


This author profile is generated by Scopus. Learn more

Wiranegara, Hanny Wahidin

① Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia Connect to ORCID © 57200723444 (i)

Edit profile Set alert ••• More

Document & citation trends



Scopus Preview

Scopus Preview users can only view a limited set of features. Check your institution's access to view all documents and features.

Beta New Cited by 2 documents 0 Awarded Grants 10 Documents **Author Metrics** 0 Preprints 19 Co-Authors 0 Topics Note: Scopus Preview users can only view an author's last 10 documents, while most other features are disabled. Do you have access through your institution? Check your institution's access to view all documents and features. 10 documents Export all Save all to list Sort by Date (newest) Conference Paper Spatial factors influencing sense of belonging of the middle-income 0 residents living in planned housing in Jabodetabek Citations Ramadhani, A., Wiranegara, H.W., Tuguis, I., Thahirah, D. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2023, 2706, 020169 Show abstract V Related documents Conference Paper • Open access Characteristics of public spaces in BSD City-gated community 0 Alfarizi, F.A., Wiranegara, H.W., Supriyatna, Y. Citations IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2023, 1263(1), 012012 Show abstract V Related documents Conference Paper • Open access

0

Rahmanita, P., Wiranegara, H.W., Supriatna, Y.

Effect of various housing patterns on social cohesion

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2023, 1263(1), 012011	Citations
Show abstract V Related documents	
Conference Paper • Open access	
Housing parks utilization behaviour based on income group in	0
Jabodetabek area	Citations
Cantika, C., Wiranegara, H.W., Luru, M.N.	
IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021, 737(1), 012060	
Show abstract V Related documents	
Conference Paper • Open access	
The effect of housing policies on housing segregation in Indonesia	0
Wiranegara, H.W., Situmorang, R., Safitri, G., Maharaniputri, S., Haridza, T.Q.	Citations
IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021, 737(1), 012064	
Show abstract V Related documents	
Conference Paper • Open access	
Effect of distance of housing to airport on noise disturbances level	0
(case: Housing around Soekarno-Hatta International Airport)	Citations
Wigatiningrum, R., Wiranegara, H.W., Luru, M.N.	
IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021, 737(1), 012030	
Show abstract V Related documents	
Article	
Balanced housing policy optimization	0
Trisuci, R., Wiranegara, H.W., Supriatna, Y., Ramadhani, A.	Citations
International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 2020, 9(3), pp. 3025–3027	
Show abstract V Related documents	

Article		
Walkability of sidewalk in commercial corridors	1	
Sari, S.P., Wiranegara, H.W., Hardjakusumah, H.H., Luru, M.N.	Citations	
International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 2020, 9(3), pp. 1367–1372		
Show abstract V Related documents		
Conference Paper • Open access		
Accessibility of low-income family flats in North Jakarta city	0	
Feminin, T.A., Wiranegara, H.W., Supriatna, Y.	Citations	
IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2018, 106(1), 012030		
Show abstract V Related documents		
Conference Paper • Open access		
Composing the theme of city to be diverse and sustainable	1	
Wiranegara, H.W.	Citations	
IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2018, 106(1), 012045		
Show abstract V Related documents		
A all De sur		Back to to
Author Position		
Check your institution's access to view Author position.		
Check access		
First author %		
Last author %		

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

The 6th International Symposium on Sustainable Urban Development (The 6th ISoSUD) 2023

To cite this article: 2023 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1263 011001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Preface
- Atomic-scale interpretation of the quantum oscillations in cuprate superconductors K S Lee, J-J Kim, S H Joo et al.
- Assessment of black rot development on apple surface using laser biospeckle technique Chhanda Koley, Rittik Das and Anil Kumar



IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1263 (2023) 011001

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/011001

The 6th INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT (The 6th ISoSUD) 2023

The International Symposium on Sustainable Urban Development (ISoSUD) is a series of international activities organized by the Faculty of Landscape Architecture and Environmental Technology, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta. The event is held once every 3 (three) years with themes related to current issues regarding sustainable urban development, in particular related to urban environmental management and environmental technologies. The activity aims to facilitate academics to publish their research results in order to enhance their scientific expertise as researchers.

The 6th ISoSUD in 2023 carried the theme "**From Recovery To Resilience: Building A Sustainable Future For A Better Life"** which means this symposium will focus on how we can recover from the difficult times caused by the COVID-19 pandemic and build a better future and sustainable. This theme also shows the importance of building resilience in facing future challenges, whether related to climate change, economic policies, or other social problems.

The COVID-19 pandemic that swept the world in the last four years has had a significant impact on human health, the global economy, and the daily lives of people around the world. It will take the concerted efforts of all countries and peoples to overcome this pandemic and rebuild the world after it. This pandemic underscores the need for global efforts to strengthen health systems, enhance societal resilience, strengthen international cooperation, and accelerate action to achieve sustainable development goals and combat climate change. This crisis provides an opportunity to make significant changes in the way we view and manage our economic and social activities and to create a world that is more sustainable and fairer for all people and our planet. Now is the time to make a difference, to make a profound systemic shift towards a more sustainable economy for the benefit of our people and our planet. In other words, now is the right time to undertake significant transformations in existing economic and social systems, which can help sustainably achieve the SDGs and fight climate change to ensure a better future for people and our planet. Overall, post-pandemic recovery must be based on the principles of sustainable development contained in the SDGs. By integrating the SDG goals into our recovery policies and actions, we can create a more sustainable, inclusive, and resilient future for our people and the world.

The 6th ISoSUD was held in the hybrid conference:

a. Day 1, on Wednesday, August 2nd, 2023, at Building M, 12th floor, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia. There were 130 participants offline and 170 participants on the Zoom platform in the plenary session.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/011001

b. On day 2, on Thursday, August 3rd, 2023, using the Zoom meeting facility, 270 participants attended virtually on Day 2.

In this two-day International Symposium, experts, researchers, and academician shared their valuable insights and research findings. These esteemed presenters hail from 58 universities and institutions in Filipina, India, Indonesia, Iraq, Japan, Malaysia, Netherlands, Singapura, and Taiwan, reflecting the symposium's diverse and inclusive nature. The call paper system that has been used since the first ISoSUD in 2008 succeeded in inviting 165 manuscripts (more than 400 authors) that were presented offline and virtually. Then, 136 from 165 papers were selected further to be published in IOP Proceedings Indexed by Scopus. After another review process, 106 manuscripts were published in IOP EES. To improve the quality of the manuscripts, the organizing committee held a Coaching Clinic for Scientific Paper Writing on June 24^{th,} 2023. Prof. Mohamad Ali Fulazzaky, Ph.D, delivered the coaching clinic.

The 6th ISoSUD 2023 involved co-host universities consisting of five from within the country and four from abroad: Universitas Jember (UNEJ), Jember, Indonesia; Universitas Islam Indonesia (UII), Yogyakarta, Indonesia; Universitas Pasundan (UNPAS), Bandung, Indonesia; Institut Teknologi Sepuluh November (ITS), Surabaya, Indonesia; Universitas Indonesia (UI), Jakarta, Indonesia; Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Malaysia; Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), Malaysia; The University of Kitakyushu, Japan; Chung Yuan Christian University (CYCU), Taiwan. During the class presentation session, a presentation from the participants representing the 6th ISoSUD co-host was carried out. Besides that, The 6th ISoSUD 2023 was supported as well by the Indonesian Society of Sanitary and Environment Engineers (IATPI), which has continuously supported our symposium since 2008. And sponsored by PT Enviro Cipta Lestari.

In the plenary session, some main speakers delivered more focused seminar themes; they were:

Welcoming Speech:

Prof. Dr. Kadarsah Suryadi DEA – Rector of Universitas Trisakti

Opening Speech:

Ir. Diana Kusumastuti, MT. - Director General of Human Settlements, Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing Indonesia

Plenary Speakers:

Day-1

- 1. Prof. Lin Chi Wang Chung Yuan Christian University (CYCU), Taiwan
- 2. Prof. Ir. Joni Hermana M.Sc.ES., Ph.D Institut Teknologi Sepuluh November (ITS), Indonesia

Day 2

- 3. Prof. Ts. Dr. Azmi Bin Aris Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Malaysia
- 4. Prof. Dr. Eng. Toru Matsumoto University of Kitakyushu, Japan
- 5. Associate Prof. Victor R Savage Nanyang Technological University (NTU), Singapore

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1263 (2023) 011001

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/011001

We believe that this event will be able to facilitate good networking among researchers, scientists, engineers, and practitioners with common interests, especially in sharing the latest research results, ideas, development, and applications in Sustainable Urban Development. Hopefully, all participants enjoyed the seminar and found this experience inspiring and helpful in their professional field. Thank you for choosing the 6th ISoSUD as your symposium reference. Let us embrace the spirit of collaboration and innovation as we strive towards a sustainable future for a better life. We hope to have your pleasant support and participation in the next three years on The 7th ISoSUD 2026.

Sincerely,

Assoc. Prof. Ariani Dwi Astuti, ST., MT., PhD

Chairperson of The 6th International Symposium on Sustainable Urban Development (ISoSUD) 2023

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/011001

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD

- Prof. Ir. Dato' Dr. Zainai Mohamed Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
- Prof. Ts. Dr. Azmi Bin Aris Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
- Prof. Lin-Chi Wang Chung Yuan Christian University, Taiwan
- Prof. Ts. Dr. Aeslina Binti Abdul Kadir Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia
- Assoc Prof Ts Dr. Rafidah Hamdan- Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia
- Prof. Dr. Eng. Toru Matsumoto University of Kitakyushu, Japan
- LAr. Dr. Rohayah binti Che Amat Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
- Assoc Prof. Dr. Victor R Savage, Nanyang Technology University, Singapore
- Prof. Dr. Karim Hassan Alwan University of Baghdad, Iraq
- Dr. Nada Khaleefah Alrikabi University of Baghdad, Iraq
- Dr. Indriyani Rachman University of Kitakyushu, Japan
- Prof. Ir. Asri Nugrahanti, MS., PhD, IPU Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Prof. Dr. Ir. Indra Surjati, MT Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani, ST., MT Universitas Pasundan, Bandung, Indonesia
- Dr. Ir. Yeny Dhokhikah S.T., M.T. Universitas Jember, Indonesia
- Prof. Dr-Ing. Ir. Widodo Brontowiyono., M.Sc. Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Prof. Arseto Yekti Bagastyo, ST., MT., MPhil., PhD Institut Teknologi Sepuluh November, Surabaya, Indonesia

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

- Prof. Mohamad Ali Fulazzaky, Ph.D- Universitas Djuanda, Bogor, Indonesia
- Dr. Salmiati Yunus Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
- Prof. Ts. Dr. Aeslina Binti Abdul Kadir Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia
- Dr. Shamila Arfan Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
- Prof. Arseto Yekti Bagastyo, ST., MT., MPhil., PhD Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia
- Prof. IDAA Warmadewanthi ST., MT., PhD PhD Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia
- Prof. Dr-Ing. Ir. Widodo Brontowiyono., M.Sc. Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani, ST., MT Universitas Pasundan, Bandung, Indonesia
- Dr. Evi Afiatun, ST., MT Universitas Pasundan, Bandung, Indonesia
- Dr. Anni Rochaeni, ST., MT Universitas Pasundan, Bandung, Indonesia
- Dr. Fadjari Lucia Nugroho, Msc. DEA Universitas Pasundan, Bandung, Indonesia
- Dr. Ir. Yeny Dhokhikah S.T., M.T. Universitas Jember, Jember, Indonesia
- Dr. Indriyani Rachman University of Kitakyushu, Japan
- Dr-Ing. Sucipta Laksono, ST, MT- Universitas Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1263 (2023) 011001

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/011001

- Prof. Dr. Ir. Astri Rinanti, S.Si., MT Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Melati F Fachrul, MS Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ir. Diana Irvindiaty Hendrawan, MSi Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ir. Rahel Situmorang, M.Plan Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Dr. Ir. Ratnaningsih Ruhiyat, MT Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Dr. M M Sintorini Moerdjoko, M.Kes Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Dr. Ir. Anita Sitawati Wartaman, M.Si Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Dr. Ir. Endrawati Fatimah, MPSt Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Dr. Ir. Ramadhani Yanidar, MT Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Dr. Ir. Hanny Wiranegara, MT Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Dr. Rositayanti H., ST., MT Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Herika, S.Si, M.Si, Ph.D-Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Dibyanti Danniswari, S.P, M.Si, M.Agr, Ph.D- Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Chair Person : Assoc. Prof. Ariani Dwi Astuti, ST., MT., PhD

Co-chair person : Lutfia Rahmiati, ST., MT Treasurer : Sarah Aphirta, ST., MT

Secretary : Sheilla Megagupita Putri Marendra, ST., MT

Sponsorship : Pramiati Purwaningrum, ST., MT

Event Management : Astari Minarti ST, MSc

Publication : Wisely Yahya, ST., M.P.W.K

Food and Beverages : Ir. Asih Wijayanti, M.Si

Logistic, accommodation and transportation: Reza Fauzi, ST., M.Ars

Table of contents

Volume 1263

2023

◆ Previous issue Next issue ▶

6th International Symposium on Sustainable Urban Development 2023 02/08/2023 - 03/08/2023 Jakarta, Indonesia

Accepted papers received: 03 November 2023

Published online: 06 December 2023

Open all abstracts

Preface

OPEN ACCESS 011001

The 6th International Symposium on Sustainable Urban Development (The 6th ISoSUD) 2023

+ Open abstract

■ View article

PDF

OPEN ACCESS 011002

Peer Review Statement

Ecological Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation

OPEN ACCESS 012001

Community resilience index in the overflow flood area around Lake Tempe, Wajo District, South Sulawesi This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.



N Albaniah, A Ramadhani and M N Luru

+ Open abstract

View article



OPEN ACCESS

012002

Landslide disaster mitigation and adaptation strategy in one of the East Java horseshoe areas using geographic information system analysis

J F Irawan, Haeruddin, S Aminah, F A D Suparno and F A Lazuardi

+ Open abstract

View article



OPEN ACCESS

012003

Health risk analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) in groundwater in Yogyakarta City, Indonesia

A Juliani, S Rahmawati, A Bariroh, G A Dalimunthe, L I Ardhayanti and W P Aprilia

+ Open abstract

■ View article



OPEN ACCESS

012004

Institutional resilience of tourism villages against the Covid-19 pandemic in the Special Region of Yogyakarta

S P Putri and M Permana

+ Open abstract

▼ View article



Urban Health and Sanitation

OPEN ACCESS

012005

The factors influencing urban health in Jakarta Province during Covid-19 outbreak

W Yahya, E Fatimah, P R Sihombing and B Adinugroho

+ Open abstract

■ View article



OPEN ACCESS

012006

Panishtique acility in applicant the liderest his tricty of the state use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.



AW Ryansa, MM Sintorini and RHadisoebroto

+ Open abstract

■ View article

PDF

OPEN ACCESS

012007

Evaluation of sanitation hygiene implementation at refill drinking water depot in Lembursitu Sub-District, Sukabumi City

E Afiatun, S Wahyuni and N I Supendi

+ Open abstract

■ View article

PDF

OPEN ACCESS

012008

Unveiling Ceratocystis wilt disease: a review of cocoa's unforgiving foe

R Lloren

+ Open abstract

■ View article

PDF

Urban Dynamic and Development

OPEN ACCESS

012009

The presence of signage in the control of visual pollution in urban areas: A case study in the M.T Haryono street corridor, Kendari City, Indonesia

Halim, S Ramadan, A Al-Ikhsan, A J Ladianto, A Faslih and A M Firdausah

+ Open abstract

View article

PDF

OPEN ACCESS

012010

Local characters of Chinese ethnic settlements in Chinatown area of Malang and Kembang Jepun area of Surabaya

L D Wulandari, D Asikin and E I Pratiwi

+ Open abstract

■ View article

🔁 PDF

OPEN ACCESS

012011

Fiffe Stre Lyasie Using Patterns Ons and Cookies policy.



P	Rahmanita	. H W Wiranegar	a and Y Supriatna
---	-----------	-----------------	-------------------

+ Open abstract

■ View article

PDF

OPEN ACCESS

012012

Characteristics of public spaces in BSD City-gated community

F A Alfarizi, H W Wiranegara and Y Supriyatna

+ Open abstract

■ View article



OPEN ACCESS

012013

Comprehensive assets-based approach for neighborhoods that are under-resourced in Jakarta (case study: Keagungan Sub-district in West Jakarta, Indonesia)

M Ischak, W Sejati, E R Kridarso, D Rosnarti and L H Purwaningsih

+ Open abstract

■ View article



OPEN ACCESS

012014

Dynamics of land cover change, regional development, and its local dependence driving factors in Bojonegoro Regency

A Savitri, A E Pravitasari and V B Rosandi

+ Open abstract





OPEN ACCESS

012015

Rural-to-urban reclassification and its impact on urbanization in Indonesia: a case study of West Java Province

L K Katherina

+ Open abstract



PDF

OPEN ACCESS

012016

The impact of the operation of the Jatiasih toll gate on economic activities in the Jatiasih Sub-district

W Yahya and A Sitawati

This paile also actokies. By the witantiole to us to us to us agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.



PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Effect of various housing patterns on social cohesion

To cite this article: P Rahmanita et al 2023 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1263 012011

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- On the emergence of an 'intention field' for socially cohesive agents
 Jean-Philippe Bouchaud, Christian Borghesi and Pablo Jensen
- Design of improved compact decoupler based on adjustable capacitor for EAST-ICRF antenna Gen CHEN, , Yuzhou MAO et al.
- How youth are socially engaged in parks: a participatory-approach for understanding youth perceptions and use patterns Parisa Ziaesaeidi, Debra Flanders Cushing, Tracy Washington et al.



Effect of various housing patterns on social cohesion

P Rahmanita, H W Wiranegara*, Y Supriatna

Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Faculty of Architecture Landscape and Environmental Technology, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

*hanny.w@trisakti.ac.id

Abstract. Social cohesion in housing is an attribute of the quality of the community of its inhabitants. Housing planning needs to function to facilitate the social interaction of its inhabitants. This research aims to identify the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion in various housing patterns. Most existing housing patterns built are grid, linear, and T-shape patterns. It is necessary to know the effect of each pattern on social cohesion. The data collection technique used the questionnaire survey method. Two clusters were selected as samples such as the Lavender Lane 1 and Graha Sevilla in Citra Raya, Tangerang Regency. Those samples have linear, grid, and T-shape patterns. The total number of respondents from the three housing patterns is 121. The analysis technique used simple linear regression using Smart-PLS software. The results showed that the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion was evident in the linear and T-shape patterns, while it was not in the grid pattern. The housing pattern indicators affecting social cohesion are the total row of houses, building layout plans, and street patterns that need to be considered in cluster housing planning to build social cohesion.

1. Introduction

Social cohesion is the object of research in various disciplines and is one of the considerations in making spatial policies in European countries [1]. It is also a factor in creating a livable housing environment [2]. The cohesive social environment is an element that determines the quality and stability of life and is a standard for the success of urban spatial units [3]. A cohesive community is influenced not only by social environmental factors but also by the physical environment. Principle, the focus of housing physical environmental planning is to facilitate social interaction among residents. The survival of the community depends on the integration of those who help each other, have better social support and cohesion than isolated communities or live separately [4]. American Planning Association states that housing planning must be able to create a residential environment that makes it easier for residents to interact to form social cohesion [5].

Previous studies have shown that planned housing has low social cohesion [6] [7]. It can be considered a failure in housing planning. The low social cohesion of a residential community can be affected by setting housing patterns [6]. Street patterns and housing patterns can increase and control informal interactions between individuals leading to the creation of social cohesion [8]. Previous research stated that housing patterns can influence whether or not social cohesion is created [9].

The purpose of this research is to identify the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion in various housing patterns. The linear, grids, and T-shaped housing patterns are the ones most developed by

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/012011

developers. Lavender Lane 1 and Graha Sevilla Clusters located in Citra Raya, Tangerang Regency were chosen as the research loci because they have all three housing patterns and the social conditions of their residents can be considered homogeneous.

2. Methods

To be able to test the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion, indicators are used as shown in Table 1.

Construct	Indicator	Source
Housing pattern	Road network pattern	[5] [10] [11]
	The width of the road	
	Housing layout	
Social cohesion	Frequency of interacting with neighbours	[2] [12] [13] [14] [15]
	Getting to know each other with neighbours	[16] [17] [18]
	Trust in neighbours	
	Sense of ownership	
	Sense of helping each other	

Table 1. The housing pattern and social cohesion indicators

The unit of analysis in this study is the area according to the location of the housing pattern studied, namely areas with grid, linear, and T-shape patterns. The primary data was used collecting by the questionnaire survey method. The number of respondents was calculated using the Slovin formula for 121. The number of respondents for the linear pattern is 33, the T-shape is 52, and 36 for the grid pattern.

The analytical technique to examine the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion is a simple linear regression. The validity test used criteria to test indicators considering valid is the value of >0.7 on their loading factor or an Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value of >0.5. While the reliability test, the criteria used are Cronbach's alpha value and composite reliability above the value of 0.7. The structural model test used the R-square, Q-square, and Goodness of Fit (GoF) values. The R-square value shows how much influence housing patterns have on social cohesion. The Q-square value measures how well the observations are produced by the model, indicators and variables. A model can be said to be good if it has a predictive relevance value >0 and is said to be poor if the predictive relevance value is <0. After testing the measurement model and the structural model, it is necessary to evaluate the Goodness of Fit (GoF). The model can be said to be good if it has a GoF value of >0.38. The hypothesis is considered significant if the P-Value is <0.05 or the T-statistics value must be >1.96. The analysis is carried out at two levels, namely at the level of each housing pattern and the total pattern simultaneously.

3. Results and Discussions

Valid and reliable indicators of housing patterns are the placement of house buildings, road network patterns, and road widths, while valid and reliable indicators of social cohesion are knowing each other with neighbours, trusting them, and helping each other.

The results of the inner model test for all housing patterns simultaneously obtained an R-Square value of 15.5% and it proves that there is an effect of housing patterns on social cohesion due to the T-Statistics > 1.96, the P-value <0.05, and the original sample value is positive. The result is in line with previous studies that said physical aspects like housing pattern and housing infrastructure can affect and has a strong relation to creating social cohesion [19][20]. Both samples of the housing cluster are gated housing types so they make more privacy and security. It is in line with a previous study that says that housing with good privacy and security can increase social interaction and social cohesion among neighbours [21].

The following are the results of testing the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion in each housing pattern.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/012011

3.1. Linear Housing Pattern

There is an influence of linear housing patterns on social cohesion which is significant with an R-squared value of 27.3% and a regression coefficient of 52.2%.

Table 2. The significant dimensions and indicators of linear housing pattern

Construct	Dimension	Indicator	Loading Factor	Respondents' Responses
Housing pattern	Placement of	Total Row of Houses	0,577	1,303
110 wom 8 parcon	house building	Housing layout	0,938	4,090
	Road network pattern	Road pattern	0,922	4,424
Social cohesion	Frequency of interacting with	Participating in routine activities	0,749	4,000
	neighbours	Attend neighbourhood association meeting	0,847	3,666
		Casually talking on the street in front of the house	0,629	4,030
		Casually talking with neighbours when you pass on the street	0,866	4,303
	Get to know each other with neighbours	Knows 50% of the names of the heads of neighbouring families	0,401	3,969
		Knows 50% of the religion adhered to by the head of a neighbouring family	0,741	4,121
	Sense of trust among	Allowing children to play in the neighbour's house	0,808	4,151
	neighbours	Lending valuables	0,679	3,757
Average	-	-	0,741	3,801

Based on Table 2, the placement of house buildings facing each other and the straight road network pattern makes them more familiar with their neighbours (knowing the name of the head of the family and the religion of the head of their neighbour's family). The small size of the neighbourhood and the visibility between one house and another make residents interact more often with their neighbours, creating a sense of trust among them. These results are consistent with previous studies which state that the resident of a residential neighbourhood tends to interact more with their nearby neighbours [22]. This housing pattern can make the residents interact with their neighbour more often so it makes them knows each other well and can create trust among them.

3.2. T-shape pattern

The T-shape pattern of residential has an effect on social cohesion with a regression coefficient of 55.8% and an R-square value of 31.1%. The effect of this pattern is greater than the linear.

Table 3 shows in detail the significant indicators of each dimension in each construct. The dimensions of the placement of house buildings and the road network pattern affect social cohesion in the T-shape pattern, the same as in the linear pattern. In the dimensions of the placement of the house, there are different indicators, namely the T-shape road in front of the house while the indicator for the total series of houses is in a linear pattern. While the street pattern indicator is significant for both housing patterns.

The number of social cohesion indicators is more significant in the T-shape pattern than in the linear pattern with a higher average loading factor value. This shows that in the T-shape pattern, more

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/012011

indicators of social cohesion are affected by the placement of house buildings and the pattern of the road network.

Table 3. Significant dimensions and indicators of T-shape housing pattern

Construct	Dimension	Indicator	Loading Factor	Respondent's response
Housing pattern	Placement of	In front of the T-shape road	0,703	1,807
<i>3</i> 1	house	Housing layout	0,906	2,173
	building Road network	Road pattern	0,810	2,288
Social cohesion	pattern Frequency of interacting with neighbours	Participating in joint sports	0,733	3,903
	Get to know each other	Knowing 50% of the names of the heads of neighbouring families	0,622	3,961
	with neighbours	Knowing 50% of neighbours regarding the education level of	0,777	3,384
		their eldest child Knowing 50% of the ethnicity of heads of neighbouring families	0,827	3,576
amo		Knowing 50% of the religion adhered to by the head of a neighbouring family	0,674	4,173
	Sense of trust among	Always leave house keys with neighbours	0,849	3,134
	neighbours	Feeling anxious when not closing doors or fences	0,706	3,346
		Sharing personal problems with neighbours	0,785	3,153
		Asking neighbours for advice regarding personal problems	0,841	3,134
		Lending valuables	0,775	3,346
	Sense of ownership	Giving unsolicited contributions for joint activities	0,755	3,346
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Contributing energy or mind to solve common problems	0,743	3,846
		Issuing funds for physical improvement	0,745	3,538
		Assist in planning joint activities	0,689	3,807
	Mutual help	Mutual borrowing of money	0,844	3,153
	among neighbours	Visiting sick neighbours	0,741	4,134
	neignoouts	When a neighbour is affected by the covid-19 virus other neighbours send food or foodstuffs	0,717	3,692
		Helping neighbours when they are organizing events	0,716	3,961
Average			0,759	3,374

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/012011

The empirical condition of this housing pattern can stimulate resident's will on doing the sport together with their neighbour such as jogging and cycling. The road network of this pattern has a lot of crossroads making the distance further. Also, the width of the roads allows them to talk and do sport together. This finding is consistent with the previous studies that stated the road network of walkable streets tends to promote social cohesion [23].

3.3. Grid Pattern

The grid pattern does not affect social cohesion as indicated by the negative value of the regression coefficient. The absence of the influence of this pattern on social cohesion lies in the indicators of the width of the road and the T-shaped road in front of the house. Physically in this pattern, the pieces of the road are perpendicular. It allows quite several houses to face the road directly. This makes it easier for residents to interact, considering that the road is one of the means of shared space. The narrow road width on the grid pattern is an obstacle because it interferes with the movement of passing cars.

3.4. Effect of Different Housing Patterns on Social Cohesion

Based on the hypothesis testing of the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion, the result is that of the three patterns, only linear and T-shape patterns affect the social cohesion of its residents. The R-Square value of the T-shape is greater than the linear pattern. While the original sample value shows that the grid pattern has a minus value, meaning that the grid does not affect social cohesion. See Table 4. This finding is following the results of previous research which stated that the physical distance between houses can lead to social interaction, the closer the distance between buildings, the greater the chance for residents to meet [9]. This can be explained by the empirical conditions of the linear and Tshaped pattern. The majority of the houses in the two patterns are close together, in the sense that they face each other and are next to each other. In a linear pattern, a road network that applies a two-way rule and can be traversed by vehicles freely can form a joint activity space that allows occupants to pass each other [9]. In the linear pattern, the road in front of the house is a two-way rule and can be passed by two cars passing each other, so that in this pattern the road in front of the house facilitates residents to interact. The previous study stated that the grid pattern can stimulate the will of the resident to walk creating social interaction and cohesion among the neighbour [10]. On the contrary, in this study, the grid pattern doesn't have an effect on creating social cohesion because the width of the road is quite small making it hard to walk on this road.

Table 4. The R-square and original sample values for various housing patterns

Value	of	Housing pattern			
Linear T-shape Grid		All pattern			
R-Square		0,273	0,311	0,173	0,155
Original Sample		0,522	0,558	-0,416	0,394

Table 5 shows indicators that have a significant effect on social cohesion in three different patterns.

Table 5. Significant Indicators of Various Housing Patterns

Linear	T-shape	Grid	All housing pattern
Placement of house	Placement of house	No	Placement of house building
building	building	effect	 House is in front of the T-shape
• The total row of	 House is in front of 		road
houses	the T-shape road		 Layout of housing
 Layout of Layout of housing 			Road network pattern
housing	Road network pattern		 Road pattern
•	Road pattern		The width of the road

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1263 (2023) 012011

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/012011

Road network	Neighbourhood roads not
	ĕ
pattern	allowing cars to be parked
 Road pattern 	

Tests on all three patterns show that the placement of house buildings, the pattern of the road network, and the width of the roads affect social cohesion. When compared per housing pattern, the results show that there is no effect on the grid housing pattern. Meanwhile, in the linear pattern and the T-shape, only the width of the road has no significant effect on social cohesion. This finding is different from the previous studies which say that a grid-shaped road network pattern can encourage residents to walk to create interaction between residents [24]. The width of the road in the grid pattern is limited, making it difficult for residents to just walk. Previous research also suggested developers build housing with a grid pattern because it can create social interaction [25]. In the overall test, an indicator of road width that has a significant effect on social cohesion is that the road does not allow car parking, meaning that the road is narrow.

4. Conclusion

This research concludes that housing patterns affect social cohesion in the linear and T-shape patterns, while the grid has no effect on the cases studied. Housing pattern indicators that have a significant effect on social cohesion are the placement of house buildings (total row of houses, housing layout) and road pattern. These indicators need to be considered in housing cluster planning.

References

- [1] Damurski Ł 2022 Neighbourhood cohesion and territorial cohesion: in search for conceptual integrity *GeoJournal* **87**(6) 4635-4651.
- [2] Mousavinia, S.F., Pourdeihimi, S. and Madani, R., 2019. Housing layout, perceived density and social interactions in gated communities: Mediational role of territoriality. *Sustainable Cities and Society 51* p.101699.
- [3] Youssef, K. W. F. and Tsenkova, S 2020) Neighbourhood cohesion in the suburbs: insights from two quasi-gated communities in Calgary Canadian Planning and Policy 20-45.
- [4] Wood, L., Gilles-Corti, B., Zubrick, S.R., and Bulsara, M.K. 2011 Through the Kids... We Connected With Our Community: Children as Catalysts of Social Capital' *Environment and Behavior* **45**(3) pp. 344 368.
- [5] Ziegler, E. H. 2007 American Cities, Urban Planning, and Place-Based Crime Prevention Paper presented at the Pantheon Sorbonne University of Paris 1, France, Paris.
- [6] Koesoemawati, D. J., Yuswadi, H., Ratnaningsih, A., Alfiah, R., and Firmansyah, M. 2019 Neighbourhood space for formal housing based on social cohesion in Jember Region *In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* **340**(1),012015.
- [7] Olivia, M., Adianto, J., and Gabe, R. T. 2019 A post-occupancy evaluation study of a mixed-income gated community in Cibubur, West Java, Indonesia *Urbani Izziv* **30**(2) 95-104.
- [8] Taylor, R. B. 1997 Social order and disorder of street blocks and neighbourhoods: Ecology, microecology, and the systemic model of social disorganization *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* **34**(1) 113-155.
- [9] Abu-Ghazzeh, T. M. 1999 Housing layout, social interaction, and the place of contact in Abu-Nuseir, Jordan *Journal of environmental psychology* **19**(1) 41-73.
- [10] Youssef, K. W. 2015 Gated-ness, Income Segregation and Neighbourhood Cohesion in Two Western Canadian Metropolises Unpublished doctoral thesis University of Calgary, Calgary, AB
- [11] Dewi, R. C. P. 2022 Kohesi Sosial Lingkungan Ketetanggaan pada Perumahan Berpagar (Kasus: Emerald View Bintaro Jaya dan Taman Provence BSD City) Skripsi Program Studi Perencanaan Wilayah dan Kota, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta.
- [12] Triyuli et al. 2014 Identifikasi Pola Perumahan Rumah Sangat Sederhana di Kawasan Sematang

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1263/1/012011

- Borang Kota Palembang TEMU ILMIAH IPLBI 2014.
- [13] Kobayashi, Yoshihiro 2005 Housing Layout Design Using Fractals: Computer Tool dan Its Practical Use In *Caad Futures* pp. 243-254.
- [14] Rahmawati TA et al, 2018 Spatial-Based Disaster Risk Reduction. UB Press, Malang
- [15] Stansfeld, S. A., Marmot, M., & Wilkinson, R. 2006 Social support and social cohesion. *Social determinants of health* **2** 148-171.
- [16] Vergolini L. 2011 'Social cohesion in Europe: How do the different dimensions of inequality affect social cohesion?' *International Journal of Comparative Sociology* 52(3), pp.197–21
- [17] Laurence J. 2009 'Social Cohesion: A Multi-Level Analysis of Social Capital and Interethnic Relations in UK Communities' *European Sociological Review* **27**(1), pp. 70-89
- [18] Jeannotte, M. S. 2000 'Social cohesion around the world: an international comparison of definitions and issues', Paper SRA-309, (Strategic Research and Analysis Directorate, Department of Canadian Heritage, Ottawa).
- [19] Tamboeo, G., Waani, J.O. and Tilaar, S., 2016. Dampak Sosial dari Pola Perumahan Permata Asri Pineleng. *SPASIAL*, *3*(1), pp.46-54.
- [20] Siregar, J.P., 2021. Korelasi antara Konfigurasi Ruang Publik dengan Interaksi Sosial. *Jurnal Tata Kota dan Daerah*, *13*(1), pp.15-22.
- [21] Lindsay, M., Williams, K. and Dair, C., 2010. Is there room for privacy in the compact city? *Built Environment*, *36*(1), pp.28-46.
- [22] Bruhn, J., 2009. The group effect. Social Cohesion and Health Outcomes. Springer.
- [23] Lloyd Lawhon, L., 2009. The neighbourhood unit: physical design or physical determinism? *Journal of planning history*, 8(2), pp.111-132.
- [24] Chan, J., To, H.-P., & Chan, E. 2006 Reconsidering social cohesion: Developing a definition and analytical framework for empirical research *Social Indicators Research* **75**(2) 273–302.
- [25] Spoonley P., Peace R., Butcher A. & O'Neill D. 2005 'Social Cohesion: A Policy and Indicator Framework for Assessing Immigrant and Host Outcomes' *Social Policy Journal of New Zealand* **24** pp. 85-11.

Effect of Various Housing Patterns on Social Cohesion

Putri Rahmanita, Hanny Wahidin Wiranegara, Yayat Supriatna

Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Faculty of Architecture Landscape and Environmental Technology, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

hanny.w@trisakti.ac.id

Abstract. Social cohesion in housing is an attribute of the quality of the community of its inhabitants. Housing planning needs to function to facilitate the social interaction of its inhabitants. This research aims to identify the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion in various housing patterns. Most existing housing patterns built are grid, linear, and T-shape patterns. It is necessary to know the effect of each pattern on social cohesion. The data collection technique used the questionnaire survey method. Two clusters were selected as samples such as the Lavender Lane 1 and Graha Sevilla in Citra Raya, Tangerang Regency. Those samples have linear, grid, and T-shape patterns. The total number of respondents from the three housing patterns is 121. The analysis technique used simple linear regression using Smart-PLS software. The results showed that the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion was evident in the linear and T-shape patterns, while it was not in the grid pattern. The housing pattern indicators affecting social cohesion are the total row of houses, building layout plans, and street patterns that need to be considered in cluster housing planning to build social cohesion.

1. Introduction

Social cohesion is the object of research in various disciplines and is one of the considerations in making spatial policies in European countries [1]. It is also a factor in creating a livable housing environment [2]. The cohesive social environment is an element that determines the quality and stability of life and is a standard for the success of urban spatial units [3]. A cohesive community is influenced not only by social environmental factors but also by the physical environment. Principle, the focus of housing physical environmental planning is to facilitate social interaction among residents. The survival of the community depends on the integration of those who help each other, have better social support and cohesion than isolated communities or live separately [4]. American Planning Association states that housing planning must be able to create a residential environment that makes it easier for residents to interact to form social cohesion [5].

Previous studies have shown that planned housing has low social cohesion [6] [7]. It can be considered a failure in housing planning. The low social cohesion of a residential community can be affected by setting housing patterns [6]. Street patterns and housing patterns can increase and control informal interactions between individuals leading to the creation of social cohesion [8]. Previous research stated that housing patterns can influence whether or not social cohesion is created [9].

The purpose of this research is to identify the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion in various housing patterns. The linear, grids, and T-shaped housing patterns are the ones most developed by

developers. Lavender Lane 1 and Graha Sevilla Clusters located in Citra Raya, Tangerang Regency were chosen as the research loci because they have all three housing patterns and the social conditions of their residents can be considered homogeneous.

2. Materials and Methods

To be able to test the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion, indicators are used as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The Housing Pattern and Social Cohesion Indicators

Construct	Indicator	Source	
Housing pattern	Road network pattern	[5] [10] [11]	
	The width of the road		
	Housing layout		
Social cohesion	Frequency of interacting with neighbours	[2] [12] [13] [14] [15]	
	Getting to know each other with neighbours	[16] [17] [18]	
	Trust in neighbours		
	Sense of ownership		
	Sense of helping each other		

The unit of analysis in this study is the area according to the location of the housing pattern studied, namely areas with grid, linear, and T-shape patterns. The primary data was used collecting by the questionnaire survey method. The number of respondents was calculated using the Slovin formula for 121. The number of respondents for the linear pattern is 33, the T-shape is 52, and 36 for the grid pattern.

The analytical technique to examine the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion is a simple linear regression. The validity test used criteria to test indicators considering valid is the value of >0.7 on their loading factor of an Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value of >0.5. While the reliability test, the criteria used are Cronbach's alpha value and composite reliability above the value of 0.7. The structural model test used the R-square, Q-square, and Goodness of Fit (GoF) values. The R-square lue shows how much influence housing patterns have on social cohesion. The Q-square value measures how well the observations are produced by the model, indicators and variables. A model can be said to be good if it has a predictive relevance value is < 0. After testing the measurement model and the structural model, it is necessary to evaluate the Goodness of Fit (GoF). The model can be add to be good if it has a GoF value of > 0.38. The hypothesis is considered significant if the P-Value is < 0.05 or the T-statistics value must be > 1.96. The analysis is carried out at two levels, namely at the level of each housing pattern and the total pattern simultaneously.

3. Results and Discussion

Valid and reliable indicators of housing patterns are the placement of house buildings, road network patterns, and road widths, while valid and reliable indicators of social cohesion are knowing each other with neighbours, trusting them, and helping each other.

The results of the inner model test for all housing patterns simultaneously obtained an R-Square value of 15.5% and in roves that there is an effect of housing patterns on social cohesion due to the T-Statistics > 1.96, the P-value <0.05, and the original sample value is positive. The result is in line with previous studies that said physical aspects like housing pattern and housing infrastructure can affect and has a strong relation to creating social cohesion [19][20]. Both samples of the housing cluster are gated housing types so they make more privacy and security. It is in line with a previous study that says that housing with good privacy and security can increase social interaction and social cohesion among neighbours [21].

The following are the results of testing the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion in each housing pattern.

3.1. Linear Housing Pattern

There is an influence of linear housing patterns on social cohesion which is significant with an R-squared value of 27.3% and a regression coefficient of 52.2%.

Table 2. The Significant Dimensions and Indicators of Linear Housing Patterns

Construct	Dimension	Indicator	Loading Factor	Respondents' Responses
Housing pattern	Placement of	Total Row of Houses	0,577	1,303
	house building	Housing layout	0,938	4,090
	Road network pattern	Road pattern	0,922	4,424
Social cohesion	Frequency of interacting with	Participating in routine activities	0,749	4,000
	neighbours	Attend neighbourhood association meeting	0,847	3,666
		Casually talking on the street in front of the house	0,629	4,030
		Casually talking with neighbours when you pass on the street	0,866	4,303
	Get to know each other with neighbours	Knows 50% of the names of the heads of neighbouring families	0,401	3,969
		Knows 50% of the religion adhered to by the head of a neighbouring family	0,741	4,121
	Sense of trust among	Allowing children to play in the neighbour's house	0,808	4,151
	neighbours	Lending valuables	0,679	3,757
Average			0,741	3,801

Based on Table 2, the placement of house buildings facing each other and the straight road network pattern makes them more familiar with their neighbours (knowing the name of the head of the family and the religion of the head of their neighbour's family). The small size of the neighbourhood and the visibility between one house and another make residents interact more often with their neighbours, creating a sense of trust among them. These results are consistent with previous studies which state that the resident of a residential neighbourhood tends to interact more with their nearby neighbours [22]. This housing pattern can make the residents interact with their neighbour more often so it makes them knows each other well and can create trust among them.

3.2. T-shape pattern

The T-shape pattern of residential has an effect on social cohesion with a regression coefficient of 55.8% and an R-square value of 31.1%. The effect of this pattern is greater than the linear.

Table 3 shows in detail the significant indicators of each dimension in each construct. The dimensions of the placement of house buildings and the road network pattern affect social cohesion in the T-shape pattern, the same as in the linear pattern. In the dimensions of the placement of the house, there are different indicators, namely the T-shape road in front of the house while the indicator for the total series of houses is in a linear pattern. While the street pattern indicator is significant for both housing patterns.

The number of social cohesion indicators is more significant in the T-shape pattern than in the linear pattern with a higher average loading factor value. This shows that in the T-shape pattern, more indicators of social cohesion are affected by the placement of house buildings and the pattern of the road network.

Construct	Dimension	Dimensions and Indicators of T-shape I Indicator	Loading	Respondent's
Constituct	Difficusion	Indicator	Factor	respondent
Housing	Placement of	In front of the T-shape road	0.703	1,807
pattern	house	Housing layout	0,906	2,173
	building	8 1, 1	,	,
	Road	Road pattern	0,810	2,288
	network	•		
	pattern			
Social cohesion	Frequency of	Participating in joint sports	0,733	3,903
	interacting			
	with			
	neighbours			
	Get to know	Knowing 50% of the names of the	0,622	3,961
	each other	heads of neighbouring families		2.20
	with	Knowing 50% of neighbours	0,777	3,384
	neighbours	regarding the education level of		
		their eldest child	0.927	2.57
		Knowing 50% of the ethnicity of heads of neighbouring families	0,827	3,570
		Knowing 50% of the religion	0,674	4,17
		adhered to by the head of a	0,074	4,17
		neighbouring family		
	Sense of trust	Always leave house keys with	0,849	3,13
	among	neighbours	0,0.2	2,12
	neighbours	Feeling anxious when not closing	0,706	3,34
	8	doors or fences	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
		Sharing personal problems with	0,785	3,15
		neighbours		
		Asking neighbours for advice	0,841	3,13
		regarding personal problems		
		Lending valuables	0,775	3,34
	Sense of	Giving unsolicited contributions for	0,755	3,34
	ownership	joint activities		
		Contributing energy or mind to	0,743	3,84
		solve common problems	0.745	2.52
		Issuing funds for physical	0,745	3,53
		improvement Assist in planning joint activities	0.690	2.90
	Mutual halp	Mutual borrowing of money	0,689 0,844	3,80° 3,15°
	Mutual help among	Visiting sick neighbours	0,844	4,13
	neighbours	visiting sick neighbours	0,741	4,13
	neighbours	When a neighbour is affected by the	0,717	3,69
		covid-19 virus other neighbours		
		send food or foodstuffs		
		Helping neighbours when they are	0,716	3,96
		organizing events		
Average			0,759	3,374

The empirical condition of this housing pattern can stimulate resident's will on doing the sport together with their neighbour such as jogging and cycling. The road network of this pattern has a lot of crossroads

making the distance further. Also, the width of the roads allows them to talk and do sport together. This finding is consistent with the previous studies that stated the road network of walkable streets tends to promote social cohesion [23].

3.3. Grid Pattern

The grid pattern does not affect social cohesion as indicated by the negative value of the regression coefficient. The absence of the influence of this pattern on social cohesion lies in the indicators of the width of the road and the T-shaped road in front of the house. Physically in this pattern, the pieces of the road are perpendicular. It allows quite several houses to face the road directly. This makes it easier for residents to interact, considering that the road is one of the means of shared space. The narrow road width on the grid pattern is an obstacle because it interferes with the movement of passing cars.

3.4. Effect of Different Housing Paperns on Social Cohesion

Based on the hypothesis testing of the effect of housing patterns on social cohesion, the result is that of the three patterns, only linear and T-shape patterns affect the social cohesion of its residents. The R-Square value of the T-shape is greater than the linear pattern. While the original sample value shows that the grid pattern has a minus value, meaning that the grid does not affect social cohesion. See Table 4. This finding is following the results of previous research which stated that the physical distance between houses can lead to social interaction, the closer the distance between buildings, the greater the chance for residents to meet [9]. This can be explained by the empirical conditions of the linear and Tshaped pattern. The majority of the houses in the two patterns are close together, in the sense that they face each other and are next to each other. In a linear pattern, a road network that applies a two-way rule and can be traversed by vehicles freely can form a joint activity space that allows occupants to pass each other [9]. In the linear pattern, the road in front of the house is a two-way rule and can be passed by two cars passing each other, so that in this pattern the road in front of the house facilitates residents to interact. The previous study stated that the grid pattern can stimulate the will of the resident to walk creating social interaction and cohesion among the neighbour [10]. On the contrary, in this study, the grid pattern doesn't have an effect on creating social cohesion because the width of the road is quite small making it hard to walk on this road.

Table 4. The R-square and original sample values for various housing patterns

Value of	•	Housing pattern			
	Linear	T-shape	Grid	All pattern	
R-Square	0,273	0,311	0,173	0,155	
Original Sample	0,522	0,558	-0,416	0,394	

Table 5 shows indicators that have a significant effect on social cohesion in three different patterns.

Table 5. Significant Indicators of Various Housing Patterns

	Table 5. Significant indicat	ors or vari	ious riousing raccins
Linear	T-shape	Grid	All housing pattern
Placement of house	Placement of house	No	Placement of house building
building	building	effect	 House is in front of the T-shape
 The total row of 	 House is in front of 		road
houses	the T-shape road		 Layout of housing
 Layout of 	 Layout of housing 	Road network pattern	
housing	Road network pattern		 Road pattern
Road network	 Road pattern 		The width of the road
pattern			 Neighbourhood roads not
 Road pattern 			allowing cars to be parked

Tests on all three patterns show that the placement of house buildings, the pattern of the road network, and the width of the roads affect social cohesion. When compared per housing pattern, the results show

that there is no effect on the grid housing pattern. Meanwhile, in the linear pattern and the T-shape, only the width of the road has no significant effect on social cohesion. This finding is different from the previous studies which say that a grid-shaped road network pattern can encourage residents to walk to create interaction between residents [24]. The width of the road in the grid pattern is limited, making it difficult for residents to just walk. Previous research also suggested developers build housing with a grid pattern because it can create social interaction [25]. In the overall test, an indicator of road width that has a significant effect on social cohesion is that the road does not allow car parking, meaning that the road is narrow.

4. Conclusion

This research concludes that housing patterns affect social cohesion in the linear and T-shape patterns, while the grid has no effect on the cases studied. Housing pattern indicators that have a significant effect on social cohesion are the placement of house buildings (total row of houses, housing layout) and road pattern. These indicators need to be considered in housing cluster planning.

References

- Damurski Ł 2022 Neighbourhood cohesion and territorial cohesion: in search for conceptual integrity GeoJournal 87(6) 4635-4651.
- [2] Mousavinia, S.F., Pourdeihimi, S. and Madani, R., 2019. Housing layout, perceived density and social interactions in gated communities: Mediational role of territoriality. Sustainable Cities and Society 51 p.101699.
- [3] Youssef, K. W. F. and Tsenkova, S 2020) Neighbourhood cohesion in the suburbs: insights from two quasi-gated communities in Calgary Canadian Planning and Policy 20-45.
- [4] Wood, L., Gilles-Corti, B., Zubrick, S.R., and Bulsara, M.K. 2011 Through the Kids... We Connected With Our Community: Children as Catalysts of Social Capital' *Environment and Behavior* 45(3) pp. 344 – 368.
- [5] Ziegler, E. H. 2007 American Cities, Urban Planning, and Place-Based Crime Prevention Paper presented at the Pantheon Sorbonne University of Paris 1, France, Paris.
- [6] Koesoemawati, D. J., Yuswadi, H., Ratnaningsih, A., Alfiah, R., and Firmansyah, M. 2019 Neighbourhood space for formal housing based on social cohesion in Jember Region In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 340(1),012015.
- [7] Olivia, M., Adianto, J., and Gabe, R. T. 2019 A post-occupancy evaluation study of a mixed-income gated community in Cibubur, West Java, Indonesia *Urbani Izziv* 30(2) 95-104.
- [8] Taylor, R. B. 1997 Social order and disorder of street blocks and neighbourhoods: Ecology, microecology, and the systemic model of social disorganization *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 34(1) 113-155.
- [9] Abu-Ghazzeh, T. M. 1999 Housing layout, social interaction, and the place of contact in Abu-Nuseir, Jordan *Journal of environmental psychology* 19(1) 41-73.
- [10] Youssef, K. W. 2015 Gated-ness, Income Segregation and Neighbourhood Cohesion in Two Western Canadian Metropolises Unpublished doctoral thesis University of Calgary, Calgary, AB.
- [11] Dewi, R. C. P. 2022 Kohesi Sosial Lingkungan Ketetanggaan pada Perumahan Berpagar (Kasus: Emerald View Bintaro Jaya dan Taman Provence BSD City) Skripsi Program Studi Perencanaan Wilayah dan Kota, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta.
- [12] Triyuli et al. 2014 Identifikasi Pola Perumahan Rumah Sangat Sederhana di Kawasan Sematang Borang Kota Palembang TEMU ILMIAH IPLBI 2014.
- [13] Kobayashi, Yoshihiro 2005 Housing Layout Design Using Fractals: Computer Tool dan Its Practical Use In Caad Futures pp. 243-254.
- [14] Rahmawati TA et al, 2018 Spatial-Based Disaster Risk Reduction. UB Press, Malang
- [15] Stansfeld, S. A., Marmot, M., & Wilkinson, R. 2006 Social support and social cohesion. Social determinants of health 2 148-171.

- [16] Vergolini L. 2011 'Social cohesion in Europe: How do the different dimensions of inequality affect social cohesion?' *International Journal of Comparative Sociology* 52(3), pp.197–21
- [17] Laurence J. 2009 'Social Cohesion: A Multi-Level Analysis of Social Capital and Interethnic Relations in UK Communities' European Sociological Review 27(1), pp. 70-89
- [18] Jeannotte, M. S. 2000 'Social cohesion around the world: an international comparison of definitions and issues', Paper SRA-309, (Strategic Research and Analysis Directorate, Department of Canadian Heritage, Ottawa).
- [19] Tamboeo, G., Waani, J.O. and Tilaar, S., 2016. Dampak Sosial dari Pola Perumahan Permata Asri Pineleng. SPASIAL, 3(1), pp.46-54.
- [20] Siregar, J.P., 2021. Korelasi antara Konfigurasi Ruang Publik dengan Interaksi Sosial. Jurnal Tata Kota dan Daerah, 13(1), pp.15-22.
- [21] Lindsay, M., Williams, K. and Dair, C., 2010. Is there room for privacy in the compact city? *Built Environment*, 36(1), pp.28-46.
- [22] Bruhn, J., 2009. The group effect. Social Cohesion and Health Outcomes. Springer.
- [23] Lloyd Lawhon, L., 2009. The neighbourhood unit: physical design or physical determinism? *Journal of planning history*, 8(2), pp.111-132.
- [24] Chan, J., To, H.-P., & Chan, E. 2006 Reconsidering social cohesion: Developing a definition and analytical framework for empirical research Social Indicators Research 75(2) 273–302.
- [25] Spoonley P., Peace R., Butcher A. & O'Neill D. 2005 'Social Cohesion: A Policy and Indicator Framework for Assessing Immigrant and Host Outcomes' Social Policy Journal of New Zealand 24 pp. 85-11.

Effect of Various Housing Patterns on Social Cohesion

ORIGINALITY REPORT						
3% SIMILARITY INDEX INTERNET SOURCE		1% PUBLICATIONS	O% STUDENT PAPERS			
PRIMARY SOURCES						
1 WWW.re	esearchgate.net		1 %			
jurnal.unissula.ac.id Internet Source			1 %			
media.neliti.com Internet Source			1 %			
4 eudl.eu			1 %			

Exclude quotes On Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches

< 15 words