

ISSN: 2360-821X ✉ ajmrd.editor@gmail.com[Aims & Scope](#) [FAQ's](#)

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development

Home	About Us	Call For Paper	Paper Submission	Publication Charges	Current Issue	Archive	Editorial Board	Indexing	Contact Us
----------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------



AJMRD Journal

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development is indexed, refereed and peer-reviewed journal, which is designed to publish research articles.

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development is open access, peer-reviewed and refereed multidisciplinary journal.

The journal publishes research papers in three broad specific fields as follows:

Agricultural, Biological Sciences, Biotechnology, Biochemistry, Genetics, Molecular Biology, Environmental Science, Ecology, Archeology, Biodiversity and Conservation, Entomology, Limnology, Ichthyology, Malacology, Immunology and Microbiology, Neuroscience, Marine Biology.

Chemical Engineering, Chemistry, Computer Science, Earth and Planetary Science, Energy, Mathematics, Physics and Astronomy, Engineering, Material Science, Statistics, Engineering & Technology, Mathematics, Management, Medical Sciences, Medicine, Social Science, Education, Agriculture, Geography, Advertising, Accounting & Finance, Botany, Business Research, Chemistry, Commerce, Computer Application, Consumer Behavior & Relationship Management, Corporate Governance, Earth Sciences, Economics, E-commerce, Bioscience, Entrepreneurship, Fisheries, History, Human Resources Management, Information Technology, Library Science, International Business, Law / Criminology, Life Sciences, Logistics and Supply Chain Management.

Performing Arts, Physics, Psychology, Health Care, Fine Art, Industrial Relations, Architecture, Marketing communication, Marketing Management, Microbiology, Nursing / Pharmacy, Nutraceuticals, Physical Education, Philosophy, Political Sciences, Psychology, Population Studies, Selling and Marketing techniques, Strategic Management, Total Quality Management Training and Development, Sociology, English, Veterinary Sciences, Apiology, Archeology, Arthropodology, Cetology, Conchology, Mosquito Taxonomical Studies, Vector (Mosquito) Biology, Vector Ecology, Prevention and Control of Mosquito/Vector-Borne Diseases, Vector Competence of Vector-Borne Diseases, Renewable Energy, Biopesticides/Botanical Insecticides in Vector Control and Management, Biological Control of Vector Mosquitoes, Vectors "Parasite Interaction, Epidemiology, Parasitology, Surveillance and Control Technology of Vectors, Applied Fields of Mosquito Research, Entomology, Helminthology, Herpetology, Ichthyology, Malacology, Myrmecology, Nematology, Neuroethology, Ornithology, Paleozoology, Planktology, Primatology, Zooarchaeology, Zoosemiotics, Vector Molecular Biology, Molecular Endocrinology, Parasitology and Immunobiology, etc...

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development is an international peer-reviewed online Open access journal published monthly. It publishes the results of original research in the field of Engineering.



American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development is an international peer-reviewed online Open access journal published monthly. It publishes the results of original research in the field of business management.



American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development is an international peer-reviewed online Open access journal published monthly. It publishes the results of original research in the field of Humanities science.

ISSN: 2360-821X ✉ ajmrd.editor@gmail.com[Aims & Scope](#) [FAQ's](#)

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development

[Home](#)[About Us](#)[Call For Paper](#)[Paper Submission](#)[Publication Charges](#)[Current Issue](#)[Archive](#)[Editorial Board](#)[Indexing](#)[Contact Us](#)

Indexing

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development is stick to explore all publish content worldwide. For this purpose editorial board is planning all papers in following indexing:



August 2026

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

« Jan



© 2019 AJMRD. Design & Hosted



ISSN: 2360-821X ✉ ajmrd.editor@gmail.com[Aims & Scope](#) [FAQ's](#)

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development

[Home](#)[About Us](#)[Call For Paper](#)[Paper Submission](#)[Publication Charges](#)[Current Issue](#)[Archive](#)[Editorial Board](#)[Indexing](#)[Contact Us](#)

Editorial Board

Editorial Boards Member

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development

Dr. Radu D. Stanciu

Country: Romania

Dr. Maurizio Rija

Country: Italy

Dr. Christina Koutra

Country: UAE

Dr. N.S. Abegunasekara

Country: Sri Lanka

Dr. Altaf Hussain Malik

Country: USA

Prof. Dr.Nada Vignjevic-Djordjevic

Country: Serbia

Dr. MAHDI HOSSEINI

Country: India

Dr. David W. Stewart

Country: California

Prof. Vito Mollica

Country: Australia

Dr. Nasser Fegh-hi Farahmand

Country: Iran

DR. G.V.P. SAMARANAYAKE

Country: Sri Lanka

Dr. Tavonga Njaya. Ph.D.,

Country: Zimbabwe

Dr. Mohammed Nader Shalaby

Country: Egypt

Dr. Khaled Nabih Zaki Rashed

Country: Egypt

Dr. A. SENTHIL KUMAR

Country: India

Dr. VAHID ROOHOELM

Country: Iran

Dr. Ahmad Sedaghat

Country: Kuwait

Dr. Uko, Ibeabuchi

Country: Nigeria

Dr. Abbas Alwi Sakhir Abed

Country: Malaysia

Dr. Somenath Ghosh

Country: India

Dr. Alaa Abdelbary

Country: Egypt

Tayyab Zeeshan Shahid

Country: Pakistan

Dr. Rudrarup Gupta

Country: India

Prof. Hany Ali Mahmoud Abdel-Fattah

Country: Egypt

Pliakos Alexios, Apostolos.

Country: Greece/Hellas.

MOHAMMAD MUSHFIQUL HAQUE

MUKIT

Country: BANGLADESH

Dr. Kishore Mukhopadhyay

Country: India

Dr. Nitin Chopde

Country: India

Dr. AMANJEET SINGH SETHI

Country: India

Dr. Derese Simegnew Alehegn

Country: Ethiopia



© 2019 AJMRD. Design & Hosted



ISSN: 2360-821X ✉ ajmrd.editor@gmail.com[Aims & Scope](#) [FAQ's](#)

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development

[Home](#)[About Us](#)[Call For Paper](#)[Paper Submission](#)[Publication Charges](#)[Current Issue](#)[Archive](#)[Editorial Board](#)[Indexing](#)[Contact Us](#)

Current Issue

“August-2026”

1	Transforming Urban Assets through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach Ikhya Aufar Nur Hidayat, Dedes Nur Gandarum, Nurhikmah Budi Hartanti Country: Indonesia Page: 01-11	Architecture	
2	Application of Cultural Inclusivity in Mosques as Public Buildings Shakira, Dedes Nur Gandarum, Khotijah Lahji Country: Indonesia Page: 12-24	Architecture	
3	Cachar: The Hub of National Leader's Visit During The Freedom Movement of India Habibullah Mazumder Country: India Page: 25-29	Philosophy	
4	CHANGING U.S. GROCERY LANDSCAPE Arthur Kraft, John Kraft Country: USA Page: 30-36	Business	

5	Technological Innovation as a Resource for Learning: Transforming Education in the Digital Era Felipe Jaña González Country: Guatemala Page: 37-47	Education	
6	Corporate Environmental Responsibility & Long-Term Firm Value in Manufacturing Firms Vanessa Allison Setia, Allison Li Country: Indonesia Page: 48-51	Environmental	
7	Analysis of the Influence of TATO, PER, DER, Inflation, and Beta Risk on Capital Gains in Indonesian Telecommunication Companies for the Period 2020 – 2025 Sigit Kusumo Ati, Agus Maolana Hidayat, Budi Rustandi Kartawinata Country: Indonesia Page: 80-96	Economic	
8	School Feeding Programmes: Beyond Nourishing Minds to Building Resilience through a Sustainable Grassroots Income Generating Activity Framework in Selected Primary Schools in Lusaka Province, Zambia Marien Matafwali Country: Zambia Page: 97-129	Income	

Transforming Urban Assets through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

Ikhyia Aufar Nur Hidayat¹, Dedes Nur Gandarum^{*2}, Nurhikmah Budi Hartanti³

¹Bachelor of Architecture, Architecture Department, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

²Professor of Architecture and Urban Design, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

³Professor Assistant of Architecture, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Email address: 052002200049@std.trisakti.ac.id¹, dedes@trisakti.ac.id^{*2}, nurhikmah@trisakti.ac.id³

^{*}Corresponding Author: Dedes Nur Gandarum, dedes@trisakti.ac.id

ABSTRACT: Rapid urban growth has increased pressure on the quality of urban environments, particularly in areas with historical significance and strategic urban functions. This research explores how a regenerative design approach can be integrated with vertical urban development to guide the transformation of underutilized urban assets into mixed-use environments that are more adaptive and sustainable. The study employs a literature review and a comparative analysis of twenty international precedent projects. The findings were synthesized into four main design aspects: Ecological, Resource & Efficiency, Mixed-use & Living System, and Mobility & Social Collaboration; which were further translated into eight design indicators: biodiversity enhancement, vertical greening, closed-loop resource systems, energy and water efficiency, mixed-use integration, adaptive living systems, walkability and accessibility, and community participation. These criteria were then applied to evaluate the proposed transformation of the Perum Peruri site into a mixed-use development. The results indicate that the Vertical Urban Regenerative approach provides practical design criteria for guiding urban asset transformation by integrating ecological restoration, resource efficiency, functional adaptability, and socially responsive mobility within a single development framework.

Keywords – Regenerative Design, Vertical Urbanism, Mixed use, TOD

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern urban development presents various challenges, including limited land availability, increasing mobility, changing building functions, and the imbalance between economic growth and environmental and social quality. At the same time, historically significant urban areas offer considerable potential to be redeveloped as new centers of urban activity through architectural and urban planning approaches that preserve heritage buildings while integrating them with the city's transportation system. One approach that addresses these challenges is the regenerative concept, which emphasizes the ability of a system to renew, restore, and enhance environmental quality in a sustainable manner.

Regenerative Design

Regenerative design is a design approach that utilizes self-renewing natural processes, enabling designed systems not only to sustain environmental conditions but also to restore and enhance ecosystem capacity through mutually supportive relationships between natural and human-made systems [1]. Regenerative design is understood as a design approach that extends beyond a focus on physical objects to encompass the evolution of whole living systems. Within this concept, humans are regarded as integral components of these systems, actively participating in regenerative processes through their engagement with the context of place, including communities, watersheds, and bioregions [2]. In architecture, regenerative buildings do not merely minimize negative environmental impacts; they actively contribute to improving the quality of place, history, culture, and local resources [3].

Vertical Urbanism

As urban density continues to increase, implementing regenerative design principles requires an approach that optimizes spatial efficiency without compromising environmental quality and urban livability. One such approach is vertical urbanism, an urban design strategy that views high-rise buildings as integral components of an urban system, integrating functions, infrastructure, public spaces, ecological systems, and

social activities within a three-dimensional framework [4]. Unlike conventional high-rise development, vertical urbanism emphasizes functional connectivity, integration with public transportation, and the creation of adaptive and sustainable spaces that enhance environmental performance and urban quality of life [5]

The integration of regenerative design and vertical urbanism plays a crucial role in transforming underutilized urban assets into sustainable and adaptive urban environments [6]. Rather than focusing solely on physical redevelopment or economic value, this approach positions urban assets as catalysts for restoring environmental functions, strengthening social interactions, preserving cultural identity, and enhancing long-term urban resilience[7]. At the same time, vertical urbanism provides an efficient spatial strategy by integrating mixed-use functions, transit-oriented development, and interconnected public spaces within a compact vertical framework, enabling optimal land use in dense urban contexts (Wahyudi, 2018). Within this perspective, Vertical Urban Regenerative emerges as a concept that combines the principles of regenerative design and vertical urbanism to create developments that are spatially efficient while actively generating environmental, social, economic, and cultural benefits. To evaluate its implementation, measurable design indicators must be established by synthesizing the core principles of both approaches. Regenerative design contributes indicators related to ecosystem restoration, resource efficiency, biodiversity, cultural preservation, and community engagement, while vertical urbanism provides indicators concerning mixed-use integration, transit connectivity, land-use efficiency, accessibility, and three-dimensional urban connectivity [9]. Together, these indicators form a comprehensive framework for assessing the success of Vertical Urban Regenerative in urban asset transformation.

This study focuses on developing and applying Vertical Urban Regenerative design criteria based on indicator aspect framework to evaluate the implementation of the concept in the redevelopment of the Palatehan Perum Peruri asset. Through this evaluation, the study aims to demonstrate how the integration of regenerative principles and vertical urban strategies can serve as an effective model for transforming urban assets into adaptive, sustainable, and socially responsive urban environments while preserving their historical and contextual significance.

Table 1. Description of design principles using the Regenerative concept.

Aspect [1]; [2]	Regenerative principle [2]	Regenerative Principle [1]
Place	Design Place-Based	Local Ecological Context
Living System	Whole System Thinking	Living Process
Ecological System	Improving Capacity System, Restore Ecosystem Health	Ecological Productivity
Resources Flows	Self Renewing Resource	Self Renewal, Circular Loops
Human Nature Relationship	Co-Evolution of Human and Natural Systems	Environmental Regeneration
Social Collaboration	Community Participation	Community Participation in Social Fabric

Source: Synthesized by the author based on [1] and [2]

The regenerative principles proposed by Lyle (1994) and Mang & Reed (2012) emphasize that design should not merely aim to reduce negative environmental impacts, but also to restore and enhance the capacity of ecological, social, and economic systems through a place-based approach, systems thinking, circular resource flows, harmonious human–nature relationships, and active community collaboration.

Table 2. Description of design principles using the Vertical Urbanism concept.

Aspect [9] [5]	Vertical principle [9]	Vertical Principle [5]
Mixed Use Integration	Mixed Use	Mixed Use Development
Vertical Ecology	Vertical Landscaping	Vertical Landscaping
Density and Compact	Compact City	High Density Development
Mobility	Vertical Circulation	Transit Oriented Development
Efficiency	Bioclimatic Design	Energy and Land Consumption

Source: Synthesized by the author based on [5] and [9]

The principles of vertical urbanism proposed by Yeang (2002) and Al-Kodmany (2013) emphasize the

integration of mixed-use development, vertical ecology, compact urban form, efficient mobility, and resource-conscious design to create sustainable high-density cities. Vertical development should combine diverse functions within a single environment, incorporate vegetation throughout the building, support transit-oriented and well-connected mobility systems, and optimize energy and land use through bioclimatic and efficient design strategies. Together, these principles enable tall buildings to contribute to more livable, resilient, and sustainable urban environments.

Table 3. Synthesis of Design Aspects and Indicators for the Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach.

Aspect [1]; [9] [2], [5]; [5]	Regenerative Principle	Vertical Urbanism Principle	Design Indicator
Ecological	Improving capacity system, [2]	Vertical Landscaping, [9]	Biodiversity enhancement, [2] Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens), [9]
Resource & Efficiency	Self renewal, circular loops, [1]	Bioclimatic Design, [9]	Closed-loop resource systems, Lyle (1994); Energy and water efficiency, [5], [9]
Mixed-use & Living System	Design place-based, Living process, [2], [9]	Mixed-use development, [5]	Mixed-use integration, Al-[5]; Adaptive living systems, Mang & Reed [2]
Mobility & Social Collaboration	Community Participation in social fabric, [1]	Transit Oriented Development, [5]	Walkability and accessibility, [5]; Community participation, [1]

Source: Synthesized by the author based on [1];[2], [5] and [9]

Based on the synthesis of regenerative design principles by Lyle (1994) and Mang and Reed (2012) with vertical urbanism concepts proposed by Yeang (2002) and Al-Kodmany (2013), four key design aspects form the basis of regenerative vertical development. The ecological aspect integrates improving capacity systems with vertical landscaping, resulting in indicators such as ecological connectivity, biodiversity enhancement, and vertical greening. The resource and efficiency aspect combines self-renewal and circular loops with bioclimatic design, emphasizing closed-loop resource systems, passive environmental design, and energy and water efficiency. The mixed use and living system aspect links design place-based and living process principles with mixed-use development, generating indicators including mixed-use integration, place-based identity, and adaptive living systems. Meanwhile, the mobility and social collaboration aspect combines community participation in social fabric with transit-oriented development (TOD), leading to indicators such as walkability and accessibility, public transit integration, and community participation. Collectively, these indicators provide an integrated framework for regenerative vertical development that balances ecological, environmental, functional, and social dimensions [10]

II. METHODS OF RESEARCH

The research employed a precedent review method by analyzing 20 building precedents to identify, compare, and evaluate the implementation of design indicators derived from the synthesis of regenerative design and vertical urbanism principles. The analysis focused on the application of eight design indicators, namely biodiversity enhancement, vertical greening, closed-loop resource systems, energy and water efficiency, mixed-use integration, adaptive living systems, walkability and accessibility, and community participation. These indicators were used to assess how each precedent responds to ecological, environmental, functional, and social aspects within high-density urban development. Research data were collected through a literature review involving academic journals, books, project reports, and other relevant publications. The collected information was analyzed to establish the theoretical foundation and to formulate the design indicators based on the integrated aspects of Ecological, Resource & Efficiency, Mixed-use & Living System, and Mobility & Social Collaboration.

The findings from the literature review and precedent analysis were then organized into an analytical matrix to facilitate the classification and comparison of design indicator implementation across the selected

projects. Each precedent was evaluated according to the extent to which the indicators were applied, allowing patterns, similarities, and differences to be identified. The synthesized results were subsequently used to formulate design criteria that serve as guidelines for the application of the Vertical Urban Regenerative approach, as presented in the following table.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

To evaluate the implementation of the proposed design indicators, each precedent project was first examined and described based on its design characteristics and development approach. The analysis then assessed how the indicators derived from regenerative design and vertical urbanism principles were applied within the project. The results of this evaluation are summarized in the following table.

Table 4. Precedent Review.

Fig1. King's Cross Redevelopment  (Image source : King's Cross Redevelopment)	Fig2. Coal Drop Yard  (Image source : Coal Drops Yard)	Fig 3. Tate Modern  (Image source : The Tate Modern)	Fig 4. Battersea Powerstation  (Image source : Battersea Power Station)	Fig 5. Hearst Tower  (Image source : Hearst Headquarters/ Hearst Tower)
Fig 6. One Central Park  (Image source : One Central Park)	Fig 7. South Beach  (Image source : South Beach / Foster + Partners ArchDaily)	Fig 8. The Gasometer  (Image source : Gasometer)	Fig 9. Bosco Verticale  (Image source : Bosco Verticale / Boeri Studio ArchDaily)	Fig 10. Roppongi Hills  (Image source : Roppongi Hills Tag ArchDaily)
Fig 11. Kampung Admiralty  (Image source : Kampung Admiralty / WOHA ArchDaily)	Fig 12. Linked Hybrid  (Image source : Linked Hybrid / Steven Holl Architects ArchDaily)	Fig 13. The Gherkin  (Image source : 30 St Mary Axe Tower / Foster + Partners ArchDaily)	Fig 14. Elbphilharmonie  (Image source : Elbphilharmonie Hamburg / Herzog & de Meuron ArchDaily)	Fig 15. The Butterfly and First Baptist  (Image source : The Butterfly and First Baptist Church Complex)
Fig 16. Distillery District  (Image source : Distillery District)	Fig 17. Ponce City Market  (Image source : Ponce City Market)	Fig 18. Domino Sugar  (Image source : Domino Sugar)	Fig 19. Parkroyal Pickering  (Image source : Parkroyal Pickering)	Fig 20. Zorlu Center  (Image source : Zorlu Center)

The Distillery Historic District - Official Website	Ponce City Market S9 Architecture Archello	Domino Sugar Refinery	(Image source : PARKROYAL on Pickering / WOHA ArchDaily)	
				(Image source : Zorlu Center)

Source: Author's conclusion.

Following the selection of the 20 precedent projects, an evaluation was conducted to examine the implementation of the identified design indicators. These indicators, derived from the integration of regenerative design and vertical urbanism principles, were used to assess the ecological, environmental, functional, and social performance of each precedent, as summarized in the following table.

Table 5. Implementation of Precedent Indicator Principles.

Building Typology	Indicator Analysis							
	Bio-diversity enhancement	Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens)	Closed-loop resource systems	Energy and water efficiency	Mixed-use integration	Adaptive living systems	Walkability and accessibility	Community participation
King's Cross Redevelopment	Provides parks and green spaces that enhance urban biodiversity.	Limited use of vertical planting, mainly through landscape design.	Applies district-scale utility and energy systems.	Sustainable buildings reduce energy consumption.	Integrates residential, office, retail, education, and cultural functions.	Reuses historic industrial buildings for new urban uses.	Extensive pedestrian and cycling connections linked to major transit hubs.	Public squares and parks support social and cultural activities.
Coal Drop Yard	Landscape planting improves the public environment.	No significant vertical greening strategy	Adaptive reuse minimizes construction waste and material use.	Reuse of existing structures reduces embodied energy.	Combines retail, dining, and public spaces.	Converts historic warehouses into contemporary uses.	Pedestrian-oriented design connected to King's Cross Station.	Open plaza accommodates community events and gatherings.
Tate Modern	Riverside open spaces contribute to the urban landscape.	No application of green walls or sky gardens	Reuses the existing power station structure.	Building retrofit improves environmental performance.	Integrates cultural functions with supporting public facilities.	Transforms an industrial building into a cultural destination.	Connected to the riverside promenade and Millennium Bridge.	Encourages public interaction through cultural programs and open spaces.
Battersea Powerstation	Green spaces enhance the ecological	Podium landscaping and planted areas	Existing infrastructure is reused and	Modern systems improve energy performance	Combines residential, office, retail,	Adapts a historic power station for	Well connected to public transit	Public spaces support events and

Building Typology	Indicator Analysis							
	Bio-diversity enhancement	Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens)	Closed-loop resource systems	Energy and water efficiency	Mixed-use integration	Adaptive living systems	Walkability and accessibility	Community participation
	High quality of the site.	provide limited vertical greening.	upgraded .	ence.	and leisure uses.	contemporary functions .	and pedestrian routes.	community activities.
Hearst Tower	Limited landscape elements around the site.	Vertical greening is not a primary feature.	Rainwater harvesting supports building operations.	High-performance façade and daylighting improve efficiency.	Primarily developed as an office building	Preserves the historic podium beneath the new tower.	Accessible through public transport and walkable streets.	Community-oriented spaces are limited.
One Central Park	Extensive vegetation increases urban biodiversity.	Green walls and sky gardens define the building design.	Water recycling and trigeneration systems are implemented.	Efficient systems reduce energy and water consumption.	Integrates residential, retail, and public spaces.	Living vegetation functions as part of the building system.	Pedestrian podium connects surrounding public areas.	Public open spaces are accessible to residents and visitors.
South Beach	Green terraces improve ecological performance.	Sky gardens and vertical planting are integrated throughout the complex.	Rainwater collection supports resource management.	Climate-responsive design reduces energy demand.	Combines hotel, office, retail, and residential uses.	Flexible spaces respond to changing urban needs.	Directly connected to MRT and pedestrian networks .	Public plazas accommodate social activities.
The Gasometer	Open green areas improve environmental quality.	Minimal use of vertical greening.	Reuses existing industrial structures to reduce material consumption.	Building upgrades improve energy efficiency.	Integrates housing, retail, offices, and entertainment.	Converts former gas tanks into mixed-use developments.	Connected to metro stations and pedestrian routes.	Shared public spaces encourage social interaction.
Bosco Verticale	Thousands of trees and plants create urban habitats.	Vegetated façades and balconies form a vertical forest.	Recycled water is used for irrigation systems.	Vegetation reduces cooling loads and energy use.	Mainly residential with limited mixed-use functions .	Plant growth creates a dynamic living ecosystem.	Located within a highly walkable urban district.	Community functions are relatively limited.
Roppongi	Parks	Green	Efficient	Energy-	Integrate	Supports	Strong	Public

Building Typology	Indicator Analysis							
	Bio-diversity enhancement	Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens)	Closed-loop resource systems	Energy and water efficiency	Mixed-use integration	Adaptive living systems	Walkability and accessibility	Community participation
Hills	and landscaped areas strengthen urban ecology.	terraces are incorporated into podium levels.	utility systems support sustainable operation.	saving technologies improve building performance.	s offices, housing, hotels, retail, and cultural facilities.	diverse activities throughout the day.	pedestrian connections linked to metro access.	plazas encourage community engagement.
Kampung Admiralty	Multi-level greenery	Sky gardens and green terraces	Rainwater management	Passive cooling and water saving	Housing, healthcare, retail	Elderly-friendly flexible spaces	Transit-oriented design	Shared community spaces
Linked Hybrid	Green open spaces	Roof gardens	Geothermal system	High-performance systems	Residential, commercial, cultural	Flexible interconnected spaces	Skybridges and pedestrian routes	Public plazas
The Gherkin	Limited ecological contribution	Minimal greenery	Efficient environmental systems	Natural vent	Office-led mixed use	Flexible floor plans	Strong urban connectivity	Limited public engagement
Elphilharmonie	Waterfront regeneration	Limited greenery	Adaptive reuse	Reduced embodied carbon	Culture, hotel, residential	Reused industrial structure	Public plaza access	Cultural participation
The Butterfly and First Baptist	Urban green spaces	Roof gardens	Sustainable building systems	Efficient resource use	Residential and community uses	Heritage and modern integration	Pedestrian-friendly design	Community-focused activities
Distillery District	Landscaped public spaces	District-wide greenery	Adaptive reuse	Reuse of existing buildings	Retail, culture, entertainment	Historic building adaptation	Pedestrian-oriented district	Strong public engagement
Ponce City Market	Rooftop green spaces	Rooftop green spaces	Adaptive reuse	Reduced resource consumption	Retail, office, residential	Flexible mixed-use spaces	Connected to Belt Line	Community programs
Domino Sugar	Waterfront ecology	Public green spaces	Adaptive reuse	High-performance systems	Office and public uses	Industrial-to-modern transformation	Walkable waterfront access	Public exhibitions
Parkroyal Pickering	Extensive urban biodiversity	Green walls and sky gardens	Rainwater harvesting	Energy and water efficient	Hotel and public spaces	Living landscape system	Accessible podium gardens	Shared green spaces
Zorlu Center	Urban park integration	Green roofs and terraces	Resource management systems	Sustainable building technology	Retail, office, hotel, residential	Flexible mixed-use development	Metro-connected circulation	Public plazas and events

Building Typology	Indicator Analysis							
	Bio-diversity enhancement	Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens)	Closed-loop resource systems	Energy and water efficiency	Mixed-use integration	Adaptive living systems	Walkability and accessibility	Community participation
				gies	al	men	n	

Based on the analysis of the ten precedent projects, mixed-use integration, energy and water efficiency, and walkability and accessibility are the most frequently applied indicators, highlighting the strong influence of vertical urbanism principles. Many projects also incorporate adaptive living systems through adaptive reuse and multifunctional development.

Meanwhile, biodiversity enhancement, vertical greening, and closed-loop resource systems are implemented in fewer projects, with One Central Park and Bosco Verticale demonstrating the strongest ecological performance. Collectively, the precedents show that combining regenerative design and vertical urbanism can support more sustainable and resilient high-density urban development.

Table 6. Conclusion of Design Indicators for 20 Precedents.

Integrated Aspect Lyle (1994); Yeang (2002); Mang & Reed (2012); Al-Kodmany (2013)	Conclusion of Design Indicators for 20 Precedents	Number of Projects Applying the Indicators
Ecological	Biodiversity enhancement and vertical greening are commonly incorporated through urban parks, green terraces, and vegetated facades.	15 of 20 projects. Most precedents incorporate biodiversity enhancement, while vertical greening strategies are primarily found in high-density urban developments such as One Central Park, Bosco Verticale, Kampung Admiralty, and PARKROYAL Pickering.
Resource & Efficiency	Resource-efficient strategies include closed-loop systems, water recycling, and energy-efficient building technologies.	20 of 20 projects. All precedents apply at least one resource-efficiency strategy, ranging from adaptive reuse of existing structures to advanced energy and water management systems.
Mixed Use and living System	Mixed-use integration and adaptive functions are widely implemented across the precedents.	18 of 20 projects. Most developments integrate multiple urban functions and adaptable uses, with only a few projects remaining predominantly single-purpose buildings.
Mobility & Social Collaboration	Walkability, transit integration, and public spaces are strongly emphasized in most developments.	20 of 20 projects. Every precedent provides pedestrian connectivity, public accessibility, or social spaces that encourage interaction and urban engagement.

Across the 20 precedent projects, Resource & Efficiency and Mobility & Social Collaboration are the most consistently implemented aspects, appearing in every project. Mixed Use and Living System is also widely adopted in 18 projects, while the Ecological aspect is found in 15 projects, with advanced vertical greening strategies limited to developments that explicitly apply regenerative or biophilic design principles. Overall, the findings suggest that contemporary mixed-use developments prioritize functional efficiency, mobility, and social connectivity, whereas ecological regeneration remains less consistently integrated. This highlights the need for the Vertical Urban Regenerative framework to better balance ecological, functional, and social performance.

Implementation of the Transformation Asset in Perum Peruri



Fig 1. Perum peruri location site

Source: [IAI Jakarta - TOR Sayembara Peruri](#)

Perum Peruri, as a state-owned enterprise responsible for producing strategic security documents, has undergone a significant organizational transformation following the relocation of its primary production facilities from Kebayoran Baru, Jakarta, to Karawang, West Java. This relocation created an opportunity to redefine the function of the former production site, which had become an underutilized strategic urban asset. Rather than maintaining the site in its previous industrial role, Peruri adopted a redevelopment strategy aimed at maximizing the economic, environmental, and social value of the asset through the development of Peruri City. This transformation reflects a broader shift in public asset management, where state-owned assets are no longer viewed solely as operational resources but also as catalysts for sustainable urban development and long-term value creation[11].

The redevelopment of Peruri City illustrates how a former industrial area can be repositioned as an integrated mixed-use district that combines residential, commercial, office, hospitality, public, and creative functions. However, the success of such a transformation cannot be evaluated solely based on physical redevelopment or economic performance. It also depends on the extent to which sustainability principles are embedded within the planning and design process. Therefore, Table 7 presents the key indicators used to evaluate the implementation of sustainable asset transformation, focusing on environmental performance, resource efficiency, adaptive land use, mobility, and social inclusiveness. These indicators provide an analytical basis for assessing whether the transformation has successfully generated multidimensional value while supporting sustainable urban regeneration.

Table 7. Implementation of the Transformation Asset in Perum Peruri.

Aspect	Design Indicator	Application of Asset Transformation in Peruri
Ecological	Biodiversity Enhancement	The transformation of Perum Peruri demonstrates the implementation of biodiversity enhancement through the provision of pocket parks, green open spaces, and native vegetation.
	Vertical Greening	Integrates green façades, sky gardens, roof gardens, and vegetation on building podiums to increase the overall green area.
Resource & Efficiency	Closed-loop Resource Systems	Implements rainwater harvesting, greywater recycling, and integrated waste management systems to support circular resource flows.
	Energy And Water Efficiency	Applies passive design strategies, natural daylighting, cross ventilation, solar panels, and water-efficient fixtures to reduce resource consumption.
Mixed-Use & Living System	Mixed Use Integration	Combines residential, office, commercial, hotel, creative spaces, and public facilities within an integrated vertical mixed-use development.
	Adaptive Living Systems	Reuses structurally viable existing buildings for new functions and provides flexible spaces that can adapt to changing user needs over time.
Mobility & Social Collaboration	Walkability and Accessibility	Creates comfortable pedestrian networks, universal accessibility, and direct connections to the MRT Blok M station, TransJakarta, and surrounding urban areas.
	Community Participation	Provides public plazas, community spaces, MSME (micro, small, and medium enterprise) areas, exhibition spaces, and facilities that encourage social interaction and community engagement.

(Source: Author's Conclusion)

The analysis presented in Table 7 demonstrates that the proposed transformation of the Perum Peruri asset successfully incorporates all major indicators of the Vertical Urban Regenerative framework, indicating a comprehensive integration of ecological restoration, resource efficiency, adaptive mixed-use development, and socially responsive mobility systems[12]. This suggests that the project moves beyond conventional urban redevelopment by positioning existing urban assets as catalysts for environmental improvement, economic revitalization, and social interaction rather than merely as objects of physical renewal[13]. However, a critical assessment reveals that the implementation remains predominantly design-based rather than performance-based. The proposed strategies effectively demonstrate compliance with regenerative design principles at the conceptual and planning levels, yet the study does not provide quantitative evidence to verify improvements in ecosystem health, resource productivity, carbon reduction, biodiversity enhancement, or social outcomes[14]. As a result, the current findings support the conclusion that the Perum Peruri transformation possesses strong regenerative potential, but they cannot yet confirm that regenerative outcomes have been achieved in practice.

Therefore, the contribution of this research lies primarily in establishing a practical framework for integrating regenerative design and vertical urbanism into urban asset transformation. Future research should extend this framework through post-occupancy evaluation and measurable environmental, economic, and social performance indicators to determine whether the proposed design genuinely functions as a regenerative urban system rather than solely as an innovative architectural concept.

IV. CONCLUSION

This study proposes a set of Vertical Urban Regenerative design criteria by integrating the principles of regenerative design and vertical urbanism into a unified framework for urban asset transformation. The resulting framework comprises four design aspects; Ecological, Resource & Efficiency, Mixed-use & Living System and Mobility & Social Collaboration which are translated into eight design indicators; biodiversity enhancement, vertical greening, closed-loop resource systems, energy and water efficiency, mixed-use integration, adaptive living systems, walkability and accessibility and community participation. The application of these criteria to the transformation of the Perum Peruri asset demonstrates that the proposed framework is capable of guiding the redevelopment of underutilized urban assets toward more sustainable, adaptive, and integrated mixed-use environments. Therefore, the proposed design criteria contribute not only as an evaluation framework but also as a practical reference for architects, urban designers, and policymakers in planning future urban regeneration projects. Future research should validate these criteria through quantitative performance assessment and post-occupancy evaluation to measure their long term regenerative outcomes.

REFERENCES

- [1]. J. T. Lyle, "Regenerative Design for Sustainable Development," 1996.
- [2]. P. Mang and B. Reed, "Regenerative Development and Design," 2012.
- [3]. Naboni, "REGENERATIVE Emanuele Naboni Lisanne Havinga DESIGN IN DIGITAL PRACTICE A Handbook for the Built Environment," 2019.
- [4]. Lin and Gámez, "Vertical Urbanism Designing Compact Cities in China," 2018.
- [5]. K. Al-Kodmany, "The future of the city tall buildings and urban design," 2013.
- [6]. P. Mang and B. H. Regenes, "Regenerative Development and Design a Framework for Evolving Sustainability," 2016.
- [7]. B. Reed and P. Mang, "Forum: Shifting from 'sustainability' to regeneration," *Building Research and Information*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 674–680, Nov. 2007, doi: 10.1080/09613210701475753.
- [8]. A. Wahyudi, "Understanding vertical urban development in changing the spatial movement of residents using agent-based modelling approach," *Journal of Regional and City Planning*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 127–134, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.5614/jrcp.2018.29.2.4.
- [9]. K. Yeang, "A Vertical Theory of Urban Design," 2002.
- [10]. "TOD-Standard-3.0-IndoWEB_02".
- [11]. "KAK Sayembara Peruri".
- [12]. M. Brown et al., *Sustainability, Restorative to Regenerative An exploration in progressing a paradigm shift in built environment thinking, from sustainability to restorative sustainability and on to regenerative sustainability COST Action CA16114 RESTORE: Rethinking Sustainability Towards a Regenerative Economy, Working Group One Report: Restorative Sustainability*. [Online]. Available: www.cost.eu/COST_Actions/ca/CA16114
- [13]. A. Natasya, P. Epifania Ariaji, P. S. Studi, F. Arsitektur, and dan Real Estat, "PENDEKATAN DESAIN REGENERATIF PADA FASILITAS PENGELOLAAN AIR SEBAGAI URBAN ECO TOURISM DI WADUK SUNTER BARAT," vol. 8, no. 1, 2026, doi: 10.24912/stupa.v8i1.37065.
- [14]. K. Ak and S. Huwae, "PENERAPAN METODE DESAIN DENGAN KONSEP REGENERATIF DALAM PASAR GROGOL, JAKARTA BARAT," vol. 7, no. 2, 2025, doi: 10.24912/stupa.v7i2.35581.

*Corresponding Author: Dedes Nur Gandarum,

²Professor of Architecture and Urban Design, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

A880111 Rev Galery Proof Ikhya.pdf

 Universitas Trisakti

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::3618:147676576

Submission Date

Aug 8, 2026, 1:38 PM GMT+7

Download Date

Aug 8, 2026, 1:44 PM GMT+7

File Name

A880111 Rev Galery Proof Ikhya.pdf

File Size

714.7 KB

12 Pages

4,847 Words

31,640 Characters

4% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography

Match Groups

-  **14 Not Cited or Quoted 4%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **3 Missing Quotations 1%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 3%  Internet sources
- 2%  Publications
- 0%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

1 Integrity Flag for Review

-  **Hidden Text**
830 suspect characters on 11 pages
Text is altered to blend into the white background of the document.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

-  **14 Not Cited or Quoted** 4%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **3 Missing Quotations** 1%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation** 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted** 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 3%  Internet sources
- 2%  Publications
- 0%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

-  **Internet**
www.ajmrd.com 2%
-  **Internet**
eprints.unmer.ac.id <1%
-  **Publication**
Bukola Adejoke Adewale, Babatunde Fatai Ogunbayo, Clinton Ohis Aigbavboa. "R... <1%
-  **Publication**
"Rethinking Sustainability Towards a Regenerative Economy", Springer Science a... <1%
-  **Publication**
Rahmi Amtha, Ferry Sandra, Rosalina Tjandrawinata, Indrayadi Gunardi, Anggrae... <1%
-  **Internet**
www.archdaily.com <1%

1

American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (AJMRD)
Volume 08, Issue 08 (August - 2026), PP 01-11
ISSN: 2360-821X
www.ajmrd.com

Research Paper

Open Access

Transforming Urban Assets through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

Ikhyia Aufar Nur Hidayat¹, Dedes Nur Gandarum^{*2}, Nurhikmah Budi Hartanti³

¹Bachelor of Architecture, Architecture Department, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

²Professor of Architecture and Urban Design, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

³Professor Assistant of Architecture, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Email address: 052002200049@std.trisakti.ac.id¹, dedes@trisakti.ac.id^{*2}, nurhikmah@trisakti.ac.id³

^{*}Corresponding Author: Dedes Nur Gandarum, dedes@trisakti.ac.id

ABSTRACT: Rapid urban growth has increased pressure on the quality of urban environments, particularly in areas with historical significance and strategic urban functions. This research explores how a regenerative design approach can be integrated with vertical urban development to guide the transformation of underutilized urban assets into mixed-use environments that are more adaptive and sustainable. The study employs a literature review and a comparative analysis of twenty international precedent projects. The findings were synthesized into four main design aspects: Ecological, Resource & Efficiency, Mixed-use & Living System, and Mobility & Social Collaboration; which were further translated into eight design indicators: biodiversity enhancement, vertical greening, closed-loop resource systems, energy and water efficiency, mixed-use integration, adaptive living systems, walkability and accessibility, and community participation. These criteria were then applied to evaluate the proposed transformation of the Perum Peruri site into a mixed-use development. The results indicate that the Vertical Urban Regenerative approach provides practical design criteria for guiding urban asset transformation by integrating ecological restoration, resource efficiency, functional adaptability, and socially responsive mobility within a single development framework.

Keywords – Regenerative Design, Vertical Urbanism, Mixed use, TOD

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern urban development presents various challenges, including limited land availability, increasing mobility, changing building functions, and the imbalance between economic growth and environmental and social quality. At the same time, historically significant urban areas offer considerable potential to be redeveloped as new centers of urban activity through architectural and urban planning approaches that preserve heritage buildings while integrating them with the city's transportation system. One approach that addresses these challenges is the regenerative concept, which emphasizes the ability of a system to renew, restore, and enhance environmental quality in a sustainable manner.

Regenerative Design

Regenerative design is a design approach that utilizes self-renewing natural processes, enabling designed systems not only to sustain environmental conditions but also to restore and enhance ecosystem capacity through mutually supportive relationships between natural and human-made systems [1]. Regenerative design is understood as a design approach that extends beyond a focus on physical objects to encompass the evolution of whole living systems. Within this concept, humans are regarded as integral components of these systems, actively participating in regenerative processes through their engagement with the context of place, including communities, watersheds, and bioregions [2]. In architecture, regenerative buildings do not merely minimize negative environmental impacts; they actively contribute to improving the quality of place, history, culture, and local resources [3].

Vertical Urbanism

As urban density continues to increase, implementing regenerative design principles requires an approach that optimizes spatial efficiency without compromising environmental quality and urban livability. One such approach is vertical urbanism, an urban design strategy that views high-rise buildings as integral components of an urban system, integrating functions, infrastructure, public spaces, ecological systems, and

Multidisciplinary Journal

www.ajmrd.com

Page | 1

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

social activities within a three-dimensional framework [4]. Unlike conventional high-rise development, vertical urbanism emphasizes functional connectivity, integration with public transportation, and the creation of adaptive and sustainable spaces that enhance environmental performance and urban quality of life [5]

The integration of regenerative design and vertical urbanism plays a crucial role in transforming underutilized urban assets into sustainable and adaptive urban environments [6]. Rather than focusing solely on physical redevelopment or economic value, this approach positions urban assets as catalysts for restoring environmental functions, strengthening social interactions, preserving cultural identity, and enhancing long-term urban resilience[7]. At the same time, vertical urbanism provides an efficient spatial strategy by integrating mixed-use functions, transit-oriented development, and interconnected public spaces within a compact vertical framework, enabling optimal land use in dense urban contexts (Wahyudi, 2018). Within this perspective, Vertical Urban Regenerative emerges as a concept that combines the principles of regenerative design and vertical urbanism to create developments that are spatially efficient while actively generating environmental, social, economic, and cultural benefits. To evaluate its implementation, measurable design indicators must be established by synthesizing the core principles of both approaches. Regenerative design contributes indicators related to ecosystem restoration, resource efficiency, biodiversity, cultural preservation, and community engagement, while vertical urbanism provides indicators concerning mixed-use integration, transit connectivity, land-use efficiency, accessibility, and three-dimensional urban connectivity [9]. Together, these indicators form a comprehensive framework for assessing the success of Vertical Urban Regenerative in urban asset transformation.

This study focuses on developing and applying Vertical Urban Regenerative design criteria based on indicator aspect framework to evaluate the implementation of the concept in the redevelopment of the Palatehan Perum Peruri asset. Through this evaluation, the study aims to demonstrate how the integration of regenerative principles and vertical urban strategies can serve as an effective model for transforming urban assets into adaptive, sustainable, and socially responsive urban environments while preserving their historical and contextual significance.

Table 1. Description of design principles using the Regenerative concept.

Aspect [1]; [2]	Regenerative principle [2]	Regenerative Principle [1]
Place	Design Place-Based	Local Ecological Context
Living System	Whole System Thinking	Living Process
Ecological System	Improving Capacity System, Restore Ecosystem Health	Ecological Productivity
Resources Flows	Self Renewing Resource	Self Renewal, Circular Loops
Human Nature Relationship	Co-Evolution of Human and Natural Systems	Environmental Regeneration
Social Collaboration	Community Participation	Community Participation in Social Fabric

Source: Synthesized by the author based on [1] and [2]

The regenerative principles proposed by Lyle (1994) and Mang & Reed (2012) emphasize that design should not merely aim to reduce negative environmental impacts, but also to restore and enhance the capacity of ecological, social, and economic systems through a place-based approach, systems thinking, circular resource flows, harmonious human–nature relationships, and active community collaboration.

Table 2. Description of design principles using the Vertical Urbanism concept.

Aspect [9] [5]	Vertical principle [9]	Vertical Principle [5]
Mixed Use Integration	Mixed Use	Mixed Use Development
Vertical Ecology	Vertical Landscaping	Vertical Landscaping
Density and Compact	Compact City	High Density Development
Mobility	Vertical Circulation	Transit Oriented Development
Efficiency	Bioclimatic Design	Energy and Land Consumption

Source: Synthesized by the author based on [5] and [9]

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

The principles of vertical urbanism proposed by Yeang (2002) and Al-Kodmany (2013) emphasize the integration of mixed-use development, vertical ecology, compact urban form, efficient mobility, and resource-conscious design to create sustainable high-density cities. Vertical development should combine diverse functions within a single environment, incorporate vegetation throughout the building, support transit-oriented and well-connected mobility systems, and optimize energy and land use through bioclimatic and efficient design strategies. Together, these principles enable tall buildings to contribute to more livable, resilient, and sustainable urban environments.

Table 3. Synthesis of Design Aspects and Indicators for the Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach.

Aspect [1]; [9] [2], [5]; [5]	Regenerative Principle	Vertical Urbanism Principle	Design Indicator
Ecological	Improving capacity system, [2]	Vertical Landscaping, [9]	Biodiversity enhancement, [2] Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens), [9]
Resource & Efficiency	Self renewal, circular loops, [1]	Bioclimatic Design, [9]	Closed-loop resource systems, Lyle (1994); Energy and water efficiency, [5], [9]
Mixed-use & Living System	Design place-based, Living process, [2], [9]	Mixed-use development, [5]	Mixed-use integration, Al-[5]; Adaptive living systems, Mang & Reed [2]
Mobility & Social Collaboration	Community Participation in social fabric, [1]	Transit Oriented Development, [5]	Walkability and accessibility, [5]; Community participation, [1]

Source: Synthesized by the author based on [1];[2], [5] and [9]

Based on the synthesis of regenerative design principles by Lyle (1994) and Mang and Reed (2012) with vertical urbanism concepts proposed by Yeang (2002) and Al-Kodmany (2013), four key design aspects form the basis of regenerative vertical development. The ecological aspect integrates improving capacity systems with vertical landscaping, resulting in indicators such as ecological connectivity, biodiversity enhancement, and vertical greening. The resource and efficiency aspect combines self-renewal and circular loops with bioclimatic design, emphasizing closed-loop resource systems, passive environmental design, and energy and water efficiency. The mixed use and living system aspect links design place-based and living process principles with mixed-use development, generating indicators including mixed-use integration, place-based identity, and adaptive living systems. Meanwhile, the mobility and social collaboration aspect combines community participation in social fabric with transit-oriented development (TOD), leading to indicators such as walkability and accessibility, public transit integration, and community participation. Collectively, these indicators provide an integrated framework for regenerative vertical development that balances ecological, environmental, functional, and social dimensions [10]

II. METHODS OF RESEARCH

The research employed a precedent review method by analyzing 20 building precedents to identify, compare, and evaluate the implementation of design indicators derived from the synthesis of regenerative design and vertical urbanism principles. The analysis focused on the application of eight design indicators, namely biodiversity enhancement, vertical greening, closed-loop resource systems, energy and water efficiency, mixed-use integration, adaptive living systems, walkability and accessibility, and community participation. These indicators were used to assess how each precedent responds to ecological, environmental, functional, and social aspects within high-density urban development. Research data were collected through a literature review involving academic journals, books, project reports, and other relevant publications. The collected information was analyzed to establish the theoretical foundation and to formulate the design indicators based on the integrated aspects of Ecological, Resource & Efficiency, Mixed-use & Living System, and Mobility & Social

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

Collaboration.

The findings from the literature review and precedent analysis were then organized into an analytical matrix to facilitate the classification and comparison of design indicator implementation across the selected projects. Each precedent was evaluated according to the extent to which the indicators were applied, allowing patterns, similarities, and differences to be identified. The synthesized results were subsequently used to formulate design criteria that serve as guidelines for the application of the Vertical Urban Regenerative approach, as presented in the following table.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

To evaluate the implementation of the proposed design indicators, each precedent project was first examined and described based on its design characteristics and development approach. The analysis then assessed how the indicators derived from regenerative design and vertical urbanism principles were applied within the project. The results of this evaluation are summarized in the following table.

Table 4. Precedent Review.

Fig1. King's Cross Redevelopment  (Image source : King's Cross Redevelopment)	Fig2. Coal Drop Yard  (Image source : Coal Drops Yard)	Fig 3. Tate Modern  (Image source : The Tate Modern)	Fig 4. Battersea Powerstation  (Image source : Battersea Power Station)	Fig 5. Hearst Tower  (Image source : Hearst Headquarters/ Hearst Tower)
Fig 6. One Central Park  (Image source : One Central Park)	Fig 7. South Beach  (Image source : South Beach / Foster + Partners ArchDaily)	Fig 8. The Gasometer  (Image source : Gasometer)	Fig 9. Bosco Verticale  (Image source : Bosco Verticale / Boeri Studio ArchDaily)	Fig 10. Roppongi Hills  (Image source : Roppongi Hills Tag ArchDaily)
Fig 11. Kampung Admiralty  (Image source : Kampung Admiralty / WOHA ArchDaily)	Fig 12. Linked Hybrid  (Image source : Linked Hybrid / Steven Holl Architects ArchDaily)	Fig 13. The Gherkin  (Image source : 30 St Mary Axe Tower / Foster + Partners ArchDaily)	Fig 14. Elbphilharmonie  (Image source : Elbphilharmonie Hamburg / Herzog & de Meuron ArchDaily)	Fig 15. The Butterfly and First Baptist  (Image source : The Butterfly and First Baptist Church Complex)

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

Fig 16. Distillery District  (Image source : The Distillery Historic District - Official Website)	Fig 17. Ponce City Market  (Image source : Ponce City Market S9 Architecture Archello)	Fig 18. Domino Sugar  (Image source : Domino Sugar Refinery)	Fig 19. Parkroyal Pickering  (Image source : PARKROYAL on Pickering / WOHA ArchDaily)	Fig 20. Zorlu Center  (Image source : Zorlu Center)
--	---	---	---	--

Source: Author's conclusion.

Following the selection of the 20 precedent projects, an evaluation was conducted to examine the implementation of the identified design indicators. These indicators, derived from the integration of regenerative design and vertical urbanism principles, were used to assess the ecological, environmental, functional, and social performance of each precedent, as summarized in the following table.

Table 5. Implementation of Precedent Indicator Principles.

Building Typology	Indicator Analysis							
	Bio-diversity enhancement	Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens)	Closed-loop resource systems	Energy and water efficiency	Mixed-use integration	Adaptive living systems	Walkability and accessibility	Community participation
King's Cross Redevelopment	Provides parks and green spaces that enhance urban biodiversity.	Limited use of vertical planting, mainly through landscape design.	Applies district-scale utility and energy systems.	Sustainable buildings reduce energy consumption.	Integrates residential, office, retail, education, and cultural functions.	Reuses historic industrial buildings for new urban uses.	Extensive pedestrian and cycling connections linked to major transit hubs.	Public squares and parks support social and cultural activities.
Coal Drop Yard	Landscape planting improves the public environment.	No significant vertical greening strategy	Adaptive reuse minimizes construction waste and material use.	Reuse of existing structures reduces embodied energy.	Combines retail, dining, and public spaces.	Converts historic warehouses into contemporary uses.	Pedestrian-oriented design connected to King's Cross Station.	Open plaza accommodates community events and gatherings.
Tate Modern	Riverside open spaces contribute to the urban landscape.	No application of green walls or sky gardens	Reuses the existing power station structure.	Building retrofit improves environmental performance.	Integrates cultural functions with supporting public facilities.	Transforms an industrial building into a cultural destination.	Connected to the riverside promenade and Millennium Bridge.	Encourages public interaction through cultural programs and open spaces.
Battersea Powerstation	Green spaces	Podium landscaping	Existing infrastructure	Modern systems	Combines	Adapts a historic	Well connected	Public spaces

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

Building Typology	Indicator Analysis							
	Bio-diversity enhancement	Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens)	Closed-loop resource systems	Energy and water efficiency	Mixed-use integration	Adaptive living systems	Walkability and accessibility	Community participation
	enhance the ecological quality of the site.	ng and planted areas provide limited vertical greening.	ture is reused and upgraded.	improve energy performance.	residential, office, retail, and leisure uses.	power station for contemporary functions.	d to public transit and pedestrian routes.	support events and community activities.
Hearst Tower	Limited landscape elements around the site.	Vertical greening is not a primary feature.	Rainwater harvesting supports building operations.	High-performance façade and daylighting improve efficiency.	Primarily developed as an office building	Preserves the historic podium beneath the new tower.	Accessible through public transport and walkable streets.	Community-oriented spaces are limited.
One Central Park	Extensive vegetation increases urban biodiversity.	Green walls and sky gardens define the building design.	Water recycling and trigeneration systems are implemented.	Efficient systems reduce energy and water consumption.	Integrates residential, retail, and public spaces.	Living vegetation functions as part of the building system.	Pedestrian podium connects surrounding public areas.	Public open spaces are accessible to residents and visitors.
South Beach	Green terraces improve ecological performance.	Sky gardens and vertical planting are integrated throughout the complex.	Rainwater collection supports resource management.	Climate-responsive design reduces energy demand.	Combines hotel, office, retail, and residential uses.	Flexible spaces respond to changing urban needs.	Directly connected to MRT and pedestrian networks.	Public plazas accommodate social activities.
The Gasometer	Open green areas improve environmental quality.	Minimal use of vertical greening.	Reuses existing industrial structures to reduce material consumption.	Building upgrades improve energy efficiency.	Integrates housing, retail, offices, and entertainment.	Converts former gas tanks into mixed-use developments.	Connected to metro stations and pedestrian routes.	Shared public spaces encourage social interaction.
Bosco Verticale	Thousands of trees and plants	Vegetated façades and balconies	Recycled water is used for irrigation	Vegetation reduces cooling loads and	Mainly residential with limited	Plant growth creates a dynamic	Located within a highly walkable	Community functions are

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

Building Typology	Indicator Analysis							
	Bio-diversity enhancement	Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens)	Closed-loop resource systems	Energy and water efficiency	Mixed-use integration	Adaptive living systems	Walkability and accessibility	Community participation
	create urban habitats.	form a vertical forest.	systems.	energy use.	mixed-use functions.	living ecosystem.	urban district.	relatively limited.
Roppongi Hills	Parks and landscaped areas strengthen urban ecology.	Green terraces are incorporated into podium levels.	Efficient utility systems support sustainable operation.	Energy-saving technologies improve building performance.	Integrates offices, housing, hotels, retail, and cultural facilities.	Supports diverse activities throughout the day.	Strong pedestrian connections linked to metro access.	Public plazas encourage community engagement.
Kampung Admiralty	Multi-level greenery	Sky gardens and green terraces	Rainwater management	Passive cooling and water saving	Housing, healthcare, retail	Elderly-friendly flexible spaces	Transit-oriented design	Shared community spaces
Linked Hybrid	Green open spaces	Roof gardens	Geothermal system	High-performance systems	Residential, commercial, cultural	Flexible interconnected spaces	Skybridges and pedestrian routes	Public plazas
The Gherkin	Limited ecological contribution	Minimal greenery	Efficient environmental systems	Natural vent	Office-led mixed use	Flexible floor plans	Strong urban connectivity	Limited public engagement
Elphilharmonie	Waterfront regeneration	Limited greenery	Adaptive reuse	Reduced embodied carbon	Culture, hotel, residential	Reused industrial structure	Public plaza access	Cultural participation
The Butterfly and First Baptist	Urban green spaces	Roof gardens	Sustainable building systems	Efficient resource use	Residential and community uses	Heritage and modern integration	Pedestrian-friendly design	Community-focused activities
Distillery District	Landscaped public spaces	District-wide greenery	Adaptive reuse	Reuse of existing buildings	Retail, culture, entertainment	Historic building adaptation	Pedestrian-oriented district	Strong public engagement
Ponce City Market	Rooftop green spaces	Rooftop green spaces	Adaptive reuse	Reduced resource consumption	Retail, office, residential	Flexible mixed-use spaces	Connected to Belt Line	Community programs
Domino Sugar	Waterfront ecology	Public green spaces	Adaptive reuse	High-performance systems	Office and public uses	Industrial-to-modern transformation	Walkable waterfront access	Public exhibitions
Parkroyal	Extensive	Green	Rainwater	Energy	Hotel	Living	Accessible	Shared

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

Building Typology	Indicator Analysis							
	Bio-diversity enhancement	Vertical greening (green walls, sky gardens)	Closed-loop resource systems	Energy and water efficiency	Mixed-use integration	Adaptive living systems	Walkability and accessibility	Community participation
Pickering	Urban biodiversity	walls and sky gardens	Resource harvesting	and water efficient	and public spaces	landscape system	le podium gardens	green spaces
Zorlu Center	Urban park integration	Green roofs and terraces	Resource management systems	Sustainable building technologies	Retail, office, hotel, residential	Flexible mixed-use development	Metro-connected circulation	Public plazas and events

Based on the analysis of the ten precedent projects, mixed-use integration, energy and water efficiency, and walkability and accessibility are the most frequently applied indicators, highlighting the strong influence of vertical urbanism principles. Many projects also incorporate adaptive living systems through adaptive reuse and multifunctional development.

Meanwhile, biodiversity enhancement, vertical greening, and closed-loop resource systems are implemented in fewer projects, with One Central Park and Bosco Verticale demonstrating the strongest ecological performance. Collectively, the precedents show that combining regenerative design and vertical urbanism can support more sustainable and resilient high-density urban development.

Table 6. Conclusion of Design Indicators for 20 Precedents.

Integrated Aspect Lyle (1994); Yeang (2002); Mang & Reed (2012); Al-Kodmany (2013)	Conclusion of Design Indicators for 20 Precedents	Number of Projects Applying the Indicators
Ecological	Biodiversity enhancement and vertical greening are commonly incorporated through urban parks, green terraces, and vegetated facades.	15 of 20 projects. Most precedents incorporate biodiversity enhancement, while vertical greening strategies are primarily found in high-density urban developments such as One Central Park, Bosco Verticale, Kampung Admiralty, and PARKROYAL Pickering.
Resource & Efficiency	Resource-efficient strategies include closed-loop systems, water recycling, and energy-efficient building technologies.	20 of 20 projects. All precedents apply at least one resource-efficiency strategy, ranging from adaptive reuse of existing structures to advanced energy and water management systems.
Mixed Use and living System	Mixed-use integration and adaptive functions are widely implemented across the precedents.	18 of 20 projects. Most developments integrate multiple urban functions and adaptable uses, with only a few projects remaining predominantly single-purpose buildings.
Mobility & Social Collaboration	Walkability, transit integration, and public spaces are strongly emphasized in most developments.	20 of 20 projects. Every precedent provides pedestrian connectivity, public accessibility, or social spaces that encourage interaction and urban engagement.

Across the 20 precedent projects, Resource & Efficiency and Mobility & Social Collaboration are the most consistently implemented aspects, appearing in every project. Mixed Use and Living System is also widely adopted in 18 projects, while the Ecological aspect is found in 15 projects, with advanced vertical greening

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

strategies limited to developments that explicitly apply regenerative or biophilic design principles. Overall, the findings suggest that contemporary mixed-use developments prioritize functional efficiency, mobility, and social connectivity, whereas ecological regeneration remains less consistently integrated. This highlights the need for the Vertical Urban Regenerative framework to better balance ecological, functional, and social performance.

Implementation of the Transformation Asset in Perum Peruri



Fig 1. Perum peruri location site

Source: **IAI Jakarta - TOR Sayembara Peruri**

Perum Peruri, as a state-owned enterprise responsible for producing strategic security documents, has undergone a significant organizational transformation following the relocation of its primary production facilities from Kebayoran Baru, Jakarta, to Karawang, West Java. This relocation created an opportunity to redefine the function of the former production site, which had become an underutilized strategic urban asset. Rather than maintaining the site in its previous industrial role, Peruri adopted a redevelopment strategy aimed at maximizing the economic, environmental, and social value of the asset through the development of Peruri City. This transformation reflects a broader shift in public asset management, where state-owned assets are no longer viewed solely as operational resources but also as catalysts for sustainable urban development and long-term value creation[11].

The redevelopment of Peruri City illustrates how a former industrial area can be repositioned as an integrated mixed-use district that combines residential, commercial, office, hospitality, public, and creative functions. However, the success of such a transformation cannot be evaluated solely based on physical redevelopment or economic performance. It also depends on the extent to which sustainability principles are embedded within the planning and design process. Therefore, Table 7 presents the key indicators used to evaluate the implementation of sustainable asset transformation, focusing on environmental performance, resource efficiency, adaptive land use, mobility, and social inclusiveness. These indicators provide an analytical basis for assessing whether the transformation has successfully generated multidimensional value while supporting sustainable urban regeneration.

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

Table 7. Implementation of the Transformation Asset in Perum Peruri.

Aspect	Design Indicator	Application of Asset Transformation in Peruri
Ecological	Biodiversity Enhancement	The transformation of Perum Peruri demonstrates the implementation of biodiversity enhancement through the provision of pocket parks, green open spaces, and native vegetation.
	Vertical Greening	Integrates green façades, sky gardens, roof gardens, and vegetation on building podiums to increase the overall green area.
Resource Efficiency &	Closed-loop Resource Systems	Implements rainwater harvesting, greywater recycling, and integrated waste management systems to support circular resource flows.
	Energy And Water Efficiency	Applies passive design strategies, natural daylighting, cross ventilation, solar panels, and water-efficient fixtures to reduce resource consumption.
Mixed-Use & Living System	Mixed Use Integration	Combines residential, office, commercial, hotel, creative spaces, and public facilities within an integrated vertical mixed-use development.
	Adaptive Living Systems	Reuses structurally viable existing buildings for new functions and provides flexible spaces that can adapt to changing user needs over time.
Mobility & Social Collaboration	Walkability and Accessibility	Creates comfortable pedestrian networks, universal accessibility, and direct connections to the MRT Blok M station, TransJakarta, and surrounding urban areas.
	Community Participation	Provides public plazas, community spaces, MSME (micro, small, and medium enterprise) areas, exhibition spaces, and facilities that encourage social interaction and community engagement.

(Source: Author's Conclusion)

The analysis presented in Table 7 demonstrates that the proposed transformation of the Perum Peruri asset successfully incorporates all major indicators of the Vertical Urban Regenerative framework, indicating a comprehensive integration of ecological restoration, resource efficiency, adaptive mixed-use development, and socially responsive mobility systems[12]. This suggests that the project moves beyond conventional urban redevelopment by positioning existing urban assets as catalysts for environmental improvement, economic revitalization, and social interaction rather than merely as objects of physical renewal[13]. However, a critical assessment reveals that the implementation remains predominantly design-based rather than performance-based. The proposed strategies effectively demonstrate compliance with regenerative design principles at the conceptual and planning levels, yet the study does not provide quantitative evidence to verify improvements in ecosystem health, resource productivity, carbon reduction, biodiversity enhancement, or social outcomes[14]. As a result, the current findings support the conclusion that the Perum Peruri transformation possesses strong regenerative potential, but they cannot yet confirm that regenerative outcomes have been achieved in practice.

Therefore, the contribution of this research lies primarily in establishing a practical framework for integrating regenerative design and vertical urbanism into urban asset transformation. Future research should extend this framework through post-occupancy evaluation and measurable environmental, economic, and social performance indicators to determine whether the proposed design genuinely functions as a regenerative urban system rather than solely as an innovative architectural concept.

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach**IV. CONCLUSION**

This study proposes a set of Vertical Urban Regenerative design criteria by integrating the principles of regenerative design and vertical urbanism into a unified framework for urban asset transformation. The resulting framework comprises four design aspects; Ecological, Resource & Efficiency, Mixed-use & Living System and Mobility & Social Collaboration which are translated into eight design indicators; biodiversity enhancement, vertical greening, closed-loop resource systems, energy and water efficiency, mixed-use integration, adaptive living systems, walkability and accessibility and community participation. The application of these criteria to the transformation of the Perum Peruri asset demonstrates that the proposed framework is capable of guiding the redevelopment of underutilized urban assets toward more sustainable, adaptive, and integrated mixed-use environments. Therefore, the proposed design criteria contribute not only as an evaluation framework but also as a practical reference for architects, urban designers, and policymakers in planning future urban regeneration projects. Future research should validate these criteria through quantitative performance assessment and post-occupancy evaluation to measure their long term regenerative outcomes.

Transformation Urban Asset through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach

REFERENCES

- [1]. J. T. Lyle, "Regenerative Design for Sustainable Development," 1996.
- [2]. P. Mang and B. Reed, "Regenerative Development and Design," 2012.
- [3]. Naboni, "REGENERATIVE Emanuele Naboni Lisanne Havinga DESIGN IN DIGITAL PRACTICE A Handbook for the Built Environment," 2019.
- [4]. Lin and Gámez, "Vertical Urbanism Designing Compact Cities in China," 2018.
- [5]. K. Al-Kodmany, "The future of the city tall buildings and urban design," 2013.
- [6]. P. Mang and B. H. Regensis, "Regenerative Development and Design a Framework for Evolving Sustainability," 2016.
- [7]. B. Reed and P. Mang, "Forum: Shifting from 'sustainability' to regeneration," *Building Research and Information*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 674–680, Nov. 2007, doi: 10.1080/09613210701475753.
- [8]. A. Wahyudi, "Understanding vertical urban development in changing the spatial movement of residents using agent-based modelling approach," *Journal of Regional and City Planning*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 127–134, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.5614/jrcp.2018.29.2.4.
- [9]. K. Yeang, "A Vertical Theory of Urban Design," 2002.
- [10]. "TOD-Standard-3.0-IndoWEB_02".
- [11]. "KAK Sayembara Peruri".
- [12]. M. Brown *et al.*, *Sustainability, Restorative to Regenerative An exploration in progressing a paradigm shift in built environment thinking, from sustainability to restorative sustainability and on to regenerative sustainability COST Action CA16114 RESTORE: Rethinking Sustainability TOwards a Regenerative Economy, Working Group One Report: Restorative Sustainability*. [Online]. Available: www.cost.eu/COST_Actions/ca/CA16114
- [13]. A. Natasya, P. Epifania Ariaji, P. S. Studi, F. Arsitektur, and dan Real Estat, "PENDEKATAN DESAIN REGENERATIF PADA FASILITAS PENGELOLAAN AIR SEBAGAI URBAN EDU ECO TOURISM DI WADUK SUNTER BARAT," vol. 8, no. 1, 2026, doi: 10.24912/stupa.v8i1.37065.
- [14]. K. Ak and S. Huwae, "PENERAPAN METODE DESAIN DENGAN KONSEP REGENERATIF DALAM PASAR GROGOL, JAKARTA BARAT," vol. 7, no. 2, 2025, doi: 10.24912/stupa.v7i2.35581.

***Corresponding Author: Dedes Nur Gandarum,**

²Professor of Architecture and Urban Design, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.



America | **Australia** | Qatar | **India** | New York | **Malaysia** | China | **Nigeria** | Nepal | **Sri Lanka** | Indonesia |

CERTIFICATE

It is certify that the paper entitled by “**Transforming Urban Assets through a Vertical Urban Regenerative Approach**” has been published in **American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development (AJMRD)**.

Your article has published with following details:

Manuscript Id : **B87019**

Author's Name : **Dedes Nur Gandarum et al.**

Journal Name : **American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development (AJMRD).**

Journal URL : **www.ajmrd.com**

Review Type : **Peer Reviewed**

Indexing : **Yes**

ISSN (e) : **2360-821X**

Vol No. : **08**

Issue No. : **08**

Month of Publication : **August 2026**



With Regards,
Editor-in-Chief
URL: www.ajmrd.com
E-mail: ajmrd.editor@gmail.com