNCs concentration of 0.4%.

Table 2 shows the results of the statistical analysis of the differences in the Vickers hardness using a one-way ANOVA and the Games–Howell *post hoc* test. As shown in the table, there were significant differences in the hardness values of all the groups ($P < 0.05$), with the exception of the GIC-CNCs 0.2% versus the GIC-CNCs 0.6% group ($P = 0.960$), GIC-CNCs 0.2% versus the GIC-CNCs 0.8% group ($P = 0.996$), GIC-CNCs 0.2% versus the GIC-CNCs 1% group ($P = 0.928$), GIC-CNCs 0.6% versus the GIC-CNCs 0.8% group ($P = 0.999$),




Figure 1: Transmission electron microscopy images showing cellulose nanocrystals whisker-shaped particles at $\times 30,000$

GIC-CNCs 0.6% versus the GIC-CNCs 1% group ($P = 0.466$), and the GIC-CNCs 0.8% versus the GIC-CNCs 1% ($P = 0.689$) group.

Discussion

GIC has poor mechanical properties, such as low tensile strength and compressive strength compared to other restorative materials, such as composite resin.^{11,12} Surface hardness is an important factor in controlling wear resistance and thus can be used as an indication of the long-term durability of materials.¹³ The reduced surface hardness of dental restoration leads to a decrease in wear resistance. Recent studies showed that the microhardness of GIC served as a valid measure of the surface mechanical properties of the material.¹⁴

Advances in nanotechnology research have made the use of CNCs attractive for improving the mechanical properties of GIC.¹⁴ Nanotechnology, also known as molecular nanotechnology or molecular engineering, has been introduced in the dental field, with GIC containing 3 and 5% titanium dioxide nanoparticle showed improved fracture toughness.¹⁴ The nanoscale particles and crystals in CNCs have similarities to their crystals (nanomaterial) found in natural teeth. This study used CNCs synthesized from sugarcane bagasse and visualized the microstructure of the particles at the nanoscale using TEM. The results of the TEM analysis revealed whisker-shaped nanoparticles in the

form of separate aggregates. The average lengths and diameters of the CNCs were 100–200 nm and 4–10 nm, respectively. Cellulose nanowhiskers are rod-shaped particles, which have high particle crystallinity, a crystallinity index >75%, and rectangular cross-sections with few defects.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ As a result, the material containing cellulose nanowhiskers is stronger than metal.

In this study, various concentrations of CNCs were added to GIC samples. The right concentration can provide the ideal interaction between the crystal and cement matrix during a chemical reaction with the reinforcing structure formation.¹² As demonstrated previously, the concentration and intrinsic character of the reinforcing agent added to GIC affected the hardness of the resulting matrix.⁴ In this study, the addition of CNCs as a reinforcing agent significantly increased the Vickers hardness value of the tested samples.

It is important to analyze the hardness of dental materials to ensure their clinical function, including resistance to masticatory forces.¹⁸ Hardness testing has frequently been used to evaluate the surface resistance of materials to plastic deformation caused by penetration.¹⁸ Hardness was shown to be closely related to compressive, flexural, and wear properties.¹⁹ In the present study, hardness testing revealed the highest hardness value (38.89%) in the GIC-CNCs 0.4% group, at concentrations of CNCs above 0.4%, more glass particles were found on the surface so the hardness value decreases. The same thing was also found in a previous study, which showed decreased in Vickers micro-hardness from GIC reinforced with CNC from eucalyptus wood due to the large number of glass particles on the surface of the GIC.⁴ As demonstrated previously, the proportion of glass particles and polyacid affected the hardness of GIC, its proportion influenced the concentration of filler required to produce a material with a good hardness value.¹⁹ A previous study showed that fewer glass particles on the surface of GIC resulted in a low glass to polyacid ratio, which led to an increased ability of polyacids reaction with the nanoparticles.²⁰ In the same study, interstitial packing of these nanoparticles resulted in a higher nanoparticle: Matrix ratio at the interface, where the size constraints of the larger glass particles contributed to a surface layer rich

Table 1: The Vickers hardness test results

Groups	Vickers hardness	
	Mean±SD (VHN)	Means (%)*
GIC	103.96±8.73	0
GIC-CNCs 0.2%	126.01±6.97**	18.32
GIC-CNCs 0.4%	144.40±8.29**	38.89
GIC-CNCs 0.6%	128.02±6.50**	23.14
GIC-CNCs 0.8%	127.20±9.47**	22.35
GIC-CNCs 1%	123.70±9.44**	18.99

*The percentage comparison means value between each group and the control group, ** $P < 0.05$: statistically significant difference compared with control group (GIC). VHN: Vickers hardness numbers, SD: Standard deviation, GIC: Glass ionomer cement, CNCs: Cellulose nanocrystals

Table 2: Statistical analysis of the differences in the Vickers hardness means among the six groups using a one-way analysis of variance and the Games-Howell *post hoc* test

Groups	GIC	GIC-CNCs 0.2%	GIC-CNCs 0.4%	GIC-CNCs 0.6%	GIC-CNCs 0.8%	GIC-CNCs 1%
GIC	-	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*
GIC-CNCs 0.2%	-	-	0.000*	0.960	0.996	0.928
GIC-CNCs 0.4%	-	-	-	0.000*	0.000*	0.002*
GIC-CNCs 0.6%	-	-	-	-	0.999	0.466
GIC-CNCs 0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	0.689
GIC-CNCs 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-

* $P < 0.05$: Statistically significant difference. GIC: Glass ionomer cement, CNCs: Cellulose nanocrystals

in the matrix. Furthermore, larger glass particles size led to the more surface layer fill with matrix.¹⁹

The same study showed the integrity of the interface between glass particles and the matrix. In the present study, the increase in the micro-hardness value of the GIC-CNCs 0.4% group indicates the interaction of the filler and the matrix, which results in an ideal proportion of glass particles and acids on the GIC surface to reacts with the nanoparticles.

Conclusion

The addition of CNCs from sugarcane bagasse significantly increased the Vickers hardness of GIC restorative material. Further studies are needed to investigate the bonding ability of GIC-containing CNCs from sugarcane bagasse with the tooth structure, its fluoride release ability, and biocompatibility, including clinical trials to further understand the properties and characteristics of this material, especially in the oral environment.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Silva RM, Carvalho VX, Dumont VC, Santos MH, Carvalho AM. Addition of mechanically processed cellulosic fibers to ionomer cement: Mechanical properties. *Braz Oral Res* 2015;29:S1806.
- Neel EA, Chrzanowski W. Surface topography and mechanical properties of flax fibres modified glass ionomer restorative materials. *J Biomed Eng Inform* 2015;1:82-92.
- Khaighani M, Doostmohammadi A, Alizadeh S. Investigation the compressive strength of glass ionomer cement containing hydroxyapatite nano and micro particles. *Austin J Biotechnol Bioeng* 2016;3:1-4.
- Silva RM, Pereira FV, Mota FA, Watanabe E, Soares SM, Santos MH. Dental glass ionomer cement reinforced by cellulose microfibrils and cellulose nanocrystals. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl* 2016;58:389-95.
- Halib N, Perrone F, Cemazar M, Dapas B, Farra R, Abrami M, *et al.* Potential applications of nanocellulose-containing materials in the biomedical field. *Materials (Basel)* 2017;10:977.
- Siqueira G, Bras J, Dufresne A. Cellulosic bionanocomposites: A review of preparation, properties and applications. *Polymers* 2010;2:728-65.
- Kumar A, Yuvraj SN, Veena C. Characterization of cellulose nanocrystals produced by acid-hydrolysis from sugarcane bagasse as agro-waste. *J Mater Phys Chem* 2014;2:1-8.
- Mokhena TC, Mochane MJ, Motaung TE. Sugarcane bagasse and cellulose polymer composites. *Intechopen* 2018;12:225-40.
- Bahrami-Abadi M, Khaghani M, Monshi A. Reinforcement of glass ionomer cement: Incorporating with silk fiber. *J Adv Mater Proces* 2016;4:14-21.
- Smith RL, Sandly GE. An accurate method of determining the hardness of metals, with particular reference to those of a high degree of hardness. *Proc Inst Mech Eng* 1922;102:623-41.
- Menezes-Silva R, de Oliveira BM, Fernandes PH, Shimohara LY, Pereira FV, Borges AF, *et al.* Effects of the reinforced cellulose nanocrystals on glass-ionomer cements. *Dent Mater* 2019;35:564-73.
- Dionysopoulos D, Tolidis K, Sfeikos T, Karnssiou C, Parisi X. Evaluation of surface microhardness and abrasion resistance of two dental glass ionomer cement materials after radiant heat treatment. *Adv Mat Sci Eng* 2017;2017:1-8.
- Tüzüner T, Ulusu T. Effect of antibacterial agents on the surface hardness of a conventional glass-ionomer cement. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2012;20:45-9.
- Shabani DA, Bamusa B, Bajafar S, Eidan SA, Akmuhaudub D, Alhakeem F, *et al.* Modification of glass ionomer restorative material: A review of literature. *EC Dent Sci* 2019;18:1001-6.
- de Jonge N, Ross FM. Electron microscopy of specimens in liquid. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2011;6:695-704.
- Hutten IM. *Handbook of Nonwoven Filter Media*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Elsevier; 2016. p. 158-275.
- Spence K, Habibi Y, Dufresne A. Nanocellulose-based composites. In: Kalia S, Kaith BS, Kaur I, editors. *Cellulose Fibers: Bio- and Nano-Polymer Composites*. Berlin: Springer; 2011. p. 179-213.
- Toras FM, Hamouda IM. Effect of nano filler on microhardness, diametral tensile strength and compressive strength of nano-filled glass ionomer. *Int J Dent Oral Sci* 2017;4:413-17.
- Cibim DD, Saito MT, Giovani PA, Borges AF, Pecorari VG, Gomes OP, *et al.* Novel nanotechnology of TiO₂ improves physical-chemical and biological properties of glass ionomer cement. *Int J Biomater* 2017;2017:1-11.
- Subramani K, Ahmed W, Hartsfield JK. *Nanobiomaterials in Clinical Dentistry*. 1st ed. New York: Elsevier; 2013. p. 15.

Joko Kusnoto FKG

The Effects of Reinforced Cellulose Nanocrystals from Sugarcane Bagasse Fiber on the Hardness of Glass Ionomer C...

Artikel 1

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::3618:137830330

Submission Date

May 6, 2026, 9:13 AM GMT+7

Download Date

May 6, 2026, 9:23 AM GMT+7

File Name

2021 Effects of Reinforced Cellulose Nanocrystals (CA SINTA 2).pdf

File Size

1.5 MB

8 Pages

2,971 Words

15,740 Characters

10% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.





Filtered from the Report

- ▶ Bibliography
- ▶ Quoted Text
- ▶ Small Matches (less than 15 words)




Exclusions

- ▶ 4 Excluded Sources

Match Groups

-  **7 Not Cited or Quoted 9%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **2 Missing Quotations 2%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 8%  Internet sources
- 6%  Publications
- 7%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 7 Not Cited or Quoted 9%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 2 Missing Quotations 2%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 8% Internet sources
- 6% Publications
- 7% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Student papers		
	West Coast University on 2024-03-14		3%
2	Student papers		
	Universitas Indonesia on 2022-06-21		2%
3	Publication		
	Ariane S. Fernandes, Eupidio Scopel, Adriana P. Manso, Emily D. Cranston. "Engin...		1%
4	Internet		
	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov		<1%
5	Internet		
	www.mdpi.com		<1%
6	Internet		
	journals.lww.com		<1%
7	Internet		
	pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov		<1%
8	Publication		
	Andrea Scribante, Maria Francesca Sfondrini. "Orthodontic retainers", Elsevier BV...		<1%



SCIENTIFIC DENTAL JOURNAL

Official Journal of Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

www.scidentj.com



[About](#) ▾[Articles](#) ▾[Authors](#) ▾[Search](#) ▾[Subscribe](#)[Contact Us](#)[Reader Login](#) ▾

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

Dr. Armelia Sari Widyarman

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

armeliasari@trisakti.ac.id

sdj@trisakti.ac.id

Executive Editor:

Dr. Rosalina Tjandrawinata,

Department of Dental Material, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

rosatjandrawinata@gmail.com

Editorial Board:

Dr. Carolina Marpaung

Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

carolina@trisakti.ac.id

Dr. Chaminda Jayampath Seneviratne,

Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore

[About the journal](#)[POPULAR ARTICLES](#)[JOIN AS REVIEWER](#)[GET EMAIL ALERTS](#)[RECOMMEND](#)



January-April 2021

Volume 5 | Issue 1

Page Nos. 1-55

Online since Tuesday, February 16, 2021

Accessed 756 times.

PDF access policy

Journal allows immediate open access to content in HTML + PDF

 [View issue as eBook](#)

 [Issue statistics](#)

 [RSS](#)

[JOIN AS REVIEWER](#)

[GET EMAIL ALERTS](#)

[RECOMMEND](#)

[Show all abstracts](#)

[Show selected abstracts](#)

[Export selected to](#) ▼

[Add to my list](#)

REVIEW ARTICLES



Biological age estimation using DNA methylation analysis: A systematic review 


p. 1

Muhammad Garry Syahrizal Hanafi, Nurtami Soedarsono, Elza Ibrahim Auerkari

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_27_20

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}




Oral health status of people with locomotor disability in India: A systematic review 

p. 12

Lakshmi Krishnan, Parangimalai Divakar Madankumar

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_40_20

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}




ORIGINAL ARTICLES






DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_40_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}ORIGINAL ARTICLES **Efficacy of a conventional inferior alveolar nerve block compared to the Vazirani–Akinosi and Gow-Gates techniques for mandibular anesthesia** p. 20


Rishabh Shah, Pallavi Kalia, BS Dayanithi, Sunil Kumar Gulia, Rishabh Bhanot, Sasikanth Challari

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_47_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}**A retrospective study to ascertain the need for hardware removal following orthognathic surgery** p. 24


Pranav Parashar, Sunil Kumar Gulia, Sharwan Kumar Singh, Heeralal Chokotiya, Bhushan Thoke, Rahul V.C. Tiwari

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_49_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}**Child preferences for the dentist attire and dental health-care setting: A cross-sectional study** p. 28

Greeshma Ganesh, Faizal C Peedikayil, Soni Kottayi, TP Chandru

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_16_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}**The effects of reinforced cellulose nanocrystals from sugarcane bagasse fiber on the hardness of glass ionomer cements** p. 33

Hernindya Dwifulqi, Rosalina Tjandrawinata, Joko Kusnoto

DOI:10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_53_20

[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}

The Effects of Reinforced Cellulose Nanocrystals from Sugarcane Bagasse Fiber on the Hardness of Glass Ionomer Cements

Hernindya Dwifulqi, Rosalina Tjandrawinata¹, Joko Kusnoto²

Department of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dentistry, Maranatha University, Departments of ¹Dental Materials and ²Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Bandung, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Advances in nanotechnology research make the use of cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) attractive for improving the mechanical properties of glass ionomer cement (GIC). Sugarcane bagasse (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is a CNCs source with a high CNC content (72.5%). **Objective:** This study aimed to determine the effect of the addition of sugarcane bagasse CNCs on the mechanical properties of GIC. **Methods:** In total, 42 GIC (Fuji IX, GC, Japan) samples were divided into six groups, with various concentrations of CNCs, added to the samples. After 24 h immersion in distilled water at 37°C, the samples were analyzed using the Vickers hardness test. The samples were also characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). For statistical analysis, a one-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test, was applied. A value of $P < 0.05$ denoted statistical significance. **Results:** The TEM revealed crystalline particles in the form of nanocrystals, with varying particle sizes (lengths of 100–200 nm and diameters of 4–19 nm). The addition of 0.4% of CNCs from bagasse fiber to GIC increased the Vickers hardness of the material by 38.89% ($P < 0.05$). **Conclusion:** The addition of 0.4% of sugarcane bagasse can improve the hardness of GIC.

Keywords: Bagasse, cellulose nanocrystal, glass ionomer cement, sugarcane, Vickers hardness

Received: 10-10-20

Revised: 02-01-21

Accepted: 18-01-21

Published Online: 16-02-21

Introduction

Glass ionomer cement (GIC) is a restorative material widely used in dental clinics because of its ability to adhere to enamel and dentine, which in turn, releases fluoride. GIC has poor mechanical properties, which limits its use in high-stress areas.¹⁻³ To improve the mechanical properties of GIC, several modification has been done, in particular, the addition of different amounts of cellulose nanocrystals (CNC)s from eucalyptus wood.⁴ The study led by Silva *et al.* found that 50% CNCs from eucalyptus wood added into GIC marked improvements in various mechanical properties (e.g., compressive strength, elastic modulus, and diametral tensile strength), but there is no information regarding the Vickers hardness value.⁴

Cellulose-based nanomaterials have the potential for biocomposites development in industrial and biomedical

applications.⁵ Cellulose is an abundant biopolymer in nature, biodegradable and nontoxic, with a low density and good mechanical properties.⁶ Cellulose is found in plant cell walls in wood, cotton, hemp, and other plant-based materials and plays an essential role in plant structure.⁷

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) bagasse is a potential source of cellulose in the production of crystalline nanocellulose.⁸ According to the literature, around 640–660 Mton of sugarcane can produce 160 Mton of bagasse. As shown in previous research, the crystallinity value of CNCs from bagasse was higher (72.5%) than that of chemically purified

Address for correspondence: Dr. Joko Kusnoto, Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia.
E-mail: j_kusno@hotmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Dwifulqi H, Tjandrawinata R, Kusnoto J. The effects of reinforced cellulose nanocrystals from sugarcane bagasse fiber on the hardness of glass ionomer cements. *Sci Dent J* 2021;5:33-6.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website: www.scidentj.com

DOI: [10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_53_20](https://doi.org/10.4103/SDJ.SDJ_53_20)

cellulose (63.5%).⁷ Studies also demonstrated that the crystalline phase was essential in increasing the strength of the material.⁹ Nevertheless, no further information regarding the efficacy of sugarcane CNCs' augmentation into GIC. Considering this research gap, this study aims to determine the effect of sugarcane CNCs' addition on the hardness of GIC.

Materials and Methods

CNCs from sugarcane bagasse was prepared using the basic hydrolysis method, followed by bleaching and acidic hydrolysis. Basic hydrolysis was carried out by dissolving 30 g of dried sugarcane bagasse in sodium hydroxide 4M. As the hydrolysis product was in the form of a suspension, filtration of a suspension was first performed. The filtrate was then compressed. Subsequently, the bagasse was bleached using 1.25% sodium hypochlorite solution. The dried cellulose was added to 45% sulfuric acid solution. Following ultrasonication for 10 min, the dried cellulose was centrifuged at a speed of 10,000 rpm for 10 min and then filtered using filter paper to obtain CNCs in gel form. The synthesized CNCs were then characterized using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Hitachi HT7700, Tokyo, Japan) with $\times 30,000$. The CNCs lengths and diameters were measured. In this study, TEM was used because it has a focus of light, which contains high electron energy so that it can analyze the microstructural of a specimen, the crystal structure with high resolution compared to scanning electron microscopy, which can only penetrate the surface of the sample.

The CNCs was then weighed and added to a GIC matrix of a conventional GIC (Fuji IX, GC, Tokyo, Japan, LOT 1804051). There were six experimental groups, whereas each group consists of seven GIC's. Each group was incorporated with different concentrations of CNCs: GIC-CNCs 1%; GIC-CNCs 0.8%; GIC-CNCs 0.6%; GIC-CNCs 0.4%, and GIC-CNCs 0.2%, along with a control group of GIC without CNCs, respectively. These concentrations are based on the research conducted by Silva *et al.* about the addition of CNCs from eucalyptus wood to GIC.⁴ After the addition of the various concentrations of CNCs to the samples, the mixture was sonicated for 2 min to produce a GIC powder, with a powder/liquid ratio of 1/1. Materials for GIC were then manipulated manually according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Samples of GIC-CNCs were produced with 3-mm thick and 5 mm in diameter. Before testing the mechanical properties of the samples using the Vickers hardness test, the samples were immersed in distilled water for 24 h at 37°C ($\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$).¹⁰

Statistical analysis

In each group, the hardness values were calculated, with the average value and standard deviation recorded. To analyze the average value of each test group, the Ryan–Joiner normality test was used. As all the data were normally distributed, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical test was performed, followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test. A value of $P < 0.05$ denoted statistical significance.

Results

The TEM characterization of the morphology of the crystalline particles in the CNCs revealed whiskers (crystallinity index: 75%) with varying particle sizes (lengths of 100–200 nm and diameters of 4–19 nm). which is shown in Figure 1.

The mean values and standard deviations in the Vickers hardness test are summarized in Table 1. The statistical analysis revealed a significant difference in the Vickers hardness among the groups ($P < 0.05$) and pointed to a substantial increase in the Vickers hardness of the GIC in all the groups. The maximum Vickers hardness was obtained at CNCs concentration of 0.4%.

Table 2 shows the results of the statistical analysis of the differences in the Vickers hardness using a one-way ANOVA and the Games–Howell *post hoc* test. As shown in the table, there were significant differences in the hardness values of all the groups ($P < 0.05$), with the exception of the GIC-CNCs 0.2% versus the GIC-CNCs 0.6% group ($P = 0.960$), GIC-CNCs 0.2% versus the GIC-CNCs 0.8% group ($P = 0.996$), GIC-CNCs 0.2% versus the GIC-CNCs 1% group ($P = 0.928$), GIC-CNCs 0.6% versus the GIC-CNCs 0.8% group ($P = 0.999$),




Figure 1: Transmission electron microscopy images showing cellulose nanocrystals whisker-shaped particles at $\times 30,000$

GIC-CNCs 0.6% versus the GIC-CNCs 1% group ($P = 0.466$), and the GIC-CNCs 0.8% versus the GIC-CNCs 1% ($P = 0.689$) group.

Discussion

GIC has poor mechanical properties, such as low tensile strength and compressive strength compared to other restorative materials, such as composite resin.^{11,12} Surface hardness is an important factor in controlling wear resistance and thus can be used as an indication of the long-term durability of materials.¹³ The reduced surface hardness of dental restoration leads to a decrease in wear resistance. Recent studies showed that the microhardness of GIC served as a valid measure of the surface mechanical properties of the material.¹⁴

Advances in nanotechnology research have made the use of CNCs attractive for improving the mechanical properties of GIC.¹⁴ Nanotechnology, also known as molecular nanotechnology or molecular engineering, has been introduced in the dental field, with GIC containing 3 and 5% titanium dioxide nanoparticle showed improved fracture toughness.¹⁴ The nanoscale particles and crystals in CNCs have similarities to their crystals (nanomaterial) found in natural teeth. This study used CNCs synthesized from sugarcane bagasse and visualized the microstructure of the particles at the nanoscale using TEM. The results of the TEM analysis revealed whisker-shaped nanoparticles in the

form of separate aggregates. The average lengths and diameters of the CNCs were 100–200 nm and 4–10 nm, respectively. Cellulose nanowhiskers are rod-shaped particles, which have high particle crystallinity, a crystallinity index >75%, and rectangular cross-sections with few defects.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ As a result, the material containing cellulose nanowhiskers is stronger than metal.

In this study, various concentrations of CNCs were added to GIC samples. The right concentration can provide the ideal interaction between the crystal and cement matrix during a chemical reaction with the reinforcing structure formation.¹² As demonstrated previously, the concentration and intrinsic character of the reinforcing agent added to GIC affected the hardness of the resulting matrix.⁴ In this study, the addition of CNCs as a reinforcing agent significantly increased the Vickers hardness value of the tested samples.

It is important to analyze the hardness of dental materials to ensure their clinical function, including resistance to masticatory forces.¹⁸ Hardness testing has frequently been used to evaluate the surface resistance of materials to plastic deformation caused by penetration.¹⁸ Hardness was shown to be closely related to compressive, flexural, and wear properties.¹⁹ In the present study, hardness testing revealed the highest hardness value (38.89%) in the GIC-CNCs 0.4% group, at concentrations of CNCs above 0.4%, more glass particles were found on the surface so the hardness value decreases. The same thing was also found in a previous study, which showed decreased in Vickers micro-hardness from GIC reinforced with CNC from eucalyptus wood due to the large number of glass particles on the surface of the GIC.⁴ As demonstrated previously, the proportion of glass particles and polyacid affected the hardness of GIC, its proportion influenced the concentration of filler required to produce a material with a good hardness value.¹⁹ A previous study showed that fewer glass particles on the surface of GIC resulted in a low glass to polyacid ratio, which led to an increased ability of polyacids reaction with the nanoparticles.²⁰ In the same study, interstitial packing of these nanoparticles resulted in a higher nanoparticle: Matrix ratio at the interface, where the size constraints of the larger glass particles contributed to a surface layer rich

4

8

Table 1: The Vickers hardness test results

Groups	Vickers hardness	
	Mean±SD (VHN)	Means (%)*
GIC	103.96±8.73	0
GIC-CNCs 0.2%	126.01±6.97**	18.32
GIC-CNCs 0.4%	144.40±8.29**	38.89
GIC-CNCs 0.6%	128.02±6.50**	23.14
GIC-CNCs 0.8%	127.20±9.47**	22.35
GIC-CNCs 1%	123.70±9.44**	18.99

*The percentage comparison means value between each group and the control group, ** $P < 0.05$: statistically significant difference compared with control group (GIC). VHN: Vickers hardness numbers, SD: Standard deviation, GIC: Glass ionomer cement, CNCs: Cellulose nanocrystals

Table 2: Statistical analysis of the differences in the Vickers hardness means among the six groups using a one-way analysis of variance and the Games-Howell post hoc test

Groups	GIC	GIC-CNCs 0.2%	GIC-CNCs 0.4%	GIC-CNCs 0.6%	GIC-CNCs 0.8%	GIC-CNCs 1%
GIC	-	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*
GIC-CNCs 0.2%	-	-	0.000*	0.960	0.996	0.928
GIC-CNCs 0.4%	-	-	-	0.000*	0.000*	0.002*
GIC-CNCs 0.6%	-	-	-	-	0.999	0.466
GIC-CNCs 0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	0.689
GIC-CNCs 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-

* $P < 0.05$: Statistically significant difference. GIC: Glass ionomer cement, CNCs: Cellulose nanocrystals



in the matrix. Furthermore, larger glass particles size led to the more surface layer fill with matrix.¹⁹

The same study showed the integrity of the interface between glass particles and the matrix. In the present study, the increase in the micro-hardness value of the GIC-CNCs 0.4% group indicates the interaction of the filler and the matrix, which results in an ideal proportion of glass particles and acids on the GIC surface to reacts with the nanoparticles.

Conclusion

The addition of CNCs from sugarcane bagasse significantly increased the Vickers hardness of GIC restorative material. Further studies are needed to investigate the bonding ability of GIC-containing CNCs from sugarcane bagasse with the tooth structure, its fluoride release ability, and biocompatibility, including clinical trials to further understand the properties and characteristics of this material, especially in the oral environment.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Silva RM, Carvalho VX, Dumont VC, Santos MH, Carvalho AM. Addition of mechanically processed cellulosic fibers to ionomer cement: Mechanical properties. *Braz Oral Res* 2015;29:S1806.
- Neel EA, Chrzanowski W. Surface topography and mechanical properties of flax fibres modified glass ionomer restorative materials. *J Biomed Eng Inform* 2015;1:82-92.
- Khaighani M, Doostmohammadi A, Alizadeh S. Investigation the compressive strength of glass ionomer cement containing hydroxyapatite nano and micro particles. *Austin J Biotechnol Bioeng* 2016;3:1-4.
- Silva RM, Pereira FV, Mota FA, Watanabe E, Soares SM, Santos MH. Dental glass ionomer cement reinforced by cellulose microfibrils and cellulose nanocrystals. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl* 2016;58:389-95.
- Halib N, Perrone F, Cemazar M, Dapas B, Farra R, Abrami M, *et al.* Potential applications of nanocellulose-containing materials in the biomedical field. *Materials (Basel)* 2017;10:977.
- Siqueira G, Bras J, Dufresne A. Cellulosic bionanocomposites: A review of preparation, properties and applications. *Polymers* 2010;2:728-65.
- Kumar A, Yuvraj SN, Veena C. Characterization of cellulose nanocrystals produced by acid-hydrolysis from sugarcane bagasse as agro-waste. *J Mater Phys Chem* 2014;2:1-8.
- Mokhena TC, Mochane MJ, Motaung TE. Sugarcane bagasse and cellulose polymer composites. *Intechopen* 2018;12:225-40.
- Bahrami-Abadi M, Khaghani M, Monshi A. Reinforcement of glass ionomer cement: Incorporating with silk fiber. *J Adv Mater Proces* 2016;4:14-21.
- Smith RL, Sandly GE. An accurate method of determining the hardness of metals, with particular reference to those of a high degree of hardness. *Proc Inst Mech Eng* 1922;102:623-41.
- Menezes-Silva R, de Oliveira BM, Fernandes PH, Shimohara LY, Pereira FV, Borges AF, *et al.* Effects of the reinforced cellulose nanocrystals on glass-ionomer cements. *Dent Mater* 2019;35:564-73.
- Dionysopoulos D, Tolidis K, Sfeikos T, Karnssiou C, Parisi X. Evaluation of surface microhardness and abrasion resistance of two dental glass ionomer cement materials after radiant heat treatment. *Adv Mat Sci Eng* 2017;2017:1-8.
- Tüzüner T, Ulusu T. Effect of antibacterial agents on the surface hardness of a conventional glass-ionomer cement. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2012;20:45-9.
- Shabani DA, Bamusa B, Bajafar S, Eidan SA, Akmuhaudub D, Alhakeem F, *et al.* Modification of glass ionomer restorative material: A review of literature. *EC Dent Sci* 2019;18:1001-6.
- de Jonge N, Ross FM. Electron microscopy of specimens in liquid. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2011;6:695-704.
- Hutten IM. *Handbook of Nonwoven Filter Media*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Elsevier; 2016. p. 158-275.
- Spence K, Habibi Y, Dufresne A. Nanocellulose-based composites. In: Kalia S, Kaith BS, Kaur I, editors. *Cellulose Fibers: Bio- and Nano-Polymer Composites*. Berlin: Springer; 2011. p. 179-213.
- Toras FM, Hamouda IM. Effect of nano filler on microhardness, diametral tensile strength and compressive strength of nano-filled glass ionomer. *Int J Dent Oral Sci* 2017;4:413-17.
- Cibim DD, Saito MT, Giovani PA, Borges AF, Pecorari VG, Gomes OP, *et al.* Novel nanotechnology of TiO₂ improves physical-chemical and biological properties of glass ionomer cement. *Int J Biomater* 2017;2017:1-11.
- Subramani K, Ahmed W, Hartsfield JK. *Nanobiomaterials in Clinical Dentistry*. 1st ed. New York: Elsevier; 2013. p. 15.

[SDJ] Author Revision Request - REMINDER

Scientific Dental Journal <sdj@trisakti.ac.id>

Wed 1/6/2021 2:24 PM

To:Joko Kusnoto <j_kusno@hotmail.com>;hernindya.dwifulqi@gmail.com <hernindya.dwifulqi@gmail.com>
Cc:Armelia Sari <armeliasari@trisakti.ac.id>

📎 1 attachments (55 KB)

SDJ_53_20.docx;

Dear Dr. Joko Kusnoto,

This is a kind reminder of our request for your revision. Please kindly revise your manuscript according to the reviewer's comments.

The revision itself is due on January 6, 2021.

Thank you for your kind cooperation and we look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely yours,

Armelia Sari Widyarman

Editor in-Chief

Scientific Dental Journal

armeliasari@trisakti.ac.id ; armeliasari.dr@gmail.com**UNIVERSITAS TRISAKTI*****"Is a one stop learning for sustainable development"***

Kampus A, Jl. Kyai Tapa No.1, Grogol

Jakarta Barat 11440 - INDONESIA

www.trisakti.ac.id

(t) +62-21.566 3232, (f) +62-21.567 3001

[SDJ] Author's Revision Request

Scientific Dental Journal <sdj@trisakti.ac.id>

Thu 1/14/2021 3:28 PM

To:Joko Kusnoto <j_kusno@hotmail.com>

Cc:Armelia Sari <armeliasari@trisakti.ac.id>;hernindya.dwifulqi@gmail.com <hernindya.dwifulqi@gmail.com>

📎 1 attachments (81 KB)

SDJ_53_20.docx;

Dear Dr. Joko Kusnoto,

Copyeditors have commented on your manuscript before continuing to the publication. Please kindly revise the manuscript according to comments. Please send your revision not more than 48 hours.

Thank you for your kind cooperation and we look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely yours,

Armelia Sari Widyarman

Editor in-Chief

Scientific Dental Journal

armeliasari@trisakti.ac.id ; armeliasari.dr@gmail.com



UNIVERSITAS TRISAKTI

"Is a one stop learning for sustainable development"

Kampus A, Jl. Kyai Tapa No.1, Grogol

Jakarta Barat 11440 - INDONESIA

www.trisakti.ac.id

(t) +62-21.566 3232, (f) +62-21.567 3001