

Jurnal Manajemen dan Pemasaran Jasa

[REGISTER](#) [LOGIN](#)



[CURRENT](#)

[ARCHIVES](#)

[CALL FOR EDITOR AND REVIEWER](#)

[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)

[ABOUT](#) ▾

ISSN 2442-9732 (Online)

ISSN 0216-3780 (Print)

Accredited by Indonesia Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Decree No.148/M/KPT/2020 (2nd level)

Jurnal Manajemen dan Pemasaran Jasa is a peer-reviewed and open-access journal published by Lembaga Penerbit Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis (LPFEB). This journal receives original research and review papers suitable with a focus and scope on human resource management, marketing, operation, information system management, entrepreneurship, and finance in the service industry. The journal is published twice a year, every March and September. All the articles must be a double-blind review process using an open journal system.

Current Issue

Vol. 16 No. 2 (2023): September

Published: 2023-10-18

Articles

Sertifikat Akreditasi SINTA 2

Nomor : 148/M/KPT/2020



Sertifikat Akreditasi SINTA 2

Nomor : 21/E/KPT/2018



In Cooperation With :



Published: 2021-04-06

Emotional labor as the underlying mechanism in the relationship between emotional stability and affective commitment: A study in the hospitality industry

Siva Kantha Subhiksa, Debora Effina Purba
1-18Abstract views: 916 | PDF Download: 1922 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.7375>

Examining the causal relationship of entrepreneurial ecosystem and process: New contribution from an emerging economy

Dedi Kurniawan, Agus Soflan Eka Hidayat, Suwinto Johan
19-34Abstract views: 1255 | PDF Download: 1854 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.8146>

How to encourage lecturer performance in research through servant leadership, organizational commitment, and tacit knowledge sharing

Alex Winarno, Deni Hermana
35-48Abstract views: 1134 | PDF Download: 2089 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.8541>

The debtor-attributes information and the probability of performing loans in the microfinance sector

Bayu Sindhu Raharja
49-60Abstract views: 991 | PDF Download: 1961 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.7857>

How personal factors grow students' interest in entrepreneurship

Arief Dwi Saputra, Aifina Rahmatta, Muslimah Muslimah
61-76Abstract views: 1331 | PDF Download: 2214 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.8336>

Intention to use online meeting applications during Covid-19 pandemic: A Technology Acceptance Model perspective

Giang Puspita Rini, Imroatul Khasanah
77-94Abstract views: 1260 | PDF Download: 2153 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.8575>

The relationship between counterproductive work behavior and emotional intelligence among pest control employees

Evi Susanti, Adam Ahmad Alwanayah
95-108Abstract views: 1628 | PDF Download: 2028 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.8199>

Factor affecting the use of e-money in millennial generation: Research model UTAUT 2

Lisar Alfansi, Muhammad Yasser Iqbal Daulay
109-122Abstract views: 2383 | PDF Download: 2945 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.8212>

In-store communication of reusable bag: Application of goal-framing theory

Ayu Ekasari
123-134Abstract views: 1179 | PDF Download: 1840 | full paper Download: 0 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.7429>

The impact of culinary tourism on tourist satisfaction and destination loyalty: Padang city, West Sumatra context

Fitriazal Fitriazal, Elfawandi Elfawandi, Sigit Sanjaya
135-148Abstract views: 1526 | PDF Download: 2206 | <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v14i1.8594>

Sertifikat Akreditasi SINTA 2

Nomor : 148/M/KPT/2020



Sertifikat Akreditasi SINTA 2

Nomor : 21/E/KPT/2018



In Cooperation With :

[Click here to Submit](#)

Author Guideline

Focus and Scope

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Peer Review Process

Editorial Team

Reviewer Team

Plagiarism Policy

Unique Visits

Journal History

Journal Business Model

Author Index

Indexing and Abstracting

Publication Frequency

Reviewer/EditorJoin

Archiving

Open Access Policy

Retraction Policy

Copyright Notice

Mailing Address

[Order Hardcopy](#)

INFORMASI MAJAS WA WHATSAPP



LANGUAGE

INFORMATION

REFERENCE MANAGEMENT TOOLS



APPLICATION PLAGIARISM CHECKER

[View My Stats](#)

INDEXING



In-store communication of reusable bag: Application of goal-framing theory

PDF
Full paper

Published: Apr 6, 2021

DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.25105/jmp.v14i1.7429>

Keywords:
Goal framing theory, biospheric value, pro-environmental behavior.

Ayu Ekasari
Scopus ID [57200730603] Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6879-4925>

Abstrait

Campaigns on pro-environmental behavior are an important objective in social marketing due to the environmental problems caused by people's consumption. Therefore, social marketers need to understand the underlying factors that make people change their behavior. This research investigates the determinants of pro-environmental behavior from the perspective of goal framing theory with three overarching goals, namely hedonic, gain, and normative. The data were collected using a questionnaire survey method and analyzed by structural equation modeling. The results showed that gain and normative goals directly affect pro-environmental behavior instead of a hedonic goal. This research also showed that the biospheric value as one of the self-transcendence values moderates the effect of normative goal towards pro-environmental behavior, proposed in preliminary studies. The findings contribute to the existing research regarding pro-environmental behavior determinants and used by social marketing initiatives, especially in-store communication, in designing the right message. Future studies need to investigate the role of hedonic and egoistic values in predicting pro-environmental behavior.

Dimensions



Plam Analytics



Captions
Readers: 7
PLUMIX - [see details](#)

ISSUE
Vol. 14 No. 1 (2021): MARET

SECTION

Articles



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.



Ciptaan dilindungi hak cipta di bawah lisensi Creative Commons Atribusi-NonKomersial 4.0 Internasional.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

Ayu Ekasari, Scopus ID [57200730603] Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia
<https://scholar.google.co.id/citations?user=DSAWWdGAAAAAJ&hl=id&oi=ao>

References

Bafundi, A., Perlaviciute, G., & Steg, L. (2019). The relationship between people's environmental considerations and pro-environmental behavior in Lithuania. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10(CCT). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02319>

Benenson, V., & Etemad-Sajadi, B. (2015). The effect of a sustainable label portfolio on consumer perception of ethicality and retail patronage.

Blankenberg, A.-K., & Ahlsson, H. (2019). On the Determinants of Pro-Environmental Behavior: A Literature Review and Guide for the Empirical Economist. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3473702>

Bolderdijk, J. W., Kroesnick, L., Steg, L. M., & Verhoef, E. T. (2011). Effects of Pay-As-You-Drive vehicle insurance on young drivers' speed choice: Results of a Dutch field experiment. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 43(3), 1181-1186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.12.002>

Carmona, I., & Válor, C. (2012). CSR-labelled products in retailers' assortment: A comparative study of British and Spanish retailers. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 40(8), 429-452. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09595551211245425>

Chalaborny, A., Singh, M. P., & Roy, M. (2017). A study of goal frames shaping pro-environmental behaviour in university students. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 18(7), 1291-1310. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSH-10-2016-0185>

de Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2008). Value orientations to explain beliefs related to environmental significant behavior: How to measure egoistic, altruistic, and biospheric value orientations. *Environment and Behavior*, 40(3), 330-354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916506297831>

de Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2009). Mean or green: which values can promote stable pro-environmental behavior? *Conservation Letters*, 2(2), 61-66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263x.2009.00048.x>

De Groot, J. I. M., Steg, L., Keizer, M., Famsang, A., & Watt, A. (2012). Environmental values in post-socialist Hungary: Is it useful to distinguish egoistic, altruistic and biospheric values? In *Sociological Casopis*, 48(3). <https://doi.org/10.13600/00380288.2012.48.3.62>

De Silva, D. G., & Pounnal, R. A. J. (2014). Going green: does it depend on education, gender or income? *Applied Economics*, 44(5).

DPHD. (2020). *larangan penggunaan plastik perlu dijadikan ajang-edukasi*. <https://dphd.djakartapro.go.id/perpuk-larangan-penggunaan-plastik-perlu-dijadikan-ajang-edukasi/> Diakses 5 Oktober 2020.

Eren, S. S., Eroglu, F., & Hacıoglu, G. (2012). Compulsive Buying Tendencies Through Materialistic and Hedonic Values among College Students in Turkey. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 58, 1370-1377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2012.09.1121>

Fuentes, C., & Fredriksson, C. (2018). Sustainability service in-store: Service work and the promotion of sustainable consumption. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 44(5), 492-507. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRD-06-2015-0092>

Grishamick, V., Tylor, J. M., & Van den Bergh, B. (2010). Going Green to Be Seer: Status, Reputation, and Conspicuous Conservation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(3), 392-404. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017346>

Hair, J., J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis* 7th edition. London: Pearson Education Limited

Holden, E., Linenberg, K., & Barizite, D. (2014). Sustainable development: Our Common Future revisited. *Global Environmental Change*, 26(1), 130-138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.006>

Jakovcic, A., & Steg, L. (2013). Sustainable transportation in Argentina: Values, beliefs, norms and car use reduction. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 25, 70-79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2013.05.005>

Jarbeck, J. R., Geyer, R., Wilcox, C., Siegler, T. R., Perryman, M., Andrady, A., Narayan, R., & Law, K. L. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science*, 347(6223), 768-771. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260352>

Jones, P., Hillier, D., & Comfort, D. (2011). Shopping for tomorrow: Promoting sustainable consumption within food stores. In *British Food Journal*, 113(7), 935-948. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00070701111144441>

Kotler, P., & Zaltman, G. (1996). Social marketing: An approach to planned social change. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 3(3-4), 7-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15245904.1996.9960973>

Lau, K. (2014). Research on mechanism of consumer innovativeness influencing green consumption behavior. *Nankai Business Review International*, 5(2), 211-224. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NBR-11-2013-0041>

Lehner, M. (2015). Translating sustainability: The role of the retail store. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 43(4-5), 386-402. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRD-02-2014-0013>

Lindenberg, S., & Steg, L. (2007). Normative, gain and hedonic goal frames guiding environmental behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63(1), 117-137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2007.00499.x>

Lindenberg, S., & Steg, L. (2013). Goal framing theory and norm-guided environmental behavior. In *Encouraging Sustainable Behavior: Psychology and the Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203141182>

Lindenberg, S., Steg, L., Milovanovic, M., & Schipper, A. (2018). Moral hypocrisy and the hedonic shift: A goal-framing approach. *Rationality and Society*, 30(4), 393-419. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1043463118795719>

Nappers, E. H., Keizer, K., Bolderdijk, J. W., & Steg, L. (2014). The adoption of sustainable innovations: Driven by symbolic and environmental motives. *Global Environmental Change*, 25(1), 52-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.01.012>

Okunah, M., Martin-Ortega, J., Novo, P., & Chapman, P. J. (2020). Revisiting the determinants of pro-environmental behavior to inform land management policy: A meta-analytic structural equation model application. *Land*, 9(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/LAND9050135>

Park, I., & Ha, S. (2012). Understanding pro-environmental behavior: A comparison of sustainable consumers and apathetic consumers. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 40(5), 388-400. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09595551211222367>

Peattie, K., & Peattie, S. (2009). Social marketing: A pathway to consumption reduction? *Journal of Business Research*, 62(2), 260-268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.01.033>

Saber, M., & Weber, A. (2015). How do supermarkets and discounters communicate about sustainability? A comparative analysis of sustainability reports and in-store communication. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 43(11), 1181-1202. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRD-08-2018-0156>

Saunders, S. G., Barrington, D. J., & Srisharan, S. (2015). Redefining social marketing: Beyond behavioural change. *Journal of Social Marketing*, 5(2), 160-168. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSOCM-09-2014-0021>

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2014). *Research Method For Business*. Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research.

Steg, L., Bolderdijk, J. W., Keizer, K., & Perlaviciute, G. (2014). An Integrated Framework for Encouraging Pro-environmental Behaviour: The role of values, situational factors and goals. In *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 304-315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.03.002>

Steg, L., & de Groot, J. (2012). Environmental values. In *The Oxford Handbook of Environmental and Conservation Psychology*, 81-92.

Steg, L., de Groot, J. I. M., Dreijerik, L., Abrahamse, W., & Siero, F. (2011). General antecedents of personal norms, policy acceptability, and intentions: the role of values, obligations, and environmental concerns. *Society and Natural Resources*, 24(4), 349-357. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920903241316>

Stern, P. C. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 407-424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00175>

Teng, C. C., & Wang, Y. M. (2015). Decisional factors driving organic food consumption: Generation of consumer purchase intentions. *British Food Journal*, 117(3), 1096-1081. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-12-2013-0361>

UNEP. (2012). 21 Issues for the 21st Century - Results of the UNEP Foreign Process on Emerging Environmental Issues. *Environmental Development*, 2, 150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2012.03.005>

van der Werff, E., & Steg, L. (2016). The psychology of participation and interest in smart energy systems: Comparing the value-belief-norm theory and the value-identity-personal norm model. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 22, 107-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2016.08.022>

Van der Werff, E., Steg, L., & Keizer, K. (2014). I Am What I Am, by Looking Past the Present: The Influence of Biospheric Values and Past Behavior on Environmental Self-identity. *Environment and Behavior*, 46(5), 626-657. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00139165142475209>

Volva, L., & Djamaludin, M. D. (2018). Perilaku Penggunaan Tas Belanja pada Ibu Rumah Tangga Tidak Bekerja dalam Perspektif Theory of Planned Behavior. *Jurnal Ilmu Kelautan Dan Kosumer*, 11(1).

<https://doi.org/10.24356/jkk.2018.11.49>

Most read articles by the same author(s)

- Ayu Ekasari, PENGARUH DRIVE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY, COLLECTIVISM DAN SUBJECTIVE NORM TERHADAP BEHAVIORAL INTENTION PENGGUNAAN REUSABLE BAG, *Jurnal Manajemen dan Pemasaran Jasa*, Vol. 11 No. 2 (2018): September



Sertifikat Akreditasi SINTA 2

Nomor : 348/M/KP/2020



Sertifikat Akreditasi SINTA 2

Nomor : 21/E/KP/2018



In Cooperation With:



Click here to Submit



Author Guideline

Focus and Scope

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Peer Review Process

Editorial Team

Reviewer Team

Plagiarism Policy

Unique Visits

Journal History

Journal Business Model

Author Index

Indexing and Abstracting

Publication Frequency

Reviewer/Editorial

Archiving

Open Access Policy

Retraction Policy

Copyright Notice

Mailing Address

Order Hardcopy

INFORMASI MAJAS VIA WHATSAPP



LANGUAGE

Bahasa Indonesia

English

INFORMATION

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians

REFERENCE MANAGEMENT TOOLS



APPLICATION PLAGIARISM CHECKER



View My Stats

INDEXING



In-store communication of reusable bag: Application of goal-framing theory

Ayu Ekasari^{1*}

¹Faculty of Economics and Business University of Trisakti

*ayu.ekasari@trisakti.ac.id

Abstract

Campaigns on pro-environmental behavior are an important objective in social marketing due to the environmental problems caused by people's consumption. Therefore, social marketers need to understand the underlying factors that make people change their behavior. This research investigates the determinants of pro-environmental behavior from the perspective of goal framing theory with three overarching goals, namely hedonic, gain, and normative. The data were collected using a questionnaire survey method and analyzed by structural equation modeling. The results showed that gain and normative goals directly affect pro-environmental behavior instead of a hedonic goal. This research also showed that the biospheric value as one of the self-transcendence values moderates the effect of normative goal towards pro-environmental behavior, proposed in preliminary studies. The findings contribute to the existing research regarding pro-environmental behavior determinants and used by social marketing initiatives, especially in-store-communication, in designing the right message. Future studies need to investigate the role of hedonic and egoistic values in predicting pro-environmental behavior.

Keywords: Goal framing theory, biospheric value, pro-environmental behavior.

JEL Classification: M31

Article history: Submission date: Jul 28, 2020 Revised date: Feb 18, 2021 Accepted date: Mar 25, 2021

INTRODUCTION

Awareness related to the importance of protecting the environment for the health and survival of future generations has been raised as a global agenda in many countries. This awareness is known as the sustainable development concept, which emerged after a report entitled "Our Common Future" was published by the Brundtland Commission at the United Nations in 1987 (Holden et al., 2014). The essence of this research is due to the need for a balance between economic activity, welfare, and the natural environment. Sustainable development focuses on fulfilling the current generation's needs without harming or sacrificing the future generations' interests. Conversely, the environmental damage that hit the ecosystem is largely caused by human consumption behavior, especially in the current generation (Blankenberg & Alhusen, 2019).

The United Nations has issued a document on Sustainable Development Goals, including Ensure Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns, which essentially promote economic growth, save energy, and adopt a sustainable lifestyle (UNEP, 2012). Nowadays, consumers are required to implement pro-environmental behavior to achieve sustainable consumption.

Generally, pro-environmental behavior is interpreted as the use of products and services with minimum natural raw and toxic materials that do not cause gas emissions and pollution. The ultimate goal of environmental care behavior is to continue to meet future generations' needs, as reflected in the sustainable development concept coined by the United Nations (UNEP, 2012).

The Brundtland Report publication raised the idea of using social marketing to reduce consumption, which is expected to help achieve sustainability by providing campaigns on the people's behavior changes (Peattie & Peattie, 2009). Furthermore, the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP, 2012) also released a report stating the need for transformative changes in human behavior through environmental awareness campaigns, promoting marketing disciplines to participate in voicing pro-environmental behavior and sustainable consumption through social marketing.

Kotler & Zaltman (1996) stated that social marketing uses modern techniques and concepts to create awareness on social-related issues and changes in people's behavior. However, Saunders et al. (2015) stated that social marketing is the development of individual and group actions based on basic principles that can promote the creation of sustainable social transformation. Therefore, through a well-targeted marketing campaign, environmental care behavior to be achieved leads to social change that involves all society levels in achieving sustainable consumption and development.

Environmental care behavior that leads to sustainable consumption is in the realm of individuals (private-sphere behaviors), in implementing green consumption using goods and services for personal/household needs without damaging the environment or carrying out the recycling (Park & Ha, 2012; Stern, 2000). Therefore, in campaigning for environmental care behavior, an understanding of the factors capable of promoting people is needed. This is because by knowing these factors, designing social messages or advertisements becomes more focused.

Preliminary studies carried out by Okumah et al. (2020) and Blankenberg & Alhusen (2019) stated that one factor that promotes people to carry out environmental care behavior is the psychological aspect. The authors stated that several theories are often used in the environmental care behavior context, namely Theory-of-Reasoned Action, Theory-of-Planned Behavior, Value-Belief-Norm, and Norm-Activation Model. Almost all of these theories are proven to explain the factors capable of encouraging people to adopt environmental care behaviors.

This research uses the goal-framing theory (GFT), primarily developed from the realm of social psychology, to understand environmental care behavior (Chakraborty et al., 2017; Lindenberg et al., 2018; Lindenberg & Steg, 2007, 2013). GFT, in essence, explains that humans are guided by three goals, namely gain, hedonic and normative in their thought processes which leads to environmental care behavior. Gain is people's purpose of benefiting from their actions, hedonic encourages them to acquire pleasure, while and normative make them act according to the norms applicable to the situation.

Goal-framing theory is a strong concept at the theoretical level, although it has not been widely applied in empirical studies. A research carried out by Chakraborty et al. (2017) using GFT found that normative goals among students promote them to behave more friendly to the environment. Furthermore, when examined, the GFT acts appropriately as a normative goal, which shows the importance of moral considerations, especially in environmental care behavior. In that context, normative goals are considered the most appropriate in promoting environmental care behavior. This is because people tend to act based on norms, such as maintaining environmental sustainability (Lindenberg & Steg, 2007, 2013).

However, preliminary research carried out by Lindenberg & Steg (2013) found the effect of hedonic goals towards environmental care behavior, driven by pleasure. Conversely, the effect of gain goals towards environmental care behavior has also been shown to be significant (Bolderdijk et al., 2011; de Groot & Steg, 2009; Griskevicius et al., 2010; Noppers et al., 2014).

Steg et al. (2014) developed a theoretical concept to support the initial idea of strengthening normative goals by proposing an integrated concept to promote environmental care behavior. The proposition put forward is that the normative goal depends on the biospheric values. Originally introduced by Stern (2000), biospheric values is defined as an ecological value that makes people more concerned about nature and environmental protection (Steg & de Groot, 2012).

The effect of biospheric value towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior has been proven by many studies, such as the willingness to recycle (Balundé et al., 2019), energy-saving (Van der Werff et al., 2014), reduce gas emissions (Steg et al., 2011), save water for bathing (Steg et al., 2014) and decrease the use of energy at home (van der Werff & Steg, 2016). However, research involving biospheric value as a moderating variable has not been conducted. Steg et al. (2014) stated that the biospheric value in a person can activate normative goals, namely the obligation that a person feels to have environmental care behavior according to the prevailing norms (to act appropriately).

This research tries to prove Steg et al., (2014) idea by taking the phenomenon related to reducing plastic waste. Jambeck et al. (2015) stated that in 2015, Indonesia was the second-largest contributor to plastic waste in the world. At the individual/household level, most of these plastic wastes come from bags used for shopping. Therefore, several city/local governments, such as Banjarmasin, Denpasar, Balikpapan, Bogor, Bekasi, Semarang, and Jakarta, have issued regulations to minimize these wastes banning plastic bags in retailers/shopping centers. In Jakarta, Governor Regulation Number 142 of 2019 was issued regarding the Obligation to Use Eco-Friendly Shopping Bags in shopping centers, supermarkets, and public markets (Regional parliament, 2020)

In connection with the local government's regulation regarding the use of eco-friendly shopping bags, almost all retailers, such as modern malls and minimarkets, have sold reusable shopping bags made of non-plastic. The attractive design is expected to increase consumers' purchasing demand. Currently, these reusable bags are purchased at marketplaces and are quite expensive compared to the shopping centers, which are usually sold at low prices in the range of Rp. 20,000 to Rp. 50.000. The research carried out by Volva & Djamaludin (2018) on housewives in the Bogor area found that information on the use of reusable bags is mostly obtained from the media as well as shopping centers. However, the

reason for using this bag is because it is more beneficial, durable, long-lasting, efficient, and not harmful to the environment.

Retailers play a significant role in encouraging consumers to use reusable bags through in-store-communication. According to research carried out by Jones et al. (2011) on several department stores in the UK, marketing communications related to sustainable consumption are carried out through banners, posters, television screens, flyers, leaflets, or placing messages on goods shelves. The three authors stated that consumers as communication targets need to provide clear information and products on the appearance of messages to attract attention.

Saber & Weber (2019) stated that the three important things in in-store-communication carried out by supermarkets in accordance with sustainability are the strategies used to implement in-store-communication, whether they practice sustainability, and the availability of certified organic products for sale. In-store communication is carried out using visual, print media or by creating a special space for organic products. Fuentes & Fredriksson (2016), Saber & Weber (2019), and Lehner (2015) stated that the practices in stores seen directly by consumers also promote sustainable consumption rates.

Research on in-store-communication related to sustainable consumption has discussed the placement of organic, Fair Trade, and environmentally-friendly certified products (Carrero & Valor, 2012; Bezençon & Etemad-Sajadi, 2015). However, there are still no discussions on in-store-communication using messages that promote consumers to use reusable bags as part of sustainable consumption. Furthermore, consumers' psychological aspects need to be reviewed from the goal-framing theory due to the campaign on the use of reusable bags as part of advertising in social marketing.

Normative goals reflect on a person's purpose to act according to applicable norms (to act appropriately). Previous studies carried out by Lindenberg & Steg (2013), de Groot & Steg (2008), and Chakraborty et al. (2017) have proven that when people act based on the existing norms, they become more motivated to conduct environmental care behavior.

The Hedonic goal aims to ensure people have fun by buying environmentally friendly products. Eren et al. (2012), Jakovcevic & Steg (2013), Lindenberg & Steg (2013), and Steg et al. (2014) concluded that there is an effect of hedonic goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior.

The gain goals describe the purpose to be achieved after people consider the benefits obtained in performing an activity. Grisvikevicious et al. (2010) stated that participating in environmental care behavior increases a person's status. Similarly, Bolderdijk et al. (2011), de Groot & Steg (2009), De Silva & Pownall (2014), Noppers et al. (2014), and Chakraborty et al. (2017) stated the positive effect of gain goals towards the intention to conduct environmental care behavior. The normative goals reflect a person's purpose to act appropriately. Previous studies carried out by Chakraborty et al. (2017), de Groot & Steg (2008), and Lindenberg & Steg (2013) stated that someone who acts based on existing norms is more motivated to carry out environmental care behavior. Steg et al. (2014) stated that the effect of normative goals towards the intention to conduct environmental care behavior is stronger when a person has high biospheric values.

This research novelty investigates the determinants of pro-environmental behavior from the perspective of goal framing theory with three overarching goals, namely hedonic, gain, and normative. This research is expected to provide suggestions for retailers to design

in-store-communication on the importance of reusable bags and promote changes in consumers' behavior towards sustainable consumption using the print/visual media.

METHOD

This is a descriptive correlational study used to explain the effect between variables through hypothesis testing. The research design is following the study carried out by Sekaran & Bougie (2014), which consisted of several steps. These included determining a research strategy in the form of a survey for data collection and analysis as the study because it is natural with minimal interference. Furthermore, the non-probability sampling method was used to obtain data from reusable bags using questionnaires with the cross-sectional method used for analysis. The respondents were determined based on those that have seen and understand the importance of reusable bags to the environment. This research uses five variables, namely Hedonic, Gain and Normative Goals adapted from Chakraborty et al. (2017), Biospheric value from De Groot et al. (2012), and the intention to carry out environmental care behavior from Teng & Wang (2015) and (Lao, 2014). Furthermore, the data were analyzed using covariance-based structural equation modeling with AMOS Version 23 and SPSS Version 21. The validity and reliability tests were carried out on the whole data (main data) with the Confirmatory factor analysis used as a validity test tool and internal consistency reliability for reliability testing (Hair et al., 2014; Sekaran & Bougie, 2014).

Table 1
Validity and Reliability Test Results

Variable	Factor Loading	Cronbach Alpha
Hedonic Goal		0.937
1. Practicing eco-friendly behavior makes me feel healthy and energized.	0.854	
2. I am happy when I carry out environmentally friendly behavior.	0.885	
3. It is fun to be able to participate in environmental activities.	0.848	
4. I enjoy carrying out activities that benefit the environment.	0.855	
5. Conducting eco-friendly behavior makes me happy.	0.834	
6. The use of reusable bags reduces the indiscriminate disposal of plastic bag waste.	0.684	
7. Participating in social activities makes me feel better.	0.838	
Gain goal		0.860
1. Studying the sustainability aspect through various sources is very useful.	0.785	
2. Organic food prevents people from having health problems.	0.695	
	0.770	

Variable	Factor Loading	Cronbach Alpha
3. The use of reusable bags reduces the disposal of plastic waste in my house.	0.700	
4. Actively inviting others to use reusable bags made me feel like a person that cares for the environment.	0.757	
5. All parties need to carry out campaigns on the use of reusable bags.		
Normative Goal		0.913
1. People need to know how to use reusable bags when shopping.	0.826	
2. A culture of environmental care improves life quality.	0.893	
3. Humans tend to maintain the ecosystem balance by protecting the environment.	0.839	
4. People need to be able to practice a lifestyle and consumption pattern that maintains environmental sustainability.	0.853	
The intention to carry out environmental care behavior		0.929
1. I am going to purchase the Reusable Bag as long as it is available to retailers (Supermarkets and Hypermarkets).	0.761	
2. I am willing to buy the Reusable Bag even though it is more expensive compared to ordinary plastic bags.	0.730	
3. I am willing to learn more about reusable bags by gathering information.	0.774	
4. I recommended the reusable bag to my friends, and they are willing to make purchases.	0.828	
5. I would like to recommend the reusable bag to my family for them to make purchases.	0.876	
6. The chances of me buying a Reusable Bag are very high.	0.859	
7. I am going to buy a reusable bag.	0.845	
Biospheric value		0.928
1. I feel it is important to preserve the natural environment.	0.905	
2. We need to maintain harmony with other living beings.	0.904	
3. I believe it is important to protect natural resources.	0.924	
4. We have to adapt to nature and not force our desires which lead to destruction.	0.896	

Source: Data Processed (2020)

Table 1 shows that all indicators are valid because of their ability to fulfill the criteria, where the loading factor is greater than 0.35. Similarly, all research variables are reliable because the Cronbach alpha is above 0.6.

Before processing the data, the model suitability test (goodness-of-fit) is carried out with several good fit indicators, namely ECVI: 2.826, RMSEA: 0.089, IFI: 0.913, TLI: 0.901, CMIN/DF: 3.485, and AIC: 884.679. The overall results show that the model is fit with NFI (0.882) and RFI (0.867) marginally fit. The multiple group method was used to test the moderation effect to divide the respondents into 2 groups with different degrees. The data processing results showed that the probability level value is 0,000, which means that there are differences between the 2 groups (High and Low Biospheric Value).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Table 2
Hypothesis Test Results

Hypothesis	ρ value	Estimate	Decision
H1: There is a positive effect of normative goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior	0.0495	-0.648	Not supported
H2: There is a positive effect of hedonic goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior	0.0935	-0.418	Not supported
H3: There is a positive effect of gain goal towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior	0.015	1.959	Supported
H4: There is a significant influence of biospheric value on the effect of normative goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior.	0.000	0.626 (at low biospheric value) 0.992 (at high biospheric value)	Supported

Source: Data Processed (2020)

Discussion

Based on the hypothesis testing results, the first hypothesis stated that there is no positive effect of normative goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior. Although this finding contradicts several previous studies, the goal framing theory initiators, namely Lindenberg & Steg (2007), reported that the normative is the smallest compared to the other two goals. When viewed from the respondents, the majority aged 18-25 years and unemployed are less likely that they are less to buy reusable bags despite being cheap.

The second hypothesis does not find a significant positive effect on the hedonic goal towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior. These results indicate that even though the respondents feel healthy, excited, and happy in caring for the environment,

this does not make them willing to buy reusable bags. Similarly, although they like to participate and enjoy environmental activities, it does not encourage them to buy bags. This finding is consistent with research carried out by Steg et al. (2014) and Chakraborty et al. (2017).

The third hypothesis stated that the data analysis results support the positive effect of gain goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior. The research findings showed that more respondents are willing to buy reusable bags due to the numerous benefits, such as studying sustainability aspects and reducing waste in their homes. Therefore, they are willing to buy and use reusable bags. Furthermore, respondents are also happy to be considered as people that care about the environment when they invite others to use reusable bags, which also increases the usage rate. This hypothesis test results support the research carried out by Chakraborty et al. (2017), Griskevicius et al. (2010), Lindenberg & Steg (2013), and Noppers et al. (2014).

However, the fourth hypothesis's support explains the reasons associated with the inability of the normative goals to promote people to buy reusable bags. These testing results are in line with the research carried out by Steg et al. (2014), which stated the use of biospheric values to strengthen normative goals in a person, which creates environmental care behavior. Information or cues are designed to describe society's social norms to comply when campaigning for environmental care behaviors. This generates messages that target people with biospheric values in themselves, therefore the cognitive processes experienced promote them to adopt environmental care behavior.

CONCLUSION

There are several conclusions associated with this research. Firstly, there is no positive effect of normative and hedonic goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior instead of the main goal. Finally, the role of biospheric value moderation is proven to be able to strengthen the effect of normative goals towards the intention to conduct environmental care behavior. This research is used as input for designing social campaigns or advertisements regarding reusable bags to change people's shopping behavior through in-store communication at retailers. The essence of social marketing is using the marketing concept approach to change the target audience's behavior.

The first suggestion is to promote retailers' minimarkets, supermarkets, and hypermarkets in campaigning in-store by displaying advertisements, which shows the use of reusable bags for consumers and its benefits for the environment. The advertisement scenario is designed using the gain goal approach, which provides information to consumers on the benefits of using a reusable bag from a functional or psychological perspective. Reusable bags are used for various purposes, besides from shopping, such as in reducing plastic waste. Furthermore, advertisements show the benefits of reusable bags in reducing plastic waste at home, thereby making it cleaner.

Consumers are also conditioned to the normative goal, where as long as they are in retailers, plastic bags are not provided. It is expected that the consumer's thought process focuses on the goal that they need to own a reusable bag.

The last thing that needs attention is the accuracy in designing social advertisements displayed massively in stores using TV screens or posters that represent biospheric values, such as clean environmental conditions, the life harmony with nature, and various sustainable consumption activities. Furthermore, the advertisement needs to show the benefits of reusable bags and the condition of other countries that have banned the use of plastic bags to encourage its usage.

This research is limited to the use of surveys as a data collection method. Therefore, further research needs to be conducted using a social advertising scenario to obtain more representative results. Furthermore, this research is limited to the use of one variable in the self-transcendence value, namely biospheric. Therefore, subsequent research needs to be carried out to explore the egoistic and hedonic value variables in predicting the intention to carry out environmental care behavior following the research carried out by Steg et al. (2014).

According to Steg et al. (2014), another factor thought to strengthen the effect of normative goals towards the intention to conduct environmental care behavior is signaling cues in the form of injunctive norms. Therefore, future research needs to explore the use of biospheric values as a moderator. Furthermore, to determine the effect of goal-framing theory in promoting environmental care behavior, future research needs to be carried out in other aspects such as recycling, the use of public transportation facilities, etc.

REFERENCES

- Balundė, A., Perlaviciute, G., & Steg, L. (2019). The relationship between people's environmental considerations and pro-environmental behavior in Lithuania. *Frontiers in Psychology, 10*(OCT). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02319>
- Bezençon, V., & Etemad-Sajadi, R. (2015). *The effect of a sustainable label portfolio on consumer perception of ethicality and retail patronage.*
- Blankenberg, A.-K., & Alhusen, H. (2019). On the Determinants of Pro-Environmental Behavior: A Literature Review and Guide for the Empirical Economist. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3473702>
- Bolderdijk, J. W., Knockaert, J., Steg, E. M., & Verhoef, E. T. (2011). Effects of Pay-As-You-Drive vehicle insurance on young drivers' speed choice: Results of a Dutch field experiment. *Accident Analysis and Prevention, 43*(3), 1181–1186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.12.032>
- Carrero, I., & Valor, C. (2012). CSR-labelled products in retailers' assortment: A comparative study of British and Spanish retailers. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management, 40*(8), 629–652. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590551211245425>
- Chakraborty, A., Singh, M. P., & Roy, M. (2017). A study of goal frames shaping pro-environmental behaviour in university students. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 18*(7), 1291–1310. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-10-2016-0185>
- de Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2008). Value orientations to explain beliefs related to environmental significant behavior: How to measure egoistic, altruistic, and biospheric value orientations. *Environment and Behavior, 40*(3), 330–354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916506297831>
- de Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2009). Mean or green: which values can promote stable pro-environmental behavior? *Conservation Letters, 2*(2), 61–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263x.2009.00048.x>
- De Groot, J. I. M., Steg, L., Keizer, M., Farsang, A., & Watt, A. (2012). Environmental values in

- post-socialist hungary: Is it useful to distinguish egoistic, altruistic and biospheric values? In *Sociologicky Casopis*, 48(3).
<https://doi.org/10.13060/00380288.2012.48.3.02>
- De Silva, D. G., & Pownall, R. A. J. (2014). Going green: does it depend on education, gender or income? *Applied Economics*, 46(5).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2013.857003>
- DPRD, D. (2020). *larangan-penggunaan-plastik-perlu-dijadikan-ajang-edukasi*.
<https://dprd-dkijakartaprovo.go.id/pergub-larangan-penggunaan-plastik-perlu-dijadikan-ajang-edukasi/> Diakses 5 Oktober 2020.
- Eren, S. S., Eroğlu, F., & Hacıoğlu, G. (2012). Compulsive Buying Tendencies through Materialistic and Hedonic Values among College Students in Turkey. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 58, 1370–1377.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1121>
- Fuentes, C., & Fredriksson, C. (2016). Sustainability service in-store: Service work and the promotion of sustainable consumption. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 44(5), 492–507. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-06-2015-0092>
- Griskevicius, V., Tybur, J. M., & Van den Bergh, B. (2010). Going Green to Be Seen: Status, Reputation, and Conspicuous Conservation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(3), 392–404. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017346>.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis* 7th edition. London: Pearson Education Limited
- Holden, E., Linnerud, K., & Banister, D. (2014). Sustainable development: Our Common Future revisited. *Global Environmental Change*, 26(1), 130–139.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.006>
- Jakovcevic, A., & Steg, L. (2013). Sustainable transportation in Argentina: Values, beliefs, norms and car use reduction. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 20, 70–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2013.05.005>
- Jambeck, J. R., Geyer, R., Wilcox, C., Siegler, T. R., Perryman, M., Andrady, A., Narayan, R., & Law, K. L. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science*, 347(6223), 768–771. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260352>
- Jones, P., Hillier, D., & Comfort, D. (2011). Shopping for tomorrow: Promoting sustainable consumption within food stores. In *British Food Journal*, 113(7), 935–948.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/00070701111148441>
- Kotler, P., & Zaltman, G. (1996). Social marketing: An approach to planned social change. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 3(3–4), 7–20.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15245004.1996.9960973>
- Lao, K. (2014). Research on mechanism of consumer innovativeness influencing green consumption behavior. *Nankai Business Review International*, 5(2), 211–224.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/NBRI-11-2013-0041>
- Lehner, M. (2015). Translating sustainability: The role of the retail store. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 43(4–5), 386–402.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-02-2014-0013>
- Lindenberg, S., & Steg, L. (2007). Normative, gain and hedonic goal frames guiding environmental behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63(1), 117–137.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2007.00499.x>
- Lindenberg, S., & Steg, L. (2013). Goal-framing theory and norm-guided environmental behavior. In *Encouraging Sustainable Behavior: Psychology and the Environment*.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203141182>
- Lindenberg, S., Steg, L., Milovanovic, M., & Schipper, A. (2018). Moral hypocrisy and the hedonic shift: A goal-framing approach. *Rationality and Society*, 30(4), 393–419.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1043463118795719>
- Noppers, E. H., Keizer, K., Bolderdijk, J. W., & Steg, L. (2014). The adoption of sustainable

- innovations: Driven by symbolic and environmental motives. *Global Environmental Change*, 25(1), 52–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.01.012>
- Okumah, M., Martin-Ortega, J., Novo, P., & Chapman, P. J. (2020). Revisiting the determinants of pro-environmental behaviour to inform land management policy: A meta-analytic structural equation model application. *Land*, 9(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/LAND9050135>
- Park, J., & Ha, S. (2012). Understanding pro-environmental behavior: A comparison of sustainable consumers and apathetic consumers. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 40(5), 388–403. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590551211222367>
- Peattie, K., & Peattie, S. (2009). Social marketing: A pathway to consumption reduction? *Journal of Business Research*, 62(2), 260–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.01.033>
- Saber, M., & Weber, A. (2019). How do supermarkets and discounters communicate about sustainability? A comparative analysis of sustainability reports and in-store communication. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 47(11), 1181–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-08-2018-0156>
- Saunders, S. G., Barrington, D. J., & Sridharan, S. (2015). Redefining social marketing: Beyond behavioural change. *Journal of Social Marketing*, 5(2), 160–168. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSOCM-03-2014-0021>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2014). Research Method For Business. *Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research*.
- Steg, L., Bolderdijk, J. W., Keizer, K., & Perlaviciute, G. (2014). An Integrated Framework for Encouraging Pro-environmental Behaviour: The role of values, situational factors and goals. In *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 104–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.01.002>
- Steg, L., & de Groot, J. (2012). Environmental values. In *The Oxford Handbook of Environmental and Conservation Psychology*, 81–92.
- Steg, L., de Groot, J. I. M., Dreijerink, L., Abrahamse, W., & Siero, F. (2011). General antecedents of personal norms, policy acceptability, and intentions: the role of values, worldviews, and environmental concern. *Society and Natural Resources*, 24(4), 349–367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920903214116>
- Stern, P. C. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 407–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00175>
- Teng, C. C., & Wang, Y. M. (2015). Decisional factors driving organic food consumption: Generation of consumer purchase intentions. *British Food Journal*, 117(3), 1066–1081. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-12-2013-0361>
- UNEP. (2012). 21 Issues for the 21st Century - Results of the UNEP Foresight Process on Emerging Environmental Issues. *Environmental Development*, 2, 150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2012.03.005>
- van der Werff, E., & Steg, L. (2016). The psychology of participation and interest in smart energy systems: Comparing the value-belief-norm theory and the value-identity-personal norm model. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 22, 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2016.08.022>
- Van der Werff, E., Steg, L., & Keizer, K. (2014). I Am What I Am, by Looking Past the Present: The Influence of Biospheric Values and Past Behavior on Environmental Self-Identity. *Environment and Behavior*, 46(5), 626–657. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916512475209>
- Volva, L., & Djamaludin, M. D. (2018). Perilaku Penggunaan Tas Belanja pada Ibu Rumah Tangga Tidak Bekerja dalam Perspektif Theory of Planned Behavior. *Jurnal Ilmu Keluarga Dan Konsumen*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.24156/jikk.2018.11.49>

In-store communication of reusable bag: Application of goal-framing theory

by Ayu Ekasari

Submission date: 02-Feb-2023 03:04PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2004677204

File name: In_-store_Communication_of_Reusable_bag.pdf (144.85K)

Word count: 5238

Character count: 29880

In-store communication of reusable bag: Application of goal-framing theory

Ayu Ekasari^{1*}

¹Faculty of Economics and Business University of Trisakti

*ayu.ekasari@trisakti.ac.id

Abstract

Campaigns on pro-environmental behavior are an important objective in social marketing due to the environmental problems caused by people's consumption. Therefore, social marketers need to understand the underlying factors that make people change their behavior. This research investigates the determinants of pro-environmental behavior from the perspective of goal framing theory with three overarching goals, namely hedonic, gain, and normative. The data were collected using a questionnaire survey method and analyzed by structural equation modeling. The results showed that gain and normative goals directly affect pro-environmental behavior instead of a hedonic goal. This research also showed that the biospheric value as one of the self-transcendence values moderates the effect of normative goal towards pro-environmental behavior, proposed in preliminary studies. The findings contribute to the existing research regarding pro-environmental behavior determinants and used by social marketing initiatives, especially in-store-communication, in designing the right message. Future studies need to investigate the role of hedonic and egoistic values in predicting pro-environmental behavior.

Keywords: Goal framing theory, biospheric value, pro-environmental behavior.

JEL Classification: M31

Article history: Submission date: Jul 28, 2020 Revised date: Feb 18, 2021 Accepted date: Mar 25, 2021

INTRODUCTION

20
Awareness related to the importance of protecting the environment for the health and survival of future generations has been raised as a global agenda in many countries. This awareness is known as the sustainable development concept, which emerged after a report entitled "Our Common Future" was published by the Brundtland Commission at the United Nations in 1987 (Holden et al., 2014). The essence of this research is due to the need for a balance between economic activity, welfare, and the natural environment. Sustainable development focuses on fulfilling the current generation's needs without harming or sacrificing the future generations' interests. Conversely, the environmental damage that hit the ecosystem is largely caused by human consumption behavior, especially in the current generation (Blankenberg & Alhusen, 2019).

The United Nations has issued a document on Sustainable Development Goals, including Ensure Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns, which essentially promote economic growth, save energy, and adopt a sustainable lifestyle (UNEP, 2012). Nowadays, consumers are required to implement pro-environmental behavior to achieve sustainable consumption.

Generally, pro-environmental behavior is interpreted as the use of products and services with minimum natural raw and toxic materials that do not cause gas emissions and pollution. The ultimate goal of environmental care behavior is to continue to meet future generations' needs, as reflected in the sustainable development concept coined by the United Nations (UNEP, 2012).

The Brundtland Report publication raised the idea of using social marketing to reduce consumption, which is expected to help achieve sustainability by providing campaigns on the people's behavior changes (Peattie & Peattie, 2009). Furthermore, the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP, 2012) also released a report stating the need for transformative changes in human behavior through environmental awareness campaigns, promoting marketing disciplines to participate in voicing pro-environmental behavior and sustainable consumption through social marketing.

Kotler & Zaltman (1996) stated that social marketing uses modern techniques and concepts to create awareness on social-related issues and changes in people's behavior. However, Saunders et al. (2015) stated that social marketing is the development of individual and group actions based on basic principles that can promote the creation of sustainable social transformation. Therefore, through a well-targeted marketing campaign, environmental care behavior to be achieved leads to social change that involves all society levels in achieving sustainable consumption and development.

Environmental care behavior that leads to sustainable consumption is in the realm of individuals (private-sphere behaviors), in implementing green consumption using goods and services for personal/household needs without damaging the environment or carrying out the recycling (Park & Ha, 2012; Stern, 2000). Therefore, in campaigning for environmental care behavior, an understanding of the factors capable of promoting people is needed. This is because by knowing these factors, designing social messages or advertisements becomes more focused.

Preliminary studies carried out by Okumah et al. (2020) and Blankenberg & Alhusen (2019) stated that one factor that promotes people to carry out environmental care behavior is the psychological aspect. The authors stated that several theories are often used in the environmental care behavior context, namely Theory-of-Reasoned Action, Theory-of-Planned Behavior, Value-Belief-Norm, and Norm-Activation Model. Almost all of these theories are proven to explain the factors capable of encouraging people to adopt environmental care behaviors.

This research uses the goal-framing theory (GFT), primarily developed from the realm of social psychology, to understand environmental care behavior (Chakraborty et al., 2017; Lindenberg et al., 2018; Lindenberg & Steg, 2007, 2013). GFT, in essence, explains that humans are guided by three goals, namely gain, hedonic and normative in their thought processes which leads to environmental care behavior. Gain is people's purpose of benefiting from their actions, hedonic encourages them to acquire pleasure, while and normative make them act according to the norms applicable to the situation.

Goal-framing theory is a strong concept at the theoretical level, although it has not been widely applied in empirical studies. A research carried out by Chakraborty et al. (2017) using GFT found that normative goals among students promote them to behave more friendly to the environment. Furthermore, when examined, the GFT acts appropriately as a normative goal, which shows the importance of moral considerations, especially in environmental care behavior. In that context, normative goals are considered the most appropriate in promoting environmental care behavior. This is because people tend to act based on norms, such as maintaining environmental sustainability (Lindenberg & Steg, 2007, 2013).

However, preliminary research carried out by Lindenberg & Steg (2013) found the effect of hedonic goals towards environmental care behavior, driven by pleasure. Conversely, the effect of gain goals towards environmental care behavior has also been shown to be significant (Bolderdijk et al., 2011; de Groot & Steg, 2009; Griskevicius et al., 2010; Noppers et al., 2014).

Steg et al. (2014) developed a theoretical concept to support the initial idea of strengthening normative goals by proposing an integrated concept to promote environmental care behavior. The proposition put forward is that the normative goal depends on the biospheric values. Originally introduced by Stern (2000), biospheric values is defined as an ecological value that makes people more concerned about nature and environmental protection (Steg & de Groot, 2012).

The effect of biospheric value towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior has been proven by many studies, such as the willingness to recycle (Balundé et al., 2019), energy-saving (Van der Werff et al., 2014), reduce gas emissions (Steg et al., 2011), save water for bathing (Steg et al., 2014) and decrease the use of energy at home (van der Werff & Steg, 2016). However, research involving biospheric value as a moderating variable has not been conducted. Steg et al. (2014) stated that the biospheric value in a person can activate normative goals, namely the obligation that a person feels to have environmental care behavior according to the prevailing norms (to act appropriately).

This research tries to prove Steg et al., (2014) idea by taking the phenomenon related to reducing plastic waste. Jambeck et al. (2015) stated that in 2015, Indonesia was the second-largest contributor to plastic waste in the world. At the individual/household level, most of these plastic wastes come from bags used for shopping. Therefore, several city/local governments, such as Banjarmasin, Denpasar, Balikpapan, Bogor, Bekasi, Semarang, and Jakarta, have issued regulations to minimize these wastes banning plastic bags in retailers/shopping centers. In Jakarta, Governor Regulation Number 142 of 2019 was issued regarding the Obligation to Use Eco-Friendly Shopping Bags in shopping centers, supermarkets, and public markets (Regional parliament, 2020) 6

In connection with the local government's regulation regarding the use of eco-friendly shopping bags, almost all retailers, such as modern malls and minimarkets, have sold reusable shopping bags made of non-plastic. The attractive design is expected to increase consumers' purchasing demand. Currently, these reusable bags are purchased at marketplaces and are quite expensive compared to the shopping centers, which are usually sold at low prices in the range of Rp. 20,000 to Rp. 50.000. The research carried out by Volva & Djamiludin (2018) on housewives in the Bogor area found that information on the use of reusable bags is mostly obtained from the media as well as shopping centers. However, the

reason for using this bag is because it is more beneficial, durable, long-lasting, efficient, and not harmful to the environment.

Retailers play a significant role in encouraging consumers to use reusable bags through in-store-communication. According to research carried out by Jones et al. (2011) on several department stores in the UK, marketing communications related to sustainable consumption are carried out through banners, posters, television screens, flyers, leaflets, or placing messages on goods shelves. The three authors stated that consumers as communication targets need to provide clear information and products on the appearance of messages to attract attention.

Saber & Weber (2019) stated that the three important things in in-store-communication carried out by supermarkets in accordance with sustainability are the strategies used to implement in-store-communication, whether they practice sustainability, and the availability of certified organic products for sale. In-store communication is carried out using visual, print media or by creating a special space for organic products. Fuentes & Fredriksson (2016), Saber & Weber (2019), and Lehner (2015) stated that the practices in stores seen directly by consumers also promote sustainable consumption rates.

Research on in-store-communication related to sustainable consumption has discussed the placement of organic, Fair Trade, and environmentally-friendly certified products (Carrero & Valor, 2012; Bezençon & Etemad-Sajadi, 2015). However, there are still no discussions on in-store-communication using messages that promote consumers to use reusable bags as part of sustainable consumption. Furthermore, consumers' psychological aspects need to be reviewed from the goal-framing theory due to the campaign on the use of reusable bags as part of advertising in social marketing.

Normative goals reflect on a person's purpose to act according to applicable norms (to act appropriately). Previous studies carried out by Lindenberg & Steg (2013), de Groot & Steg (2008), and Chakraborty et al. (2017) have proven that when people act based on the existing norms, they become more motivated to conduct environmental care behavior.

The Hedonic goal aims to ensure people have fun by buying environmentally friendly products. Eren et al. (2012), Jakovcevic & Steg (2013), Lindenberg & Steg (2013), and Steg et al. (2014) concluded that there is an effect of hedonic goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior.

The gain goals describe the purpose to be achieved after people consider the benefits obtained in performing an activity. Grisvikevicius et al. (2010) stated that participating in environmental care behavior increases a person's status. Similarly, Bolderdijk et al. (2011), de Groot & Steg (2009), De Silva & Pownall (2014), Noppers et al. (2014), and Chakraborty et al. (2017) stated the positive effect of gain goals towards the intention to conduct environmental care behavior. The normative goals reflect a person's purpose to act appropriately. Previous studies carried out by Chakraborty et al. (2017), de Groot & Steg (2008), and Lindenberg & Steg (2013) stated that someone who acts based on existing norms is more motivated to carry out environmental care behavior. Steg et al. (2014) stated that the effect of normative goals towards the intention to conduct environmental care behavior is stronger when a person has high biospheric values.

This research novelty investigates the determinants of pro-environmental behavior from the perspective of goal framing theory with three overarching goals, namely hedonic, gain, and normative. This research is expected to provide suggestions for retailers to design

in-store-communication on the importance of reusable bags and promote changes in consumers' behavior towards sustainable consumption using the print/visual media.

METHOD

This is a descriptive correlational study used to explain the effect between variables through hypothesis testing. The research design is following the study carried out by Sekaran & Bougie (2014), which consisted of several steps. These included determining a research strategy in the form of a survey for data collection and analysis as the study because it is natural with minimal interference. Furthermore, the non-probability sampling method was used to obtain data from reusable bags using questionnaires with the cross-sectional method used for analysis. The respondents were determined based on those that have seen and understand the importance of reusable bags to the environment. This research uses five variables, namely Hedonic, Gain and Normative Goals adapted from Chakraborty et al. (2017), Biospheric value from De Groot et al. (2012), and the intention to carry out environmental care behavior from Teng & Wang (2015) and (Lao, 2014). Furthermore, the data were analyzed using covariance-based structural equation modeling with AMOS Version 23 and SPSS Version 21. The validity and reliability tests were carried out on the whole data (main data) with the Confirmatory factor analysis used as a validity test tool and internal consistency reliability for reliability testing (Hair et al., 2014; Sekaran & Bougie, 2014).

21 **Table 1**
Validity and Reliability Test Results

Variable	Factor Loading	Cronbach Alpha
Hedonic Goal		0.937
1. Practicing eco-friendly behavior makes me feel healthy and energized.	0.854	
2. I am happy when I carry out environmentally friendly behavior.	0.885	
3. It is fun to be able to participate in environmental activities.	0.848	
4. I enjoy carrying out activities that benefit the environment.	0.855	
5. Conducting eco-friendly behavior makes me happy.	0.834	
6. The use of reusable bags reduces the indiscriminate disposal of plastic bag waste.	0.684	
7. Participating in social activities makes me feel better.	0.838	
Gain goal		0.860
1. Studying the sustainability aspect through various sources is very useful.	0.785	
2. Organic food prevents people from having health problems.	0.695	
	0.770	

Variable	Factor Loading	Cronbach Alpha
3. The use of reusable bags reduces the disposal of plastic waste in my house.	0.700	
4. Actively inviting others to use reusable bags made me feel like a person that cares for the environment.	0.757	
5. All parties need to carry out campaigns on the use of reusable bags.		
Normative Goal		0.913
1. People need to how to use reusable bags when shopping.	0.826	
2. A culture of environmental care improves life quality.	0.893	
3. Humans tend to maintain the ecosystem balance by protecting the environment.	0.839	
4. People need to be able to practice a lifestyle and consumption pattern that maintains environmental sustainability.	0.853	
The intention to carry out environmental care behavior		0.929
1. I am going to purchase the Reusable Bag as long as it is available to retailers (Supermarkets and Hypermarkets).	0.761	
2. I am willing to buy the Reusable Bag even though it is more expensive compared to ordinary plastic bags.	0.730	
3. I am willing to learn more about reusable bags by gathering information.	0.774	
4. I recommended the reusable bag to my friends, and they are willing to make purchases.	0.828	
5. I would like to recommend the reusable bag to my family for them to make purchases.	0.876	
6. The chances of me buying a Reusable Bag are very high.	0.859	
7. I am going to buy a reusable bag.	0.845	
Biospheric value		0.928
1. I feel it is important to preserve the natural environment.	0.905	
2. We need to maintain harmony with other living beings.	0.904	
3. I believe it is important to protect natural resources.	0.924	
4. We have to adapt to nature and not force our desires which lead to destruction.	0.896	

Source: Data Processed (2020)

Table 1 shows that all indicators are valid because of their ability to fulfill the criteria, where the loading factor is greater than 0.35. Similarly, all research variables are reliable because the Cronbach alpha is above 0.6.

Before processing the data, the model suitability test (goodness-of-fit) is carried out with several good fit indicators, namely ECVI: 2.826, RMSEA: 0.089, IFI: 0.913, TLI: 0.901, CMIN/DF: 3.485, and AIC: 884.679. The overall results show that the model is fit with NFI (0.882) and RFI (0.867) marginally fit. The multiple group method was used to test the moderation effect to divide the respondents into 2 groups with different degrees. The data processing results showed that the probability level value is 0,000, which means that there are differences between the 2 groups (High and Low Biospheric Value).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

2

Table 2

Hypothesis Test Results

Hypothesis	ρ value	Estimate	Decision
H1: There is a positive effect of normative goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior	0.0495	-0.648	Not supported
H2: There is a positive effect of hedonic goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior	0.0935	-0.418	Not supported
H3: There is a positive effect of gain goal towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior	0.015	1.959	Supported
H4: There is a significant influence of biospheric value on the effect of normative goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior.	0.000	0.626 (at low biospheric value) 0.992 (at high biospheric value)	Supported

Source: Data Processed (2020)

Discussion

Based on the hypothesis testing results, the first hypothesis stated that there is no positive effect of normative goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior. Although this finding contradicts several previous studies, the goal framing theory initiators, namely Lindenberg & Steg (2007), reported that the normative is the smallest compared to the other two goals. When viewed from the respondents, the majority aged 18-25 years and unemployed are less likely that they are less to buy reusable bags despite being cheap.

The second hypothesis does not find a significant positive effect on the hedonic goal towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior. These results indicate that even though the respondents feel healthy, excited, and happy in caring for the environment,

this does not make them willing to buy reusable bags. Similarly, although they like to participate and enjoy environmental activities, it does not encourage them to buy bags. This finding is consistent with research carried out by Steg et al. (2014) and Chakraborty et al. (2017).

The third hypothesis stated that the data analysis results support the positive effect of gain goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior. The research findings showed that more respondents are willing to buy reusable bags due to the numerous benefits, such as studying sustainability aspects and reducing waste in their homes. Therefore, they are willing to buy and use reusable bags. Furthermore, respondents are also happy to be considered as people that care about the environment when they invite others to use reusable bags, which also increases the usage rate. This hypothesis test results support the research carried out by Chakraborty et al. (2017), Griskevicius et al. (2010), Lindenberg & Steg (2013), and Noppers et al. (2014).

However, the fourth hypothesis's support explains the reasons associated with the inability of the normative goals to promote people to buy reusable bags. These testing results are in line with the research carried out by Steg et al. (2014), which stated the use of biospheric values to strengthen normative goals in a person, which creates environmental care behavior. Information or cues are designed to describe society's social norms to comply when campaigning for environmental care behaviors. This generates messages that target people with biospheric values in themselves, therefore the cognitive processes experienced promote them to adopt environmental care behavior.

CONCLUSION

There are several conclusions associated with this research. Firstly, there is no positive effect of normative and hedonic goals towards the intention to carry out environmental care behavior instead of the main goal. Finally, the role of biospheric value moderation is proven to be able to strengthen the effect of normative goals towards the intention to conduct environmental care behavior. This research is used as input for designing social campaigns or advertisements regarding reusable bags to change people's shopping behavior through in-store communication at retailers. The essence of social marketing is using the marketing concept approach to change the target audience's behavior.

The first suggestion is to promote retailers' minimarkets, supermarkets, and hypermarkets in campaigning in-store by displaying advertisements, which shows the use of reusable bags for consumers and its benefits for the environment. The advertisement scenario is designed using the gain goal approach, which provides information to consumers on the benefits of using a reusable bag from a functional or psychological perspective. Reusable bags are used for various purposes, besides from shopping, such as in reducing plastic waste. Furthermore, advertisements show the benefits of reusable bags in reducing plastic waste at home, thereby making it cleaner.

Consumers are also conditioned to the normative goal, where as long as they are in retailers, plastic bags are not provided. It is expected that the consumer's thought process focuses on the goal that they need to own a reusable bag.

The last thing that needs attention is the accuracy in designing social advertisements displayed massively in stores using TV screens or posters that represent biospheric values, such as clean environmental conditions, the life harmony with nature, and various sustainable consumption activities. Furthermore, the advertisement needs to show the benefits of reusable bags and the condition of other countries that have banned the use of plastic bags to encourage its usage.

This research is limited to the use of surveys as a data collection method. Therefore, further research needs to be conducted using a social advertising scenario to obtain more representative results. Furthermore, this research is limited to the use of one variable in the self-transcendence value, namely biospheric. Therefore, subsequent research needs to be carried out to explore the egoistic and hedonic value variables in predicting the intention to carry out environmental care behavior following the research carried out by Steg et al. (2014).

According to Steg et al. (2014), another factor thought to strengthen the effect of normative goals towards the intention to conduct environmental care behavior is signaling cues in the form of injunctive norms. Therefore, future research needs to explore the use of biospheric values as a moderator. Furthermore, to determine the effect of goal-framing theory in promoting environmental care behavior, future research needs to be carried out in other aspects such as recycling, the use of public transportation facilities, etc.

REFERENCES

- Balundė, A., Perlavičiūtė, G., & Steg, L. (2019). The relationship between people's environmental considerations and pro-environmental behavior in Lithuania. *Frontiers in Psychology, 10*(OCT). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02319>
- Bezençon, V., & Etemad-Sajadi, R. (2015). *The effect of a sustainable label portfolio on consumer perception of ethicality and retail patronage*.
- Blankenberg, A.-K., & Alhusen, H. (2019). On the Determinants of Pro-Environmental Behavior: A Literature Review and Guide for the Empirical Economist. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3473702>
- Bolderdijk, J. W., Knockaert, J., Steg, E. M., & Verhoef, E. T. (2011). Effects of Pay-As-You-Drive vehicle insurance on young drivers' speed choice: Results of a Dutch field experiment. *Accident Analysis and Prevention, 43*(3), 1181–1186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.12.032>
- Carrero, I., & Valor, C. (2012). CSR-labelled products in retailers' assortment: A comparative study of British and Spanish retailers. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management, 40*(8), 629–652. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590551211245425>
- Chakraborty, A., Singh, M. P., & Roy, M. (2017). A study of goal frames shaping pro-environmental behaviour in university students. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 18*(7), 1291–1310. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-10-2016-0185>
- de Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2008). Value orientations to explain beliefs related to environmental significant behavior: How to measure egoistic, altruistic, and biospheric value orientations. *Environment and Behavior, 40*(3), 330–354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916506297831>
- de Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2009). Mean or green: which values can promote stable pro-environmental behavior? *Conservation Letters, 2*(2), 61–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263x.2009.00048.x>
- De Groot, J. I. M., Steg, L., Keizer, M., Farsang, A., & Watt, A. (2012). Environmental values in

- post-socialist hungary: Is it useful to distinguish egoistic, altruistic and biospheric values? In *Sociologicky Casopis*, 48(3).
<https://doi.org/10.13060/00380288.2012.48.3.02>
- De Silva, D. G., & Pownall, R. A. J. (2014). Going green: does it depend on education, gender or income? *Applied Economics*, 46(5).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2013.857003>
- DPRD, D. (2020). *larangan-penggunaan-plastik-perlu-dijadikan-ajang-edukasi*.
<https://dprd-dkijakartaprovo.go.id/pergub-larangan-penggunaan-plastik-perlu-dijadikan-ajang-edukasi/> Diakses 5 Oktober 2020.
- Eren, S. S., Eroglu, F., & Hacioglu, G. (2012). Compulsive Buying Tendencies through Materialistic and Hedonic Values among College Students in Turkey. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 58, 1370-1377.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1121>
- Fuentes, C., & Fredriksson, C. (2016). Sustainability service in-store: Service work and the promotion of sustainable consumption. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 44(5), 492-507. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-06-2015-0092>
- Griskevicius, V., Tybur, J. M., & Van den Bergh, B. (2010). Going Green to Be Seen: Status, Reputation, and Conspicuous Conservation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(3), 392-404. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017346>.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis* 7th edition. London: Pearson Education Limited
- Holden, E., Linnerud, K., & Banister, D. (2014). Sustainable development: Our Common Future revisited. *Global Environmental Change*, 26(1), 130-139.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.006>
- Jakovcevic, A., & Steg, L. (2013). Sustainable transportation in Argentina: Values, beliefs, norms and car use reduction. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 20, 70-79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2013.05.005>
- Jambeck, J. R., Geyer, R., Wilcox, C., Siegler, T. R., Perryman, M., Andrady, A., Narayan, R., & Law, K. L. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science*, 347(6223), 768-771. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260352>
- Jones, P., Hillier, D., & Comfort, D. (2011). Shopping for tomorrow: Promoting sustainable consumption within food stores. In *British Food Journal*, 113(7), 935-948.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/00070701111148441>
- Kotler, P., & Zaltman, G. (1996). Social marketing: An approach to planned social change. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 3(3-4), 7-20.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15245004.1996.9960973>
- Lao, K. (2014). Research on mechanism of consumer innovativeness influencing green consumption behavior. *Nankai Business Review International*, 5(2), 211-224.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/NBRI-11-2013-0041>
- Lehner, M. (2015). Translating sustainability: The role of the retail store. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 43(4-5), 386-402.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-02-2014-0013>
- Lindenberg, S., & Steg, L. (2007). Normative, gain and hedonic goal frames guiding environmental behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63(1), 117-137.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2007.00499.x>
- Lindenberg, S., & Steg, L. (2013). Goal-framing theory and norm-guided environmental behavior. In *Encouraging Sustainable Behavior: Psychology and the Environment*.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203141182>
- Lindenberg, S., Steg, L., Milovanovic, M., & Schipper, A. (2018). Moral hypocrisy and the hedonic shift: A goal-framing approach. *Rationality and Society*, 30(4), 393-419.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1043463118795719>
- Noppers, E. H., Keizer, K., Bolderdijk, J. W., & Steg, L. (2014). The adoption of sustainable

- innovations: Driven by symbolic and environmental motives. *Global Environmental Change*, 25(1), 52–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.01.012>
- Okumah, M., Martin-Ortega, J., Novo, P., & Chapman, P. J. (2020). Revisiting the determinants of pro-environmental behaviour to inform land management policy: A meta-analytic structural equation model application. *Land*, 9(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/LAND9050135>
- Park, J., & Ha, S. (2012). Understanding pro-environmental behavior: A comparison of sustainable consumers and apathetic consumers. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 40(5), 388–403. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590551211222367>
- Peattie, K., & Peattie, S. (2009). Social marketing: A pathway to consumption reduction? *Journal of Business Research*, 62(2), 260–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.01.033>
- Saber, M., & Weber, A. (2019). How do supermarkets and discounters communicate about sustainability? A comparative analysis of sustainability reports and in-store communication. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 47(11), 1181–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-08-2018-0156>
- Saunders, S. G., Barrington, D. J., & Sridharan, S. (2015). Redefining social marketing: Beyond behavioural change. *Journal of Social Marketing*, 5(2), 160–168. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSOCM-03-2014-0021>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2014). Research Method For Business. *Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research*.
- Steg, L., Bolderdijk, J. W., Keizer, K., & Perlaviciute, G. (2014). An Integrated Framework for Encouraging Pro-environmental Behaviour: The role of values, situational factors and goals. In *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 104–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.01.002>
- Steg, L., & de Groot, J. (2012). Environmental values. In *The Oxford Handbook of Environmental and Conservation Psychology*, 81–92.
- Steg, L., de Groot, J. I. M., Dreijerink, L., Abrahamse, W., & Siero, F. (2011). General antecedents of personal norms, policy acceptability, and intentions: the role of values, worldviews, and environmental concern. *Society and Natural Resources*, 24(4), 349–367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920903214116>
- Stern, P. C. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 407–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00175>
- Teng, C. C., & Wang, Y. M. (2015). Decisional factors driving organic food consumption: Generation of consumer purchase intentions. *British Food Journal*, 117(3), 1066–1081. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-12-2013-0361>
- UNEP. (2012). 21 Issues for the 21st Century - Results of the UNEP Foresight Process on Emerging Environmental Issues. *Environmental Development*, 2, 150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2012.03.005>
- van der Werff, E., & Steg, L. (2016). The psychology of participation and interest in smart energy systems: Comparing the value-belief-norm theory and the value-identity-personal norm model. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 22, 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2016.08.022>
- Van der Werff, E., Steg, L., & Keizer, K. (2014). I Am What I Am, by Looking Past the Present: The Influence of Biospheric Values and Past Behavior on Environmental Self-Identity. *Environment and Behavior*, 46(5), 626–657. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916512475209>
- Volva, L., & Djamaludin, M. D. (2018). Perilaku Penggunaan Tas Belanja pada Ibu Rumah Tangga Tidak Bekerja dalam Perspektif Theory of Planned Behavior. *Jurnal Ilmu Keluarga Dan Konsumen*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.24156/jikk.2018.11.49>

In-store communication of reusable bag: Application of goal-framing theory

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

15%

INTERNET SOURCES

6%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	garuda.kemdikbud.go.id Internet Source	5%
2	ijsoc.goacademica.com Internet Source	2%
3	Submitted to Rutgers University, New Brunswick Student Paper	2%
4	www.grafiati.com Internet Source	1%
5	Submitted to Universitas Putera Indonesia YPTK Padang Student Paper	1%
6	www.atlantis-press.com Internet Source	1%
7	qdoc.tips Internet Source	<1%
8	Submitted to University College London Student Paper	<1%

9	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source	<1 %
10	eprints.utm.my Internet Source	<1 %
11	Submitted to Universidad de Salamanca Student Paper	<1 %
12	Vaughan Reimers, Bryce Magnuson, Fred Chao. "Happiness, altruism and the Prius effect", Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal, 2017 Publication	<1 %
13	Julia Koch, Britta Frommeyer, Gerhard Schewe. "Managing the transition to eco-friendly packaging – An investigation of consumers' motives in online retail", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2022 Publication	<1 %
14	J.V. Douw, Z. Lukszo, P.M. Herder. "Incentivising consumers in smart grids to shift their electricity use", 2016 IEEE 13th International Conference on Networking, Sensing, and Control (ICNSC), 2016 Publication	<1 %
15	Rospita Odorlina P. Situmorang, Ming Chin Tang, Shu Chun Chang. "Purchase Intention on Sustainable products: A Case study on	<1 %

Free-Range Eggs in Taiwan", Applied Economics, 2022

Publication

16

dspace.aua.gr

Internet Source

<1 %

17

ir.canterbury.ac.nz

Internet Source

<1 %

18

Ayça Berfu Ünal, Linda Steg, Juliana Granskaya. "To support or not to support, that is the question". Testing the VBN theory in predicting support for car use reduction policies in Russia", Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 2019

Publication

<1 %

19

International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, Volume 40, Issue 5 (2012-04-21)

Publication

<1 %

20

Kourosh Esfandiar, Joanna Pearce, Ross Dowling, Edmund Goh. "Pro-environmental behaviours in protected areas: A systematic literature review and future research directions", Tourism Management Perspectives, 2022

Publication

<1 %

21

Meily Margaretha, Susanti Saragih, Ana Mariana, Kristin Monica Simatupang. "Academic procrastination and cyberloafing

<1 %

behavior: A case study of students in
Indonesia", Cypriot Journal of Educational
Sciences, 2022

Publication

22

Mykolas Simas Poškus. "Investigating pro-
Environmental Behaviors of Lithuanian
University Students", Current Psychology,
2016

Publication

<1 %

23

fox.leuphana.de

Internet Source

<1 %

24

lintar.untar.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

25

vdoc.pub

Internet Source

<1 %

26

vixra.org

Internet Source

<1 %

27

www.pearsonjournal.com

Internet Source

<1 %

28

www.researchgate.net

Internet Source

<1 %

29

Yanmei Tang, Shuangzhou Chen, Zongjin
Yuan. "The effects of hedonic, gain, and
normative motives on sustainable
consumption: Multiple mediating evidence
from China", Sustainable Development, 2019

<1 %

30

Hui Lu, Jiaxing Zou, Hong Chen, Ruyin Long.
"Promotion or inhibition? Moral norms,
anticipated emotion and employee's pro-
environmental behavior", Journal of Cleaner
Production, 2020

<1 %

Publication

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

In-store communication of reusable bag: Application of goal-framing theory

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12
