



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/dijemss.v5i6>

Received: 24 August 2024, Revised: 28 August 2024, Publish: 2 September 2024

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## The Influence of Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, on Employee Attachment of *Creative Agency Companies* in Jakarta: The Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment

Putri Larasati<sup>1</sup>, Justine Tanuwijaya<sup>2\*</sup>, Andreas Wahyu Gunawan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Trisakti, [putrilarasati1390@gmail.com](mailto:putrilarasati1390@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Trisakti, [justine@trisakti.ac.id](mailto:justine@trisakti.ac.id)

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Trisakti, [andreaswg@trisakti.ac.id](mailto:andreaswg@trisakti.ac.id)

Corresponding Author: [justine@trisakti.ac.id](mailto:justine@trisakti.ac.id)

**Abstract:** The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency on Organizational Commitment; to determine the effect of Organizational Commitment on Employee Engagement; and to determine Flexibility and Trust, Transparency on Employee Engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment. This research uses a quantitative approach. The sample used is employees of agency companies in Jakarta. Researchers used data testing methods in this study including three main steps, namely Validity Test, Reliability Test and Model Feasibility Test. And the analysis method used in this study uses Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis with software such as AMOS. The results of the study state that Flexibility and Trust have a positive influence on Employee Engagement, Flexibility and Trust have a positive influence on Organizational Commitment, Transparency has no positive effect on Employee engagement, Transparency has a positive effect on Organizational Commitment, Organizational Commitment has a positive effect on Employee engagement, Flexibility and Trust has a positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment, Transparency has no positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment.

**Keywords:** Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, Mediation Role Organizational Commitment

### INTRODUCTION

*Flexibility and trust* are interconnected concepts referring to a work environment that offers flexibility and fosters trust. Employees who can manage their own schedules and determine how they accomplish their tasks are considered trusted. When employees feel trusted to carry out their responsibilities, they are more inclined to take risks, which can lead to increased innovation and problem-solving. This sense of trust and autonomy often boosts employee engagement, as they perceive the company as supportive and appreciate being valued (Stranzl et al., 2024).

When *Flexibility and Trust* have an effect and produce a positive result, there must be a measurement of *Transparency*. According to Rawlins (2008) that Transparency in organizations is a deliberate effort to open all legally releasable information to the public including; providing important information, recognizing their space for improvement or introspection, and being open to feedback from the public. Being transparent means being open to engaging in critical communication, both positive and negative (Lee *et al.*, 2023; Morsing, 2017).

*Employee engagement* is regarded as a key method for achieving sustainable development within an organization (Alam *et al.*, 2021). It is viewed as a crucial element for the success of an organization (Choi *et al.*, 2015; Iddagoda, Dissanayake, and Bagienska, 2023). Research indicates that employee engagement impacts organizational productivity (Sungu *et al.*, 2019) and plays a vital role in enhancing financial performance (Baig *et al.*, 2019). Employees who are engaged and maintain a strong connection with the organization are less likely to leave or resign (Yue, 2021). Moreover, employees who actively contribute to improving outcomes and perform their jobs more effectively can boost the company's customer satisfaction (Schneider *et al.*, 2018; Iddagoda, Dissanayake, and Bagienska, 2023). Employees who are committed tend to exhibit high levels of energy, mental resilience, enthusiasm, pride, deep focus on their tasks, and dedication (Rabiul *et al.*, 2022).

In public research, commitment is often conceptualized as part of the *employee-organization relationship* construct which is defined as "the extent to which the organization and its employees trust each other, agree on who has legitimate power to influence and feel satisfaction with each employee's commitment to the other" (Men and Stacks, 2014, p.307).

Meyer and Allen (1991) define organizational commitment as a distinct psychological concept that centers on an employee's personal emotional connection with the organization. This concept is assessed using six indicators, such as the employees' feelings toward the organization, their emotional attachment, their willingness to remain with the organization, and their perception of organizational problems as shared or personal issues. Essentially, it reflects how deeply an employee feels and identifies with the organization.

By looking at the phenomena listed above, *Organizational Commitment* can function as a mediator for *Flexibility and Trust, Transparency*. Based on the description above, the topic of this research is entitled "The Effect of Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, on Employee Attachment to Creative Agency Companies in Jakarta: The Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment"

## METHOD

The research method used is to use quantitative methods, namely by using calculations that are described. This study uses *cross sectional* data, namely data collected from several objects in a certain period. Independent variables in this study, namely *Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency* on *Organizational Commitment* as a mediating variable on *Employee Engagement* as the dependent variable. Later the indicator will be used as an illustration in making a list of questions or statements in the form of a questionnaire. To measure this research, all variables use a scale that has been tested in previous researchers. *Flexibility and Trust* from research conducted by Kafetzopoulos, Psomas and Bouranta (2022); and Yun Lee, Jing Lee, Chau and Deng (2024). *Transparency* from research conducted by Stofberg, Bussin and Mbaso (2022). *Transformational Leadership, Organizational Commitment and Employee Engagement* from research conducted by Sudha, Azam and Jacqueline (2023).

The data collection process was carried out by distributing questionnaires using *Google Forms* to employees of agency companies in Jakarta. Using non-probability sampling method. Researchers used data testing methods in this study including three main steps: Validity Test, Reliability Test and Model Feasibility Test. As well as the analysis method used in this study using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis with software such as

AMOS , This allows researchers to link theory with existing data, thus allowing for strong empirical testing of research hypotheses.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This research involves collecting data from employees in 3 Creative Agency companies in Indonesia. Respondents in this study totaled 124 people randomly selected from various 3 Creative Agency companies.

### Results of *Flexibility and Trust* Analysis for Employees at *Creative Agency*

Descriptive analysis shows a description and descriptive of the dependent and independent variables.

**Table 1. *Flexibility and Trust* Descriptive Statistics**

Dimensions	Indicator	n	Mean
<i>Flexibility, trust</i>	1. The management level of the company tends to be flat	123	3,3
	2. Resource capability in the company is good	123	4,28
	3. Employees can respond quickly to client requests	123	4,26
	4. High flexibility of company management	123	4,08
	5. Project-related decisions are made by the team	123	4,29
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	<b>4,04</b>
<i>Strategic Flexibility</i>	6. I can easily change the current plan	123	3,84
	7. I can control changes in strategy	123	4,17
	8. I can proactively develop new projects	123	4,24
	9. I am ready to react in a modified way that is feasible for the company.	123	4,33
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	4,15
<i>Competence trust among team members</i>	10. I believe that my teammates are doing a very good job	123	4,25
	11. Most of my teammates are competent in their field of expertise	123	4,39
	12. I feel comfortable that my team members are professionals for the team tasks.	123	4,33
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	4,32
<i>Goodwill trust among team members</i>	13. My teammates care deeply about the well-being of others	123	4,23
	14. My teammates are honest in their dealings with others	123	4,3
	15. I feel that I will be able to rely on team members to help if I cannot handle the task.	123	4,18
	<b>Total Mean</b>		4,24

Source: Data processed

The table above displays descriptive statistics for the *Flexibility and Trust (FNT)* variable which is measured through four modified dimensions: *Flexibility in the Organization*, *Strategic flexibility*, *competence trust among team members* and *goodwill trust among team members*.

In the *Flexibility and Trust* dimension, the average value on these five indicators is 4.04, this shows that employees feel a level of flexibility and trust without any obstacles in

*adapting to environmental changes.* In the *Strategic flexibility* dimension with a total average value (*mean*) of 4.15. This shows that the company can cope with frequent changes in the business environment because the company makes relevant strategies to maintain a competitive advantage. In the *Competence trust among team members* dimension, the average value (*mean*) is 4.32. This shows that employees have enough competence and trust in their teammates. The last dimension is *Goodwill trust among team members* with a total average value (*mean*) of 4.24. This shows that the level of mutual care and reliance on each other is quite good.

Overall, the results of these descriptive statistics show that employees have a high level of flexibility and trust in the company and coworkers. Able to adapt to changes in the business environment that can change over time. This shows that there is a positive and supportive organizational environment.

### Results of the *Transparency Analysis on Employees in the Creative Agency Industry*

**Table 2. *Transparency* Descriptive Statistics**

<b>Dimensions</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Mean</b>
<i>Pay Transparency</i>	1. I know my job level	123	4,10
	2. My employer provided some information on the factors considered when the salary range was determined.	123	4,12
	3. My employer provided some information on how to interpret salary relative to the salary range.	123	4,26
	4. My employer provides information on how much is spent on annual salary increases	123	4
	5. My employer provides information about the actual salary paid.	123	4,25
	6. My boss divides the salaries of all employees	123	4,46
<b>Total Mean</b>			<b>4,2</b>

*Source: Data processed*

The table above displays descriptive statistics for the *Transparency* variable which is measured only through one dimension: *Pay Transparency*. the overall average (*mean*) value is 4.2. This shows that supervisors are transparent in providing information related to the salaries given to their employees and in accordance with the *values of* their employees. So that it has a fairly good level of transparency, so there are aspects that need to be considered by the employer.

### Results of *Organizational Commitment Analysis on Employees in the Creative Agency Industry*

**Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of *Organizational Commitment***

<b>Dimensions</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Mean</b>
<i>Affective Commitment</i>	1. I am excited to continue working with this organization in the future.	123	4,13
	2. I feel a close personal connection with the organization.	123	4,16
	3. I can easily develop close relationships with other organizations as I did with this organization.	123	4,19

	4.	I honestly feel that I am to blame for the problems faced in the Company.	123	3,21
	<b>Total Mean</b>		123	3,92
<i>Continuance Commitment</i>	5.	Even if I wanted to, it would be difficult for me to leave my current company	123	3,96
	6.	I left an organization that required me to incur large personal costs	123	4,08
	7.	I would leave the organization if I had a lot of stress in my life.	123	4,15
	<b>Total Mean</b>			4,06
<i>Normative Commitment</i>	8.	I tend not to change jobs too often	123	4,03
	9.	I think staying in an organization is very important	123	4,37
	10.	I think it would be better if employees work for one company during their career.	123	4,11
	11.	Even if there is another job, I don't want to quit this job.	123	4,04
	<b>Total Mean</b>		123	4,14

Source: Data processed

The descriptive statistical results above show that employees have a level of attachment to the organization because of moral values with all three affective indicators, ongoing commitment and normative at a fairly good level. This shows that employees feel a sense of closeness and attachment to the company.

### Results of *Employee Engagement Analaisis* on Employees in the *Creative Agency Industry*

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of *Employee Engagement*

<b>Dimensions</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Mean</b>	
<i>Physical engagement</i>	1.	I put everything I have into my work	123	4,16
	2.	I am a person who perseveres in my work	123	4,37
	<b>Total Mean</b>		123	4,26
<i>Cognitive engagement</i>	3.	I take pride in the work I do	123	4,6
	4.	I am highly motivated by the work I am doing.	123	4,29
	5.	I really like the job I've taken	123	4,25
	<b>Total Mean</b>		123	4,34
	<i>Emotional engagement</i>	6.	I am satisfied with my work	123

7. I can concentrate while at work	123	4,41
<b>Total Mean</b>		4,4

Source: Data processed

The results of these descriptive statistics show that employees have a high level of attachment to their work by working physically, mentally and emotionally. Employees have a sense of enthusiasm at work, this shows that there is a positive indication of activity and productivity in the workplace.

**Data Analysis**

**Hypothesis Test Results**

**Table 5. Research Hypothesis Testing**

	Hypothesis	Estimate	C.R.	P	Summary
H1	Flexibility and Trust affect Employee engagement	0.443	3.533	0.000**	Hypothesis supported
H2	Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Organizational Commitment	0.514	4.742	0.000**	Hypothesis supported
H3	Tranparancy has a positive effect on Employee engagement	0.046	0.325	0.162	Hypothesis not supported
H4	Tranparancy affects Organizational Commitment	0.192	1.509	0.066*	Hypothesis supported
H5	Organizational Commitment affects Employee engagement	0.305	2.958	0.001**	Hypothesis supported
H6	Organizational Commitment mediates the positive effect of Flexibility and Trust b on Employee Engagement	0.099	1.460	0.072*	Hypothesis supported
H7	Organizational Commitment mediates the positive effect of Transparency on Employee Engagement.	0.058	1.146	0.126	Hypothesis not supported

Source : data processed

**Results of validity and reliability testing of Flexibiioity and Trust Variables**

Validity testing for the *Flexibility and trust* dimension which consists of 5 indicators resulted in the conclusion that only three indicators are valid because they produce an outer loading value > 0.5. A total of 2 indicators must be removed because they have an outer loading value <0.5, namely the FT11 and FT13 indicators. The AVE value of  $0.511 = 5 > 0.5$  shows that there is a proven relationship between the three indicators that make up the flexibility and trust dimension. The reliability test results are shown with a Cronbach alpha value of 0.757, which means it is proven that the three indicators of the flexibility and trust dimension are consistent.

**Table 6. Validity and Reliability Testing of Flexibility and Trust Variables**

	Item	Outer loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
<i>Flexibility and trust</i>	FNT11	0.132		
	FNT12	0.669		
	FNT13	0.474		
	FNT14	0.699		
	FNT15	0.729		
<i>Improvements</i>				

	FNT12	0.624		
	FNT14	0.741	0.515	0.757
	FNT15	0.771		
<i>Strategic Flexibility</i>	FNT21	0.648		
	FNT22	0.784	0.419	
	FNT23	0.508		
	FNT24	0.620		
<i>Improvements</i>	FNT21	0.664		
	FNT22	0.814	0.508	0,758
	FNT24	0.647		
<i>Competence trust among team members</i>	FNT31	0.702		
	FNT32	0.781	0.509	0,755
	FNT33	0.650		
<i>Goodwill trust among team members</i>	FNT41	0.799		
	FNT42	0.771	0.499	
	FNT43	0.513		
<i>Improvements</i>	FNT41	0.855	0.701	0.824
	FNT42	0.820		

Source : data processed

### The results of testing the validity and reliability of the *Transparency Variable*

The results of processing validity testing for the *Transparency* variable which consists of 6 indicators resulted in the conclusion that only four indicators were proven to be valid and reliable, namely each indicator TR2, TR3, TR4 and TR5 which produced an outer loading value > 0.5, an AVE value of 0.527 > 0.5 and a Cronbach alpha value of 0.815 > 0.7. Two other indicators, namely TR1 and TR6, must be eliminated because they do not meet the validity criteria, namely the outer loading value < 0.5 and cause the AVE value < 0.5. For more details can be seen in table 4.

Table 7. Validity and Reliability Testing of *Transparency Variables*

Dimensions	Item	Outer Loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
	TR1	0.533		
	TR2	0.639		
	TR3	0.636		
	TR4	0.792		
	TR5	0.650		
	TR6	0.296		
	TR1	0.533		
Remedy 1	TR1	0.540		
	TR2	0.648		
	TR3	0.619	0.439	
	TR4	0.816		
	TR5	0.658		
Improvement 2	TR2	0.688		
	TR3	0.682	0.527	0.815
	TR4	0.830		
	TR5	0.692		

Source : data processed

### The results of testing the validity and reliability of the *Organizational Commitment Variable*

The results of processing validity testing for *Organizational Commitment* variables consisting of three dimensions, namely affective commitment, continuance commitment and

normative commitment can be seen in table 2. Because the organizaional commitment variable is a variable with second order, validity and reliability testing is carried out on these three dimensions. Information from the table shows:

- a. Validity testing for the affectie commitment dimension which consists of 4 indicators results in the conclusion that only three indicators are valid and reliable as can be seen from the outer loading value > 0.5, the AVE value of 0.511 > 0.5 and the composite reliability value of 0.757 > 0.7. The three indicators in question are OC11, OC12 and OC14, one indicator, OC13, must be removed because it produces an AVE < 0.5.
- b. Validity testing for the continuance commitment dimension with three measurement indicators shows that all indicators are proven valid because they produce an outer loading value > 0.5. The three indicators are also interconnected in forming the continuance commitment dimension as can be seen from the AVE value of 0.568 > 0.5. The three indicators are also reliable as shown by the composite reliability value of 0.797 > 0.7,
- c. Validity testing for the *Normative Commitment* dimension shows that of the 4 indicators, only 3 indicators are valid because they produce an outer loading value > 0.5 while one indicator, OC31, must be removed because it has an outer loading < 0.5. The results of discriminant validity testing resulted in an AVE value of 0.640 > 0.5, which means that it is proven that the indicators are interconnected in forming the dimensions of the normative commiemnat. Reliability testing results in a Cronbach alpha value of 0.841, which means it is proven that the three indicators that make up the normative commitment dimension are consistent (reliable).

**Table 8. Validity and Reliability Testing of *Organizational Commitment* Variables**

Variables	Item	Outer Loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
<i>Dimensions Affective Commitment</i>	OC11	0.685	0.440	
	OC12	0.758		
	OC13	0.559		
	OC14	0.636		
<i>Improvements</i>				
	OC11	0.757	0.511	0.757
	OC12	0.762		
	OC14	0.616		
<i>Dimensions Continuance Commitment</i>	OC21	0.737	0.568	0,797
	OC22	0.823		
	OC23	0.694		
<i>Dimensions Normative Commitment</i>	OC31	0.473	0.640	0.841
	OC32	0.698		
	OC33	0.726		
	OC34	0.881		
<i>Improvements</i>				
	OC32	0.722	0.640	0.841
	OC33	0.779		
	OC34	0.890		

Source: data processed

**The results of testing the validity and reliability of the *Employee Engagement* variable**

The results of processing validity testing for *employee engagement* variables consisting of 9 indicators resulted in the conclusion that only five indicators were proven valid and reliable, namely indicators EE1, EE2, EE4, EE5 and EE7 which produced fouter loading > 0.5. Produces an AVE of 0.522 > 0.5 and produces a composite reliability of 0.844 > 0.7. A total of 4 indicators must be eliminated because they do not meet the provisions of outer loading, AVE and composite reliability, namely indicators EE3, EE6, EE8 and EE9. For more details can be seen in table 2.

**Table 9. Validity and Reliability Testing of *Employee Engagement* Variables**

Variables	Item	Outer Loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
<i>Employee Engagement</i>	EE1	0.590	0.416	
	EE2	0.728		
	EE3	0.487		
	EE4	0.611		
	EE5	0.741		
	EE6	0.580		
	EE7	0.636		
	EE8	0.663		
	EE9	0.550		
<b>Improvement 1</b>				
<i>Employee Engagement</i>	EE1	0.597	0.416	
	EE2	0.728		
	EE4	0.661		
	EE5	0.758		
	EE6	0.539		
	EE7	0.648		
	EE8	0.652		
	EE9	0.550		
	<b>Improvement 2</b>			
<i>Employee Engagement</i>	EE1	0.614	0.441	
	EE2	0.728		
	EE4	0.704		
	EE5	0.749		
	EE7	0.683		
	EE8	0.630		
	EE9	0.509		
<i>Employee Engagement</i>	EE1	0.626	0.484	
	EE2	0.742		
	EE4	0.733		
	EE5	0.734		
	EE7	0.712		
	EE8	0.614		
<i>Employee Engagement</i>	EE1	0.635	0.522	0,844
	EE2	0.730		
	EE4	0.767		
	EE5	0.719		
	EE7	0.753		

Source: data processed

**Discussion of Research Results**

**Hypothesis 1: Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Employee Engagement**

Hypothesis 1 was carried out with the aim of testing *Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.443, which means that increasing Flexibility and Trust will increase Employee engagement and conversely low Flexibility and Trust will decrease Employee engagement.

### **Hypothesis 2: Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Organizational Commitment**

Hypothesis 2 is carried out with the aim of testing *Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on organizational commitment*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.514, which means that increasing *Flexibility and Trust* will increase *organizational commitment* and conversely low *Flexibility and Trust* will reduce *organizational commitment*.

### **Hypothesis 3: Transparency does not have a positive effect on Employee Engagement**

Hypothesis 3 was carried out with the aim of testing *transparency has a positive effect on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.046 which means that increased *transparency* will increase *Employee engagement* and conversely low *transparency* will decrease *Employee engagement*.

### **Hypothesis 4: Transparency has a positive effect on Organizational Commitment**

Hypothesis 4 is carried out with the aim of testing *transparency has a positive effect on Organizational Commitment*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.192, which means that increased *transparency* will increase *Organizational Commitment* and conversely low *transparency* will reduce *Organizational Commitment*.

### **Hypothesis 5: Organizational Commitment has a positive effect on Employee Engagement**

Hypothesis 5 was carried out with the aim of testing *Organizational Commitment has a positive effect on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.305, which means that increased *Organizational Commitment* will increase *Employee engagement* and conversely low *Organizational Commitment* will decrease *Employee engagement*.

### **Hypothesis 6: Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment.**

Hypothesis 6 was carried out with the aim of testing *organizational commitment mediating the positive effect of Flexibility and Trust on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.099, which means that increasing *Flexibility and Trust* will increase *Employee engagement mediated by organizational commitment and vice versa*.

### **Hypothesis 7: Transparency does not have a positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment**

Hypothesis 7 was carried out with the aim of testing *organizational commitment mediating the positive effect of transparency on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.058 which means that increasing *transparency* will increase *Employee engagement mediated by organizational commitment and vice versa*.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the results of the data analysis conducted in the previous chapter, the following are the conclusions of this study:

1. *Flexibility and Trust* have a positive influence on *Employee Engagement*. This shows that employees have work flexibility and trust in each other, the higher the level of engagement between employees.

2. *Flexibility and Trust* have a positive influence on *Organizational Commitment*. This shows that employees have work flexibility and trust for work-life balance towards each other, the higher the level of employee commitment to their organization.
3. *Transparency* has no positive effect on *Employee engagement*. This shows that there is no openness of salary transparency from superiors to employees, which creates variability or speculation of different responses to employee engagement.
4. *Transparency* has a positive effect on *Organizational Commitment*. This shows that the existence of high transparency in an organization will increase high commitment in the company's organization.
5. *Organizational Commitment* has a positive effect on *Employee engagement*. This shows that the higher an employee is committed to the company organization and feels responsible for the company, it will increase the attachment between employees because they feel connected to each other.
6. *Flexibility and Trust* have a positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of *Organizational Commitment*. High flexibility and trust through the mediating role of organizational commitment will increase employee engagement.
7. *Transparency* does not have a positive effect on *Employee engagement* through the mediating role of *Organizational Commitment*. There are limitations to salary transparency between employees and superiors, through the mediating role of organizational commitment, so that employee engagement does not have a good effect due to the complexity of each employee.

## REFERENCES

- Bouranta, N., & Psomas, E. (2024). Educational innovation practices in primary and secondary schools during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 38(2), 355-373.
- Dwianto, A. S., Darka, D., & Widayatmoko, W. (2023). The role of job flexibility and organizational support in employee commitment: An investigation with job satisfaction intervention. *Management Studies and Entrepreneurship Journal (MSEJ)*, 4(6), 10016-10023.
- Einwiller, S., Ruppel, C., & Stranzl, J. (2021). Achieving employee support during the COVID-19 pandemic—the role of relational and informational crisis communication in Austrian organizations. *Journal of Communication Management*, 25(3), 233-255.
- Gashi, A., Kutllovci, E., & Zhushi, G. (2022). E-work evaluation through work–life balance, job effectiveness, organizational trust and flexibility: Evidence from Kosovo during COVID-19. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 44(2), 371-385.
- Gautam, K. P., Sharma, P., Dhakal, K. N., & Sharma, A. (2022). Job flexibility as a predictor of organizational commitment. *Journal of Educational and Management Studies*, 12(1), 25-38.
- Jindain, C., & Gilitwala, B. (2024). The factors impacting the intermediating variable of employee engagement toward employee performance in a hybrid working model. *Rajagiri Management Journal*, 18(2), 167-179.
- Mele, C., & Russo-Spena, T. (2024). Agencement of onlife and phygital: smart tech–enabled value co-creation practices. *Journal of Service Management*.
- Otoo, F. N. K. (2019). Human resource development (HRD) practices and banking industry effectiveness: The mediating role of employee competencies. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 43(3/4), 250-271.
- Otoo, F. N. K. (2024). Does employee engagement mediate the nexus of job resource and employee turnover intentions?. *IIMT Journal of Management*.
- Pavlova, O. (2019). The impact of flexible working arrangements on competitive advantages of organization. In *14th prof. Vladas Gronskas international scientific conference*, 5th

*December, 2019: reviewed selected papers.* (pp. 55-61). Vilniaus universiteto leidykla.

- Rachmaningrum, S. D., & Handini, D. P. (2023). Analysis of The Effect of Organizational Trust on Employee Commitment Mediated by Employee Engagement. *Journal of Management Research and Studies*, 2(1), 50-61.
- Raza, M., Khokhar, M. F., Zubair, M., & Rubab, M. (2023). Impact of Transparent Communication in HR Governance: Fostering Employee Trust and Engagement. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, 12(3), 558-566.
- Stofberg, R., Bussin, M., & Mabaso, C. M. (2022). Pay transparency, job turnover intentions and the mediating role of perceived organizational support and organizational justice. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 44(7), 162-182.
- Stranzl, J., Ruppel, C., & Einwiller, S. (2024). Staying emotionally connected while being physically apart—exploring what teleworkers need to stay committed and how internal communication can contribute. *Journal of Communication Management*, 28(2), 272-293.

# The Influence of Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, on Employee Attachment of Creative Agency Companies in Jakarta: The Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment

## Cover Jurnal

**DIJEMSS:**  
Dinasti International Journal of Education Management and Social Science  
Volume 5, No. 6, October 2024

**DIJEMSS:**  
Dinasti International Journal of Education Management and Social Science  
🌐 <https://dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS> ✉ [dinasti.info@gmail.com](mailto:dinasti.info@gmail.com) ☎ +62 811 7404 455

CURRENT ARCHIVES ABOUT ▾ 🔍 SEARCH

HOME / ARCHIVES /  
Vol. 5 No. 6 (2024): Dinasti International Journal of Education Management and Social Science (August - September 2024)

**Vol. 5 No. 6 (2024): Dinasti International Journal of Education Management and Social Science (August - September 2024)**

Article in this issue consist of 13 universities of Indonesia: Universitas Indonesia, Universitas Islam Negeri Banten, Universitas Padjadjaran, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Universitas Pelita Harapan, Universitas Trisakti, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, IPB University, Universitas Udayana, Universitas Airlangga, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia and Universitas Negeri Semarang.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/dijemss.v5i6>

PUBLISHED: 2024-08-01

Accreditation SINTA 2

**CALL FOR REVIEWER**

## Editorial Team

### Editor-In-Chief



[Prof. Dr. Hapzi Ali](#), ([Scopus ID](#)), Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, GF2-1.

### **Managing Editor**

[Dr. Yesi Elfisa](#), ([Scopus ID](#)), Fakultas Ilmu Pendidikan, Universitas Merangin, Jambi Indonesia, GF2-277.

### **Associate Editor**

[Dr. Zuhri Saputra Hutabarat](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Batanghari Jambi, Jambi Indonesia, GF2-35.

### **International Editors**

- [Aygul Zufarovna Ibatova](#), ([Scopus ID](#)), Industrial University of Tyumen, Russia, GF2-169.
- [Sohayle M. Hadji Abdul Racman](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Mindanao State University, Marawi City Filipina, GF2-171
- [Prof. Ts Dr. Hj. Ishamuddin Mustapha](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, GF1-24
- Michal Wasserbauer, Ph.D ([Scopus ID](#)) Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Praha, Czech Republic, GF2-199
- [Prof. Jovenil Bacatan](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) UM Peñaplata College, Philippines, GF1-50
- [Dr. Masoud Lajevardi](#), ([Scopus ID](#)), Islamic Azad University, Semnan Branch, Semnan, Iran, GF2-441
- [Dr Efeeloo Nangih](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Kenule Beeson Sarowiwa Polytechnic, Bori Nigeria, GF1-53
- Cynthia Skief ([Scopus ID](#)) Grand Canyon University, USA, GF1-65
- [Taurayi Stephen Nyagope](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Nelson Mandela University, South Africa, GF1-75
- [Gul Bahar Chachar](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Sukkur IBA University, Pakistan, GF1-132
- [Lloyd Chingwaro](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Zimbabwe Open University, Zimbabwe, GF1-147
- [Hajah Mas Nooraini binti Haji Mohiddin](#) ([Orcid ID](#)) Universiti Islam Sultan Sharif Ali, Brunei Darussalam
- [Sharmili Mohamed Rafi](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) School of komuniti Bentong, Ministry of Pengajian Tinggi, Malaysia

### **Editorial Board**

- [Prof. Dr. Drs. Syahmardi Yacob](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Jambi, Jambi Indonesia
- [Prof. Dr. Muhammad Yusuf](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Paramadina, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-220.
- [Prof. Dr. Ngadino Surip Dipo Sumarto](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF1-29.
- [Prof. Dr. Sucherly](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Padjadjaran, Sumedang Indonesia, GF1-30.
- [Prof. Dr. Suharno Pawirosu Sumarto](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Putra Indonesia YPTK, Padang Indonesia, GF2-137.
- [Prof. Dr. Willy Arafah](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF1-31.
- [Prof. Dede Rahmat Hidayat](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jakarta Timur Indonesia, GF2-191.
- [Prof. Dr. Ekawarna](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Jambi, Jambi Indonesia, GF1-9.
- [Prof. Dr. Tatang Ary Gumanti](#) ([Sinta ID](#)) Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya, Surabaya Indonesia, GF2-15.
- [Dr. Jhon Veri](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Putra Indonesia YPTK, Padang Indonesia, GF1-15.
- [Dr. R. Adjeng Mariana](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Widyatama, Bandung Indonesia, GF2-274.
- [Dr. Lenggogeni](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF2-19.
- [Dr. Mochammad Mukti Ali](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Indonesia Membangun, Bandung Indonesia, GF2-139.
- [Dr. Yayan Hendayana](#) ([Scopus ID](#)) Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-117.

- [Dr. Zahara Tussoleha Rony \(Scopus ID\)](#) Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-4.
- [Dr. Dudi Permana \(Scopus ID\)](#) Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF2-86.
- [Dr. Ryan Firdiansyah Suryawan \(Scopus ID\)](#) Sekolah Tinggi Penerbangan Aviast, Jakarta Timur Indonesia, GF1-48.
- Dr. Firdaus Nur (Scopus ID) Universitas Padjajaran, Sumedang Indonesia, GF2-53.
- [Prof. Dr. Agussalim N, Scopus ID](#), Ekasakti University, Indonesia, GF2-269
- [Dr. \[C\]. Rachmat Pramukty \(Scopus ID\)](#) Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-146
- [Dian Anggraeni Maharbid \(Scopus ID\)](#) Pendidikan dan Budaya, Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Indonesia, GF2-460
- [Andri Catur Trissetianto \(Scopus ID\)](#) Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-179.
- [Fadhli Nursal \(Scopus ID\)](#) Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-90
- [Ifan Sadewo](#), Scopus ID, Universitas Batanghari Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia, GF2-308
- [Andri Yandi](#), Scopus ID, Universitas Batanghari Jambi, Indonesia, GF2-271
- [Eko Suprpto](#), Scopus ID, Universitas Batanghari, Indonesia, GF2-291
- [M. Rizky Mahaputra](#), (Scopus ID), Mercu Buana University, Jakarta, Indonesia, GF2-190
- [Thalita Syifa Fatimah](#), (Scopus ID), Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia, GF2-154
- [M. Ridho Mahaputra](#), (Scopus ID), Mercu Buana University, Jakarta, Indonesia, GF2-223
- [Adi Muliawan](#), (Scopus ID), Mercu Buana University (Alumni), Jakarta, Indonesia, GF2-134
- Zulfairah, (Scopus ID), Universitas Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia, GF2-307

### **IT & OJS Support**

[Adi Muliawan](#) (Scopus ID) Universitas Mercu Buana Jakarta (alumni), Indonesia, GF2-314

[Index](#)

Browser tabs: (118) WhatsApp | SINTA - Science and Technology | Indexing | Dinasti International

Address bar: [dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS/indexing](http://dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS/indexing)

## Indexing

**CALL FOR REVIEWER**

**DIJEMSS MENU**

- Peer Reviewers
- Peer Review Process
- Focus and Scope
- Publication Ethics
- Author Guidelines

System tray: 28°C Berawan | 19:46 | 07/04/2026

Browser tabs: (118) WhatsApp | SINTA - Science and Technology | Indexing | Dinasti International

Address bar: [dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS/indexing](http://dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS/indexing)

**Plagiarism Policy**

**Article Processing Charge**

**Open Access Statement**

**Copyright & License**

**Archive Policy**

**Statistics**

**Histori Jurnal**

**Indexing**

**Download Template**

Download Template ENGLISH VERSION

System tray: 28°C Berawan | 19:46 | 07/04/2026

[Link Jurnal](#)

<https://dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS/index>

[Link Artikel](#)

<https://dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS/article/view/3154/2117>

## Sertifikat Akreditasi

**SINTA** Author Subjects Affiliations Sources FAQ WCU JUSTINE

**DINASTI INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCE**  
DINASTI PUBLISHER  
P-ISSN : 26866358 < E-ISSN : 26866331

0 Impact  
2988 Google Citations  
Sinta 2 Current Accreditation

Google Scholar Garuda Website Editor URL

History Accreditation

Year	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Accreditation	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar

Year	2018	2020	2022	2024	2026
Citation	~20	~180	~500	~750	~500

Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2021
Citation	2988	27
h-index	26	25
i10-index	70	69

28°C Berawan 19:50 07/04/2026

# The Influence of Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, on Employee Attachment of Creative Agency Companies in Jakarta: The Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment

*by Putri Larasati, Justine Tanuwijaya, Andreas Wahyu Gunawan*

---

**Submission date:** 27-Aug-2025 03:57PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2736078519

**File name:** 20241\_The\_Influence\_of\_Work\_Flexibility\_and\_Trust\_and\_Transparency.pdf (533.65K)

**Word count:** 4786

**Character count:** 25444



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/djemss.v5i6>

Received: 24 August 2024, Revised: 28 August 2024, Publish: 2 September 2024  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## The Influence of Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, on Employee Attachment of *Creative Agency* Companies in Jakarta: The Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment

Putri Larasati<sup>1</sup>, Justine Tanuwijaya<sup>2\*</sup>, Andreas Wahyu Gunawan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Trisakti, [putrilarasati1390@gmail.com](mailto:putrilarasati1390@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Trisakti, [justine@trisakti.ac.id](mailto:justine@trisakti.ac.id)

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Trisakti, [andreaswg@trisakti.ac.id](mailto:andreaswg@trisakti.ac.id)

Corresponding Author: [justine@trisakti.ac.id](mailto:justine@trisakti.ac.id)

**Abstract:** The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency on Organizational Commitment; to determine the effect of Organizational Commitment on Employee Engagement; and to determine Flexibility and Trust, Transparency on Employee Engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment. This research uses a quantitative approach. The sample used is employees of agency companies in Jakarta. Researchers used data testing methods in this study including three main steps, namely Validity Test, Reliability Test and Model Feasibility Test. And the analysis method used in this study uses Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis with software such as AMOS. The results of the study state that Flexibility and Trust have a positive influence on Employee Engagement, Flexibility and Trust have a positive influence on Organizational Commitment, Transparency has no positive effect on Employee engagement, Transparency has a positive effect on Organizational Commitment, Organizational Commitment has a positive effect on Employee engagement, Flexibility and Trust has a positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment, Transparency has no positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment.

**Keywords:** Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, Mediation Role Organizational Commitment

### INTRODUCTION

*Flexibility and trust* are interconnected concepts referring to a work environment that offers flexibility and fosters trust. Employees who can manage their own schedules and determine how they accomplish their tasks are considered trusted. When employees feel trusted to carry out their responsibilities, they are more inclined to take risks, which can lead to increased innovation and problem-solving. This sense of trust and autonomy often boosts employee engagement, as they perceive the company as supportive and appreciate being valued (Stranzl et al., 2024).

When *Flexibility and Trust* have an effect and produce a positive result, there must be a measurement of *Transparency*. According to Rawlins (2008) that Transparency in organizations is a deliberate effort to open all legally releasable information to the public including; providing important information, recognizing their space for improvement or introspection, and being open to feedback from the public. Being transparent means being open to engaging in critical communication, both positive and negative (Lee *et al.*, 2023; Morsing, 2017).

*Employee engagement* is regarded as a key method for achieving sustainable development within an organization (Alam *et al.*, 2021). It is viewed as a crucial element for the success of an organization (Choi *et al.*, 2015; Iddagoda, Dissanayake, and Bagienska, 2023). Research indicates that employee engagement impacts organizational productivity (Sungu *et al.*, 2019) and plays a vital role in enhancing financial performance (Baig *et al.*, 2019). Employees who are engaged and maintain a strong connection with the organization are less likely to leave or resign (Yue, 2021). Moreover, employees who actively contribute to improving outcomes and perform their jobs more effectively can boost the company's customer satisfaction (Schneider *et al.*, 2018; Iddagoda, Dissanayake, and Bagienska, 2023). Employees who are committed tend to exhibit high levels of energy, mental resilience, enthusiasm, pride, deep focus on their tasks, and dedication (Rabiul *et al.*, 2022).

In public research, commitment is often conceptualized as part of the *employee-organization relationship* construct which is defined as "the extent to which the organization and its employees trust each other, agree on who has legitimate power to influence and feel satisfaction with each employee's commitment to the other" (Men and Stacks, 2014, p.307).

Meyer and Allen (1991) define organizational commitment as a distinct psychological concept that centers on an employee's personal emotional connection with the organization. This concept is assessed using six indicators, such as the employees' feelings toward the organization, their emotional attachment, their willingness to remain with the organization, and their perception of organizational problems as shared or personal issues. Essentially, it reflects how deeply an employee feels and identifies with the organization.

By looking at the phenomena listed above, *Organizational Commitment* can function as a mediator for *Flexibility and Trust, Transparency*. Based on the description above, the topic of this research is entitled "*The Effect of Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, on Employee Attachment to Creative Agency Companies in Jakarta: The Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment*"

## METHOD

The research method used is to use quantitative methods, namely by using calculations that are described. This study uses *cross sectional* data, namely data collected from several objects in a certain period. Independent variables in this study, namely *Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency on Organizational Commitment* as a mediating variable on *Employee Engagement* as the dependent variable. Later the indicator will be used as an illustration in making a list of questions or statements in the form of a questionnaire. To measure this research, all variables use a scale that has been tested in previous researchers. *Flexibility and Trust* from research conducted by Kafetzopoulos, Psomas and Bouranta (2022); and Yun Lee, Jing Lee, Chau and Deng (2024). *Transparency* from research conducted by Stofberg, Bussin and Mbaso (2022). *Transformational Leadership, Organizational Commitment and Employee Engagement* from research conducted by Sudha, Azam and Jacqueline (2023).

The data collection process was carried out by distributing questionnaires using *Google Forms* to employees of agency companies in Jakarta. Using non-probability sampling method. Researchers used data testing methods in this study including three main steps: Validity Test, Reliability Test and Model Feasibility Test. As well as the analysis method used in this study using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis with software such as

AMOS , This allows researchers to link theory with existing data, thus allowing for strong empirical testing of research hypotheses.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This research involves collecting data from employees in 3 Creative Agency companies in Indonesia. Respondents in this study totaled 124 people randomly selected from various 3 Creative Agency companies.

**Results of Flexibility and Trust Analysis for Employees at Creative Agency**

Descriptive analysis shows a description and descriptive of the dependent and independent variables.

**Table 1. Flexibility and Trust Descriptive Statistics**

Dimensions	Indicator	n	Mean
Flexibility, trust	1. The management level of the company tends to be flat	123	3,3
	2. Resource capability in the company is good	123	4,28
	3. Employees can respond quickly to client requests	123	4,26
	4. High flexibility of company management	123	4,08
	5. Project-related decisions are made by the team	123	4,29
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	<b>4,04</b>
Strategic Flexibility	6. I can easily change the current plan	123	3,84
	7. I can control changes in strategy	123	4,17
	8. I can proactively develop new projects	123	4,24
	9. I am ready to react in a modified way that is feasible for the company.	123	4,33
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	4,15
Competence trust among team members	10. I believe that my teammates are doing a very good job	123	4,25
	11. Most of my teammates are competent in their field of expertise	123	4,39
	12. I feel comfortable that my team members are professionals for the team tasks.	123	4,33
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	4,32
Goodwill trust among team members	13. My teammates care deeply about the well-being of others	123	4,23
	14. My teammates are honest in their dealings with others	123	4,3
	15. I feel that I will be able to rely on team members to help if I cannot handle the task.	123	4,18
	<b>Total Mean</b>		4,24

Source: Data processed

The table above displays descriptive statistics for the Flexibility and Trust (FNT) variable which is measured through four modified dimensions: Flexibility in the Organization, Strategic flexibility, competence trust among team members and goodwill trust among team members.

In the Flexibility and Trust dimension, the average value on these five indicators is 4.04, this shows that employees feel a level of flexibility and trust without any obstacles in

adapting to environmental changes. In the *Strategic flexibility* dimension with a total average value (*mean*) of 4.15. This shows that the company can cope with frequent changes in the business environment because the company makes relevant strategies to maintain a competitive advantage. In the *Competence trust among team members* dimension, the average value (*mean*) is 4.32. This shows that employees have enough competence and trust in their teammates. The last dimension is *Goodwill trust among team members* with a total average value (*mean*) of 4.24. This shows that the level of mutual care and reliance on each other is quite good.

Overall, the results of these descriptive statistics show that employees have a high level of flexibility and trust in the company and coworkers. Able to adapt to changes in the business environment that can change over time. This shows that there is a positive and supportive organizational environment.

**Results of the Transparency Analysis on Employees in the Creative Agency Industry**

**Table 2. Transparency Descriptive Statistics**

Dimensions	Indicator	n	Mean
Pay Transparency	1. I know my job level	123	4,10
	2. My employer provided some information on the factors considered when the salary range was determined.	123	4,12
	3. My employer provided some information on how to interpret salary relative to the salary range.	123	4,26
	4. My employer provides information on how much is spent on annual salary increases	123	4
	5. My employer provides information about the actual salary paid.	123	4,25
	6. My boss divides the salaries of all employees	123	4,46
<b>Total Mean</b>			<b>4,2</b>

Source: Data processed

The table above displays descriptive statistics for the *Transparency* variable which is measured only through one dimension: *Pay Transparency*. the overall average (*mean*) value is 4.2. This shows that supervisors are transparent in providing information related to the salaries given to their employees and in accordance with the *values* of their employees. So that it has a fairly good level of transparency, so there are aspects that need to be considered by the employer.

**Results of Organizational Commitment Analysis on Employees in the Creative Agency Industry**

**Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of Organizational Commitment**

Dimensions	Indicator	n	Mean
Affective Commitment	1. I am excited to continue working with this organization in the future.	123	4,13
	2. I feel a close personal connection with the organization.	123	4,16
	3. I can easily develop close relationships with other organizations as I did with this organization.	123	4,19

	4. I honestly feel that I am to blame for the problems faced in the Company.	123	3,21
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	3,92
<i>Continuance Commitment</i>	5. Even if I wanted to, it would be difficult for me to leave my current company	123	3,96
	6. I left an organization that required me to incur large personal costs	123	4,08
	7. I would leave the organization if I had a lot of stress in my life.	123	4,15
	<b>Total Mean</b>		4,06
<i>Normative Commitment</i>	8. I tend not to change jobs too often	123	4,03
	9. I think staying in an organization is very important	123	4,37
	10. I think it would be better if employees work for one company during their career.	123	4,11
	11. Even if there is another job, I don't want to quit this job.	123	4,04
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	4,14

Source: Data processed

The descriptive statistical results above show that employees have a level of attachment to the organization because of moral values with all three affective indicators, ongoing commitment and normative at a fairly good level. This shows that employees feel a sense of closeness and attachment to the company.

### Results of Employee Engagement Analisis on Employees in the Creative Agency Industry

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of Employee Engagement

Dimensions	Indicator	n	Mean
<i>Physical engagement</i>	1. I put everything I have into my work	123	4,16
	2. I am a person who perseveres in my work	123	4,37
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	4,26
<i>Cognitive engagement</i>	3. I take pride in the work I do	123	4,6
	4. I am highly motivated by the work I am doing.	123	4,29
	5. I really like the job I've taken	123	4,25
	<b>Total Mean</b>	123	4,34
<i>Emotional engagement</i>	6. I am satisfied with my work	123	4,4

7. I can concentrate while at work	123	4,41
<b>Total Mean</b>		4,4

Source: Data processed

The results of these descriptive statistics show that employees have a high level of attachment to their work by working physically, mentally and emotionally. Employees have a sense of enthusiasm at work, this shows that there is a positive indication of activity and productivity in the workplace.

**Data Analysis**  
**Hypothesis Test Results**

**Table 5. Research Hypothesis Testing**

Hypothesis	Estimate	C.R.	P	Summary
H1 Flexibility and Trust affect Employee engagement	0.443	3.533	0.000**	Hypothesis supported
H2 Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Organizational Commitment	0.514	4.742	0.000**	Hypothesis supported
H3 Tranparancy has a positive effect on Employee engagement	0.046	0.325	0.162	Hypothesis not supported
H4 Tranparancy affects Organizational Commitment	0.192	1.509	0.066*	Hypothesis supported
H5 Organizational Commitment affects Employee engagement	0.305	2.958	0.001**	Hypothesis supported
H6 Organizational Commitment mediates the positive effect of Flexibility and Trust b on Employee Engagement	0.099	1.460	0.072*	Hypothesis supported
H7 Organizational Commitment mediates the positive effect of Transparency on Employee Engagement.	0.058	1.146	0.126	Hypothesis not supported

Source : data processed

**Results of validity and reliability testing of Flexibiioity and Trust Variables**

Validity testing for the Flexibility and trust dimension which consists of 5 indicators resulted in the conclusion that only three indicators are valid because they produce an outer loading value > 0.5. A total of 2 indicators must be removed because they have an outer loading value < 0.5, namely the FT11 and FT13 indicators. The AVE value of 0.511 = 5 > 0.5 shows that there is a proven relationship between the three indicators that make up the flexibility and trust dimension. The reliability test results are shown with a Cronbach alpha value of 0.757, which means it is proven that the three indicators of the flexibility and trust dimension are consistent.

**Table 6. Validity and Reliability Testing of Flexibility and Trust Variables**

	Item	Outer loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
Flexibility and trust	FNT11	0.132		
	FNT12	0.669		
	FNT13	0.474		
	FNT14	0.699		
	FNT15	0.729		
<i>Improvements</i>				

	FNT12	0.624		
	FNT14	0.741	0.515	0.757
	FNT15	0.771		
	FNT21	0.648		
Strategic Flexibility	FNT22	0.784	0.419	
	FNT23	0.508		
	FNT24	0.620		
<b>Improvements</b>				
	FNT21	0.664		
	FNT22	0.814	0.508	0.758
	FNT24	0.647		
Competence trust among team members	FNT31	0.702		
	FNT32	0.781	0.509	0.755
	FNT33	0.650		
Goodwill trust among team members	FNT41	0.799		
	FNT42	0.771	0.499	
	FNT43	0.513		
<b>Improvements</b>				
	FNT41	0.855	0.701	0.824
	FNT42	0.820		

Source : data processed

**The results of testing the validity and reliability of the Transparency Variable**

The results of processing validity testing for the *Transparency* variable which consists of 6 indicators resulted in the conclusion that only four indicators were proven to be valid and reliable, namely each indicator TR2, TR3, TR4 and TR5 which produced an outer loading value > 0.5, an AVE value of 0.527 > 0.5 and a Cronbach alpha value of 0.815 > 0.7. Two other indicators, namely TR1 and TR6, must be eliminated because they do not meet the validity criteria, namely the outer loading value < 0.5 and cause the AVE value < 0.5. For more details can be seen in table 4.

**Table 7. Validity and Reliability Testing of Transparency Variables**

Dimensions	Item	Outer Loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
	TR1	0.533		
	TR2	0.639		
	TR3	0.636		
	TR4	0.792		
	TR5	0.650		
	TR6	0.296		
	TR1	0.533		
<b>Remedy 1</b>				
	TR1	0.540		
	TR2	0.648		
	TR3	0.619	0.439	
	TR4	0.816		
	TR5	0.658		
<b>Improvement 2</b>				
	TR2	0.688		
	TR3	0.682	0.527	0.815
	TR4	0.830		
	TR5	0.692		

Source : data processed

**The results of testing the validity and reliability of the Organizational Commitment Variable**

The results of processing validity testing for *Organizational Commitment* variables consisting of three dimensions, namely affective commitment, continuance commitment and

normative commitment can be seen in table 2. Because the organizational commitment variable is a variable with second order, validity and reliability testing is carried out on these three dimensions. Information from the table shows:

- a. Validity testing for the affective commitment dimension which consists of 4 indicators results in the conclusion that only three indicators are valid and reliable as can be seen from the outer loading value > 0.5, the AVE value of 0.511 > 0.5 and the composite reliability value of 0.757 > 0.7. The three indicators in question are OC11, OC12 and OC14, one indicator, OC13, must be removed because it produces an AVE < 0.5.
- b. Validity testing for the continuance commitment dimension with three measurement indicators shows that all indicators are proven valid because they produce an outer loading value > 0.5. The three indicators are also interconnected in forming the continuance commitment dimension as can be seen from the AVE value of 0.568 > 0.5. The three indicators are also reliable as shown by the composite reliability value of 0.797 > 0.7,
- c. Validity testing for the *Normative Commitment* dimension shows that of the 4 indicators, only 3 indicators are valid because they produce an outer loading value > 0.5 while one indicator, OC31, must be removed because it has an outer loading < 0.5. The results of discriminant validity testing resulted in an AVE value of 0.640 > 0.5, which means that it is proven that the indicators are interconnected in forming the dimensions of the normative commitment. Reliability testing results in a Cronbach alpha value of 0.841, which means it is proven that the three indicators that make up the normative commitment dimension are consistent (reliable).

**Table 8. Validity and Reliability Testing of Organizational Commitment Variables**

Variables	Item	Outer Loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
<i>Dimensions</i> <i>Affective Commitment</i>	OC11	0.685	0.440	
	OC12	0.758		
	OC13	0.559		
	OC14	0.636		
<i>Improvements</i>				
	OC11	0.757	0.511	0.757
	OC12	0.762		
	OC14	0.616		
<i>Dimensions</i> <i>Continuance Commitment</i>	OC21	0.737	0.568	0.797
	OC22	0.823		
	OC23	0.694		
	OC31	0.473		
<i>Dimensions</i> <i>Normative Commitment</i>	OC32	0.698	0.640	0.841
	OC33	0.726		
	OC34	0.881		
<i>Improvements</i>				
	OC32	0.722	0.640	0.841
	OC33	0.779		
	OC34	0.890		

Source: data processed

**The results of testing the validity and reliability of the Employee Engagement variable**

The results of processing validity testing for *employee engagement* variables consisting of 9 indicators resulted in the conclusion that only five indicators were proven valid and reliable, namely indicators EE1, EE2, EE4, EE5 and EE7 which produced outer loading > 0.5. Produces an AVE of 0.522 > 0.5 and produces a composite reliability of 0.844 > 0.7. A total of 4 indicators must be eliminated because they do not meet the provisions of outer loading, AVE and composite reliability, namely indicators EE3, EE6, EE8 and EE9. For more details can be seen in table 2.

**Table 9. Validity and Reliability Testing of Employee Engagement Variables**

Variables	Item	Outer Loading	AVE	Composite Reliability			
Employee Engagement	EE1	0.590	0.416	0.844			
	EE2	0.728					
	EE3	0.487					
	EE4	0.611					
	EE5	0.741					
	EE6	0.580					
	EE7	0.636					
	EE8	0.663					
	EE9	0.550					
<b>Improvement 1</b>							
Employee Engagement	EE1	0.597	0.416	0.844			
	EE2	0.728					
	EE4	0.661					
	EE5	0.758					
	EE6	0.539					
	EE7	0.648					
	EE8	0.652					
	EE9	0.550					
	<b>Improvement 2</b>						
Employee Engagement	EE1	0.614	0.441	0.844			
	EE2	0.728					
	EE4	0.704					
	EE5	0.749					
	EE7	0.683					
	EE8	0.630					
	EE9	0.509					
	Employee Engagement	EE1			0.626	0.484	0.844
		EE2			0.742		
EE4		0.733					
EE5		0.734					
EE7		0.712					
EE8		0.614					
Employee Engagement	EE1	0.635	0.522	0.844			
	EE2	0.730					
	EE4	0.767					
	EE5	0.719					
	EE7	0.753					

Source: data processed

**Discussion of Research Results**

**Hypothesis 1: Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Employee Engagement**

Hypothesis 1 was carried out with the aim of testing *Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.443, which means that increasing Flexibility and Trust will increase Employee engagement and conversely low Flexibility and Trust will decrease Employee engagement.

### Hypothesis 2: Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Organizational Commitment

Hypothesis 2 is carried out with the aim of testing *Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on organizational commitment*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.514, which means that increasing *Flexibility and Trust* will increase *organizational commitment* and conversely low *Flexibility and Trust* will reduce *organizational commitment*.

### Hypothesis 3: Transparency does not have a positive effect on Employee Engagement

Hypothesis 3 was carried out with the aim of testing *transparency has a positive effect on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.046 which means that increased *transparency* will increase *Employee engagement* and conversely low *transparency* will decrease *Employee engagement*.

### Hypothesis 4: Transparency has a positive effect on Organizational Commitment

Hypothesis 4 is carried out with the aim of testing *transparency has a positive effect on Organizational Commitment*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.192, which means that increased *transparency* will increase *Organizational Commitment* and conversely low *transparency* will reduce *Organizational Commitment*.

### Hypothesis 5: Organizational Commitment has a positive effect on Employee Engagement

Hypothesis 5 was carried out with the aim of testing *Organizational Commitment has a positive effect on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.305, which means that increased *Organizational Commitment* will increase *Employee engagement* and conversely low *Organizational Commitment* will decrease *Employee engagement*.

### Hypothesis 6: Flexibility and Trust have a positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment.

Hypothesis 6 was carried out with the aim of testing *organizational commitment mediating the positive effect of Flexibility and Trust on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.099, which means that increasing *Flexibility and Trust* will increase *Employee engagement mediated by organizational commitment and vice versa*.

### Hypothesis 7: Transparency does not have a positive effect on Employee engagement through the mediating role of Organizational Commitment

Hypothesis 7 was carried out with the aim of testing *organizational commitment mediating the positive effect of transparency on Employee engagement*. The processing results are shown with an estimated coefficient value of 0.058 which means that increasing *transparency* will increase *Employee engagement mediated by organizational commitment and vice versa*.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the data analysis conducted in the previous chapter, the following are the conclusions of this study:

1. *Flexibility and Trust* have a positive influence on *Employee Engagement*. This shows that employees have work flexibility and trust in each other, the higher the level of engagement between employees.

2. *Flexibility and Trust* have a positive influence on *Organizational Commitment*. This shows that employees have work flexibility and trust for work-life balance towards each other, the higher the level of employee commitment to their organization.
3. *Transparency* has no positive effect on *Employee engagement*. This shows that there is no openness of salary transparency from superiors to employees, which creates variability or speculation of different responses to employee engagement.
4. *Transparency* has a positive effect on *Organizational Commitment*. This shows that the existence of high transparency in an organization will increase high commitment in the company's organization.
5. *Organizational Commitment* has a positive effect on *Employee engagement*. This shows that the higher an employee is committed to the company organization and feels responsible for the company, it will increase the attachment between employees because they feel connected to each other.
6. *Flexibility and Trust* have a positive effect on *Employee engagement* through the mediating role of *Organizational Commitment*. High flexibility and trust through the mediating role of organizational commitment will increase employee engagement.
7. *Transparency* does not have a positive effect on *Employee engagement* through the mediating role of *Organizational Commitment*. There are limitations to salary transparency between employees and superiors, through the mediating role of organizational commitment, so that employee engagement does not have a good effect due to the complexity of each employee.

## REFERENCES

- Bouranta, N., & Psomas, E. (2024). Educational innovation practices in primary and secondary schools during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 38(2), 355-373.
- Dwianto, A. S., Darka, D., & Widayatmoko, W. (2023). The role of job flexibility and organizational support in employee commitment: An investigation with job satisfaction intervention. *Management Studies and Entrepreneurship Journal (MSEJ)*, 4(6), 10016-10023.
- Einwiller, S., Ruppel, C., & Stranzl, J. (2021). Achieving employee support during the COVID-19 pandemic—the role of relational and informational crisis communication in Austrian organizations. *Journal of Communication Management*, 25(3), 233-255.
- Gashi, A., Kutllovci, E., & Zhushi, G. (2022). E-work evaluation through work–life balance, job effectiveness, organizational trust and flexibility: Evidence from Kosovo during COVID-19. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 44(2), 371-385.
- Gautam, K. P., Sharma, P., Dhakal, K. N., & Sharma, A. (2022). Job flexibility as a predictor of organizational commitment. *Journal of Educational and Management Studies*, 12(1), 25-38.
- Jindain, C., & Gilitwala, B. (2024). The factors impacting the intermediating variable of employee engagement toward employee performance in a hybrid working model. *Rajagiri Management Journal*, 18(2), 167-179.
- Mele, C., & Russo-Spena, T. (2024). Agencement of onlife and phygital: smart tech-enabled value co-creation practices. *Journal of Service Management*.
- Otoo, F. N. K. (2019). Human resource development (HRD) practices and banking industry effectiveness: The mediating role of employee competencies. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 43(3/4), 250-271.
- Otoo, F. N. K. (2024). Does employee engagement mediate the nexus of job resource and employee turnover intentions?. *IIMT Journal of Management*.
- Pavlova, O. (2019). The impact of flexible working arrangements on competitive advantages of organization. In *14th prof. Vlasdas Gronskas international scientific conference*, 5th

- December, 2019: reviewed selected papers.* (pp. 55-61). Vilniaus universiteto leidykla.
- Rachmaningrum, S. D., & Handini, D. P. (2023). Analysis of The Effect of Organizational Trust on Employee Commitment Mediated by Employee Engagement. *Journal of Management Research and Studies*, 2(1), 50-61.
- Raza, M., Khokhar, M. F., Zubair, M., & Rubab, M. (2023). Impact of Transparent Communication in HR Governance: Fostering Employee Trust and Engagement. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, 12(3), 558-566.
- Stofberg, R., Bussin, M., & Mabaso, C. M. (2022). Pay transparency, job turnover intentions and the mediating role of perceived organizational support and organizational justice. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 44(7), 162-182.
- Stranzl, J., Ruppel, C., & Einwiller, S. (2024). Staying emotionally connected while being physically apart—exploring what teleworkers need to stay committed and how internal communication can contribute. *Journal of Communication Management*, 28(2), 272-293.

# The Influence of Work Flexibility and Trust, and Transparency, on Employee Attachment of Creative Agency Companies in Jakarta: The Mediating Role of Organizational Commitment

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

8%

INTERNET SOURCES

11%

PUBLICATIONS

8%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Submitted to Sriwijaya University 3%  
Student Paper
- 2** repository.fe.unj.ac.id 1%  
Internet Source
- 3** Rosanna Stofberg, Mark Bussin, Calvin M. Mabaso. "Pay transparency, job turnover intentions and the mediating role of perceived organizational support and organizational justice", Employee Relations: The International Journal, 2022 1%  
Publication
- 4** Angie Lee, Te-Lin Doreen Chung. "Transparency in corporate social responsibility communication on social media", International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 2023 1%  
Publication
- 5** I Nengah Aristana, I Wayan Edi Arsawan, Ni Wayan Rustiarini. "Employee loyalty during slowdown of Covid-19: Do satisfaction and trust matter?", International Journal of Tourism Cities, 2021 1%  
Publication
- 6** Danijela Lalić, Bojana Milić, Jelena Stanković. "Internal Communication and Employee 1%

# Engagement as the Key Prerequisites of Happiness", Emerald, 2020

Publication

---

7	<a href="http://www.neliti.com">www.neliti.com</a> Internet Source	1 %
8	M. Hadi-Moghaddam, M. Karimollahi, M. Aghamohammadi. "Nurses' trust in managers and its relationship with nurses' performance behaviors: a descriptive- correlational study", BMC Nursing, 2021 Publication	1 %
9	Submitted to University Of Tasmania Student Paper	1 %
10	Ton Duc Thang University Publication	1 %
11	Soojin Kim, Patrice M. Buzzanell, Alessandra Mazzei, Jeong-Nam Kim. "The Routledge Handbook of Employee Communication and Organizational Processes", Routledge, 2025 Publication	<1 %
12	Zuky Arie, Matin Fuadi Febrian, Netania Emilisa. "The Effect of Work Life Balance, Follower-Leader Goal Congruence on Intention to Stay which is Mediated by Organizational Commitment of e-Commerce Employees", The Management Journal of Binaniaga, 2024 Publication	<1 %
13	<a href="http://1.m.growingscience.com">1.m.growingscience.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
14	BERRAIES, Sarra, Mehrez CHAHER, and Karim BEN YAHIA. "Employee Empowerment and Its Importance for Trust, Innovation and	<1 %

Organizational Performance", Business Management and Strategy, 2014.

Publication

---

15 MA yong gang, WANG hao. "Research on the Impact and Mechanism of Supervisor Bottom-Line Mentality on Employee Green Behaviors", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2025 <1 %  
Publication

---

16 www-emerald-com-443.webvpn.sxu.edu.cn <1 %  
Internet Source

---

17 doaj.org <1 %  
Internet Source

---

18 Submitted to Thames Valley University <1 %  
Student Paper

---

19 www.journalijar.com <1 %  
Internet Source

---

20 Submitted to St. Joseph's College- Patchogue Campus <1 %  
Student Paper

---

21 dissertation.laerd.com <1 %  
Internet Source

---

22 Submitted to Universiti Sains Malaysia <1 %  
Student Paper

---

23 Abdullah W. Jabid, Dudi Amarullah, Ahmad Yani Abdurrahman, Sulfi Abdul Haji. "Determinants of turnover intention in the context of SMEs: Do generational differences matter?", Problems and Perspectives in Management, 2025 <1 %  
Publication

---

24 Gregory W. Allen, Prince A. Attoh, Tao Gong. "Transformational leadership and affective <1 %

organizational commitment: mediating roles of perceived social responsibility and organizational identification", Social Responsibility Journal, 2017

Publication

---

25 repository.karyailmiah.trisakti.ac.id <1 %  
Internet Source

---

26 Jo-Yun Li, Yeunjae Lee. "Information-seeking strategy and likelihood of workplace health disclosure", Corporate Communications: An International Journal, 2020 <1 %  
Publication

---

27 Submitted to Universitas Jenderal Soedirman <1 %  
Student Paper

---

28 greenpub.org <1 %  
Internet Source

---

29 journal.tofedu.or.id <1 %  
Internet Source

---

30 Ali Rezaeian, Maryam Tehrani, Nyma Lotfi Foroushani. "A trust-based study of CS influence on OCB in Iranian Water Resources Management Company", Journal of Communication Management, 2013 <1 %  
Publication

---

31 Wen-Hsien Ho, Ching Sheng Chang, Ying-Ling Shih, Rong-Da Liang. "Effects of job rotation and role stress among nurses on job satisfaction and organizational commitment", BMC Health Services Research, 2009 <1 %  
Publication

---

32 gemawiralodra.unwir.ac.id <1 %  
Internet Source

---

33 journals.mywestford.com <1 %  
Internet Source

<1 %

---

34 [odad.org](http://odad.org)  
Internet Source

<1 %

---

35 Junaidi Junaidi. "The role of ethical leadership to employees work engagement: a social learning theory perspective", International Journal of Social Economics, 2023  
Publication

<1 %

---

36 Taehee Kim, Sangyoon Park, Kyungro Chang. "Antecedents of organizational citizenship behaviours among part-time employees of service organizations in Korea", Asia Pacific Business Review, 2011  
Publication

<1 %

---

37 Muhammad Asif Zaheer, Tanveer Muhammad Anwar, Mohamed Albeshr, Maryam Manzoor, Zoia Khan. "Contagious viruses' corollaries and deterioration of quality education in developing countries: an integrated model of artificial intelligence (AI) awareness and remote working", Quality Education for All, 2025  
Publication

<1 %

---

38 Yohanes Lay, Sautma Ronni Basana, Togar Wiliater Soaloon Panjaitan. "The Effect of Organizational Trust and Organizational Citizenship Behavior on Employee Performance", SHS Web of Conferences, 2020  
Publication

<1 %

---

39 [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)  
Internet Source

<1 %

---

40 Yunia Tri Adiyono, Andreas Wahyu Gunawan P, Justine Tanuwijaya. "Pengaruh Satisfaction

<1 %

with Interinsic Reward (SIR), Work Life Balance, & Satisfaction with Exterinsic Reward (SER) terhadap Job Satisfaction yang Mempengaruhi Turnover Intention Industri Perhotelan Jakarta", Al-Kharaj: Jurnal Ekonomi, Keuangan & Bisnis Syariah, 2024

Publication

---

---

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On