

Get More with
SINTA Insight

Go to Insight



SRIWIJAYA JOURNAL OF DENTISTRY

📍 [FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN UNIVERSITAS SRIWIJAYA](#)

★ [P-ISSN : 0](#) <> [E-ISSN : 27460592](#)



0
Impact



50
Google Citations



Sinta 4
Current
Acreditation



[Google Scholar](#)



[Garuda](#)



[Website](#)



[Editor URL](#)

History Accreditation

2022


2023

2024

2025

2026

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar



Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2021
Citation	50	42
h-index	4	4
i10-index	1	0

VOLUME 7, No.1, 2026



e-ISSN 2746-0592

Sriwijaya

Journal of Dentistry

PUBLISHED BY

**DENTISTRY STUDY PROGRAM,
FACULTY OF MEDICINE UNIVERSITAS SRIWIJAYA**

Jl. Dr. Moehammad Ali Komp. RSMH Palembang 30126, Indonesia
Telp. 0711-373438/ 087795591525, Email : sjd@fk.unsri.ac.id

Editorial History

Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya

Online ISSN 2746-0592

[Home](#) / [Editorial History](#)

This section lists past contributors.

Editor in Chief : Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, SCOPUS ID: 57209249462,
Department of Biomedical, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya,
Palembang, Indonesia

Managing Editor: Martha Mozartha, SCOPUS ID: 57210231521,
Department of Biomaterial, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya,
Palembang, Indonesia

Editors:

- Atia Nurul Sidiqa, SCOPUS ID : 57204915403, Departemen Dental Material Fakultas Kedokteran Gigi Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, Jawab Barat, Indonesia
- Shanty Chairani , SCOPUS ID: 58092402300, Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia
- Muhammad Novo Perwira Lubis, Departemen Radiologi Kedokteran Gigi Fakultas Kedokteran Gigi Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, Indonesia
- Maya Hudiyati, SCOPUS ID: 57210232091, Department of Biomaterial, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia
- Sri Wahyuningsih Rais, SCOPUS ID: 57210919485, Department of

Prosthodontia, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya,
Palembang, Indonesia

- Shinta Amini Prativi, SCOPUS ID: 58092402200 Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia
- Haslina Rani, SCOPUS ID: 56694491400 Department of Family Oral Health, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia

Sriwijaya
Journal of Dentistry

**Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of
Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya**



Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry : Publikasi Ilmiah Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Sriwijaya by <https://sjd-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id> is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Reviewers

Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of
Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya

Online ISSN 2746-0592

[Home](#) / [Reviewers](#)

Pudji Handayani [[Google Scholar](#), [SINTA ID](#)], Department of Oral
Medicine, Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas
Sriwijaya, Indonesia

Danica Anastasia [[Google Scholar](#), [SINTA ID](#)], Department of
Conservative Dentistry, Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of Medicine,
Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

Arief Cahyanto [[Google Scholar](#), [Scopus ID](#)], Department of Dental
Material, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Erik Idrus [[Google Scholar](#), [Scopus ID](#)], Department of Oral Biology,
Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Mellisa Adiatman [[Google Scholar](#), [Scopus ID](#)], Department of Public
Health, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Dini Asrianti [[Google Scholar](#), [Scopus ID](#)], Department of Conservative
Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Fuad Husain Akbar [[Google Scholar](#), [Scopus ID](#)], Department of Dental
Public Health, Faculty of Dentistry, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

Ida Bagus Narmada [[Google Scholar](#), [Scopus ID](#)], Department of
Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Airlangga University, Indonesia

Rahmi Amtha [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

Fatimah Maria Tadjoedin [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Masita Mandasari [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Citra Kusumasari [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Yosi Kusuma Eriwati [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Dental Material, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Rasmi Rikmasari [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Kartika Andari Wulan [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia

Muhammad Ruslin [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia

Wiwiek Poedjiastoeti [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

Risti Saptarini Primarti [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Ratna Indriyanti [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Erma Sofiani [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Rosalina Tjandrawinata [Google Scholar, SINTA ID, Scopus ID], Department of Dental Material, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

Vinna Kurniawati Sugiaman [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Kristen Maranatha, Indonesia

Gita Gayatri [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Orthodontics,
Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Ziske Maritska, [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Biology
Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

Yosaphat Bayu Rosanto, [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Oral
And Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Gadjah Mada,
Indonesia

Yolanda [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Conservative
Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia

Gema Gempita, [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Dental
Material Science and Technology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas
Padjadjaran, Indonesia

Sunnati, [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Periodontics, Faculty
of Dentistry, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Indonesia

Sriwijaya
Journal of Dentistry

**Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of
Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya**



Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry : Publikasi Ilmiah Fakultas Kedokteran
Universitas Sriwijaya by <https://sjd-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id> is licensed
under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International
License.

Vol. 7 No. 1 (2026): Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Published 2026-06-26

[Home](#) / [Archives](#) / Vol. 7 No. 1 (2026): Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry



Vol. 7 No. 1 (2026): Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

📅 2026-06-26

Articles

Antibacterial and Antibiofilm Activity of Pineapple Jelly Candy Sweetened with Stevia Against *S. mutans*

— Tyas Hestningsih, Martha Mozartha, Anisya Putri Cahyani, Nurul Uzma, Rosada Sintya Dwi, Ulfa Yasmin

[PDF](#) [DOI](#) [Citations](#) [?](#)

Page: 1-13

The Effect of Sape Music on Salivary Flow Rate

— Salsabillah Syarifahdani, Shanty Chairani, Rinda Yulianti

[PDF](#) [DOI](#) [Citations](#) [?](#)

Page: 14-20

Minimum Inhibitory And Fungicidal Concentrations For Combined Extracts Of Papaya Leaves And Garlic

— Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, Pudji Handayani, Anita Nurmilasari, Rahmatullah Irfani, Tyas Hestningsih, Ade Puspa Sari

[PDF](#) [DOI](#) [Citations](#) [?](#)

Page: 21-28

Solubility Analysis of Endodontic Irrigants within the Root Canal System: A Computational Modeling Approach

— Dede Arsista, Irsandy Idris, Ridhayani Hatta



Page: 29-39

Minimally Invasive Management of Traumatic Oral Fibroma in Pediatric Patient

— Erin Imaniar Basar, Varella Awang Wangi Kardikadewi, Tania Saskianti, Sindy Cornelia Nelwan



Page: 40-47

A Two-Case Series of Oral Candidiasis as an Opportunistic Infection in HIV Patients

— Reshaina Dewi Azizah Zahratuljannah, Embun Khaerunnisa, Nanditya Maharani, Gede Perdana Putera, Heppy Oktavianto, Pratiwi Nur Widyarningsih



Page: 48-55

The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children : A Systematic Literature Review

— Alyah Heriandi, Joko Kusnoto, Armelia Sari Widyarman



Page: 56-66

Sriwijaya
Journal of Dentistry

**Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of
Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya**



Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry : Publikasi Ilmiah Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Sriwijaya by <https://sjd-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id> is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.



The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children : A Narrative Review

Alyah Heriandi¹, Joko Kusnoto^{2*}, Armelia Sari Widyarman³

¹Master Program of Dental Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

²Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

³Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

*Correspondence author email: joko.k@trisakti.ac.id

Abstract

Introduction: Oral health problems, particularly dental caries, continue to be a significant global health challenge for children. Caries leads to pain, infection, impaired nutrition, and affect overall well-being. Probiotics, live microorganisms with potential health benefits, have emerged as a preventive measure in pediatric dentistry, particularly in managing oral microbiota. **Purpose:** This review evaluates the impact of probiotics on oral health in children, focusing on caries prevention, microbiota composition, plaque, gingival health, and salivary parameters. **Methods:** A narrative review was conducted, analyzing randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies published between 2010 and 2025. Data was synthesized qualitatively to identify trends and outcomes across various probiotic interventions. **Results:** Studies generally show that probiotics reduce cariogenic bacteria like *Streptococcus mutans*, improve plaque control, and enhance gingival health. However, long-term benefits for caries prevention remain inconsistent. The effectiveness of probiotics varies by strain, dosage, and delivery method. **Conclusion:** Probiotics hold promise as adjunctive agents in pediatric oral health care but are not a substitute for traditional oral hygiene practices. Further research, particularly long-term studies with standardized protocols, is essential to establish clear guidelines for their use in preventing caries in children.

Keywords: Children; dental caries; gingivitis; microbiota; oral health; probiotic

Introduction

Oral health problems in children remain a major global health concern, with dental caries recognized as the most common chronic disease in childhood.¹ These conditions can cause pain, infection, and impaired nutrition, negatively affecting growth, general health, quality of life, and school performance. Early childhood is a critical period for establishing oral habits and microbiota composition, which may have long-term implications for oral and systemic health.²

The oral microbiota plays a central role in pediatric oral health, as its composition determines susceptibility to caries and other oral diseases. A predominance of cariogenic bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans*, is strongly associated with caries development. Salivary pH, buffering capacity, plaque accumulation, and gingival inflammation are closely linked to the balance of oral microbiota. In children, the oral microbial ecosystem is more modifiable than in adults, providing opportunities for early preventive interventions.³



Probiotics, defined as live or inactivated microorganisms that confer health benefits when administered in adequate amounts, have emerged as a potential preventive approach in dentistry. In pediatric oral health, probiotics are proposed to compete with cariogenic bacteria, produce antimicrobial substances, and modulate host immune and inflammatory responses. Various probiotic delivery methods, including milk, lozenges, tablets, drops, curd, ice cream, and mouthwash, have been studied to optimize effectiveness and compliance in children.⁴

Despite their promise, evidence on the efficacy of probiotics in preventing dental diseases is inconsistent. Some studies demonstrate reductions in *S. mutans* levels, plaque accumulation, gingivitis, and caries incidence, while others report minimal or no long-term benefits. These discrepancies may result from differences in probiotic strains, dosage, duration, age groups, and outcome measures, complicating clinical decision-making.⁵

This narrative review aims to synthesize current evidence on the effect of probiotics on oral health in children. Specifically, it evaluates outcomes related to dental caries, oral microbiota, plaque, gingival health, and salivary parameters, providing insights relevant for preventive pediatric dentistry. Understanding the potential benefits and limitations of probiotics may inform strategies to improve oral health outcomes in children.⁶

Methods

Study Design

This study employs a narrative review approach to synthesize evidence on the effects of probiotics on oral health in children. The review focuses on experimental studies, primarily randomized controlled trials (RCTs), clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies conducted in human subjects.

Search Strategy

Relevant literature was identified through structured searches of electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using combinations of keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms such as:

- “probiotics”
- “oral health” OR “dental caries” OR “gingivitis”
- “children” OR “pediatric”



Boolean operators and truncation were applied to refine the search (e.g., *probiotic AND oral health AND children*). Only studies published in English between 2010 and 2025 were considered to ensure contemporary relevance.

Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria for this review were established based on the PICOS framework. The target population (P) consisted of children aged 0 to 18 years. The evaluated interventions (I) included the administration of probiotics in any form, such as milk, tablets, candies, drops, toothpaste, or mouthwash, with or without comparison groups receiving a placebo or no intervention (C). The primary outcomes (O) focused on any oral health-related parameters. Regarding study design (S), randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies conducted in humans and published within the last 15 years (2010–2025) were considered eligible.

Data Extraction

A standardized data extraction form was utilized to gather relevant details from each included study. The extracted data elements comprised the author(s) and publication year, study design, sample size, population characteristics, details of the probiotic intervention (type, dosage, and duration), comparison conditions, oral health outcomes, and the main findings.

Results

A total of 18 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were subsequently included in this review. Most of the selected articles were randomized controlled trials investigating the effects of different probiotic strains on oral health outcomes among children. Considerable variation was observed across studies regarding the probiotic strains administered, methods of delivery, duration of intervention, and outcome parameters evaluated. The majority of studies focused on the impact of probiotics on cariogenic microorganisms, plaque accumulation, gingival condition, and salivary characteristics. A detailed summary of the studies included is presented in Table 1.



Table 1. Summary of Articles Examining the Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children

Author, Year	Country	Sample Size (N)		Population	Age (Years)	Intervention	Control	Key Results	Follow-up (Months)
Hasslöf P et al. ¹ 2013	Sweden	N=118	(56 probiotic, 62 placebo)	Children	4 months – 9 years (starting at 4–13 months)	Cereal enriched with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> F19 (1×10^8 CFU) daily for 9 months	Placebo cereal (without probiotic)	No significant long-term effects on caries frequency (dmfs/DMFS), <i>S. mutans</i> , or <i>lactobacilli</i> levels at age 9	108 months
Stensson M et al. ² 2014	Sweden	N=113	(60 probiotic, 53 placebo)	Children	9 years	Drops with <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 (10^8 CFU daily) for 12 months (first year of life)	Placebo drops (oil without bacteria)	Significant reduction in primary caries prevalence at age 9 (82% caries-free in probiotic vs. 58% in control); also reduced gingivitis	108 months
Burton JP et al. ³ 2013	New Zealand	N=83	(40 probiotic, 43 placebo)	Schoolchildren	5 – 10 years	Sucrose-free lozenges with <i>S. salivarius</i> M18 (twice daily)	Placebo lozenges	Significant reduction in plaque accumulation (OHI-S) at 3 months, especially in high-plaque subjects; reduced <i>S. mutans</i> in colonized subgroups	7 months (3 months intervention + 4 months post intervention)
Campus G et al. ⁴ 2014	Italy	N=191	registered (91 test, 90 control)	High-caries-risk schoolchildren	6–8 years	Sucrose-free lozenges with <i>Lactobacillus brevis</i> CD2 (2×10^8 CFU, twice daily) for 6 weeks	Placebo lozenges	Significant reduction in <i>S. mutans</i> concentration in saliva and plaque acidity (AUC 5.7 and 6.2)	2 months
Mishra R et al. ⁵ 2014	India	N=60	(20 per group)	Children	6–14 years	Probiotic mint tablets (Probiora3) dissolved in 5 mL water as rinse (twice daily) for 7 days	0.2% chlorhexidine or herbal mouthwash	Probiotics least effective for plaque index (PI) and <i>Streptococcus viridans</i> counts compared to active controls	0.25 months
Teanpaisan R et al. ⁶ 2015	Thailand	N=110		Adolescents	12–14 years	Powdered milk with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> SD1 (daily) for 6 months	Placebo milk	Reduced salivary <i>mutans streptococci</i> (MS) levels and	12 months (6 months intervention)



				(mean: 13.19)			caries risk, especially in high-risk groups	+ 6 months observation)
Ashwin D et al. ⁷ 2015	India	N=60 (30 per group)	Children	6–12 years	Probiotic ice cream with <i>Bifidobacterium lactis</i> Bb-12 and <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> La-5 daily for 7 days	Placebo ice cream	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> (SMS) levels	6 months
Tehrani MH et al. ⁸ 2016	Iran	N=53 (30 probiotic, 23 placebo)	Children with early childhood caries	3–6 years	Pedilact probiotic drops (<i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>L. reuteri</i> , and <i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> subsp) 5 drops nightly for 2 weeks	Placebo drops	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> (SM); lactobacilli reduced in probiotic group but no intergroup difference	0.5 months
Pahumunto N et al. ⁹ 2018	Thailand	N=124 randomized, 103 completed	Preschool children	1.5–5 years	Milk with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> SD1 (10 ⁷ CFU/g), daily for 3 months	Standard non-probiotic milk	Significant reduction in salivary MS and delayed new caries development	6 months
Alamoudi N et al. ¹⁰ 2018	Saudi Arabia	N=178 (90 experimental, 88 control)	Preschool children	3–6 years	Probiotic lozenges <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289, twice daily for 28 days	Placebo lozenges	Significant reductions in salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli; reduced plaque (OHI-S)	1 months
Villavicencio J et al. ¹¹ 2018	Colombia	N=363 (119 intervention, 202 control)	Preschool children	3–4 years	Fortified milk with (<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> and <i>Bifidobacterium longum</i>), 200 mL, for 9 months (weekday)	Standard control milk	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Lactobacillus spp.</i> (p=0.002) and increased saliva buffer capacity (p=0.000). no differences in <i>S. mutans</i> or ICDAS caries	9 months
Manmontri C et al. ¹² 2019	Thailand	N=487 recruited, 268 analyzed	Preschool children	1–5 years	Probiotic milk (<i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> SD1) daily or triweekly for 6 months	Daily placebo milk	Significant reductions in salivary and plaque <i>S. mutans</i> (both doses) increased total lactobacilli	12 months (6 months intervention + 6 months post intervention)
Manikandan S et al. ¹³ 2020	India	N=40 (20 probiotic, 20 green tea)	Schoolchildren	6–8 years	Probiotic mouthwash powder (10 mL, 1 min) for 1 month	Green tea mouthwash	Significant salivary pH increase in probiotic group (5.60–7.20)	1 month



Borrell García C et al. ¹⁴ 2021	Spain	N=27 (12 experimental, 15 control)	Adolescents	12–18 years	Probiotic tablets (<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938/ATCC PTA 5289) 1x10 ⁸ CFU/tablet, daily for 28 days	Placebo tablets	Reductions in <i>S. mutans</i> , plaque, gingivitis, and bleeding (not statistically significant); attenuated <i>S. mutans</i> increase	1.5 month
Duraisamy V et al. ¹⁵ 2021	India	N=40 (20 probiotic, 20 synbiotic)	Schoolchildren	6–12 years	Nilgiris probiotic curd (100 mL daily) for 15 days	Synbiotic curd (probiotic powder + oats)	Significant salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction in both groups (p=0.001); greater inhibition in probiotic group	0.5 month
Sakhare S et al. ¹⁶ 2021	India	N=57 (31 study, 26 control)	Schoolchildren	6–12 years	Amul probiotic curd (<i>L.acidophilus</i> (La5) and <i>B. lactis</i> (Bb12)), 100g, twice daily for 21 days	No intervention	Significant salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction after 24 days (p=0.05); no pH change	0.8 month
Krupa NC et al. ¹⁷ 2022	India	N=30 (10 probiotic, 10 CHX, 10 xylitol)	High-caries-risk schoolchildren	5–12 years	Probiotic mouthwash (5-strain mix; 15 mL daily) for 14 days	0.2% chlorhexidine or 10% xylitol mouthwash	Plaque <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction lowest in probiotics vs. active controls	0.5 month
Staszczuk M et al. ¹⁸ 2022	Poland	N=140 (127 completed: 57 probiotic, 70 control)	Preschool children	3–6 years	Chewable tablets with heat-inactivated <i>Lactobacillus salivarius</i> , daily for 2 weeks	Usual care	Significant reductions in caries incidence and prevalence at 12 months (p=0.001)	12 months



Discussions

Most studies examining the effects of probiotics on oral health in children have demonstrated positive outcomes, particularly in microbiological parameters. The reduction of harmful oral bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans*, is the most consistently reported effect across studies.² However, while probiotics show promising results for microbial balance, the long-term benefits for caries prevention remain inconsistent. The evidence suggests that probiotics may act as adjunctive preventive agents, complementing conventional oral care measures, rather than replacing them.⁶ Furthermore, the benefits of probiotics are generally more evident in short- to medium-term interventions, with more limited evidence for their effectiveness in the long term.⁹

Effect of Probiotics on Cariogenic Bacteria

One of the most consistent findings in studies involving probiotics is the reduction of *Streptococcus mutans*, a major contributor to dental caries.⁴ This effect is particularly noticeable in preschool-aged children and those at high risk for caries.⁸ Additionally, the reduction of lactobacilli has been observed in some studies, while others report an increase. This variation can be attributed to the strain-specific effects of probiotics, as different strains interact with the oral microbiota in distinct ways.¹¹ Probiotics are believed to work through mechanisms such as competitive adhesion to the tooth surface, the production of bacteriocins that inhibit harmful bacteria, and the modification of local pH to create a less favorable environment for cariogenic bacteria.⁵

Impact on Dental Caries and Plaque Accumulation

Long-term studies investigating the impact of probiotics on dental caries have shown mixed results. Some studies reported a reduction in caries prevalence or a delay in caries development.¹⁰ In contrast, short-term studies have more consistently demonstrated reductions in plaque accumulation and improvements in oral hygiene indices.¹² However, it is important to note that microbiological changes, such as the reduction of cariogenic bacteria, do not always immediately translate into a decrease in caries development. This suggests that while probiotics may help improve the oral microbiota, other factors, including dietary habits and oral hygiene practices, play a significant role in caries prevention.²



Effects on Gingival Health and Salivary Parameters

Several studies have highlighted the positive impact of probiotics on gingival health, with reductions in gingivitis and bleeding observed in probiotic-treated groups.^{8,9} Additionally, improvements in salivary parameters, such as increased salivary pH and buffering capacity, have been noted.¹³ These effects suggest that probiotics not only target harmful bacteria but also contribute to a healthier oral environment overall. By enhancing the buffering capacity and pH of saliva, probiotics may help protect teeth from acid erosion and support the neutralization of harmful acids in the mouth.¹⁵

Influence of Strain, Delivery Method, and Duration

The effectiveness of probiotics in improving oral health varies significantly depending on the strain used. Different probiotic strains, such as *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *L. paracasei*, *S. salivarius*, and *Bifidobacterium*, have shown varying degrees of efficacy in reducing cariogenic bacteria and improving oral health parameters.¹ The method of delivery, including milk, lozenges, tablets, or drops, also influences compliance and exposure. Milk and curd, for example, promote good compliance with daily exposure, while lozenges and tablets provide direct oral contact.¹² Dairy-based delivery vehicles may also provide additional oral health benefits because they naturally contain calcium, phosphate, and casein, which support enamel remineralization and enhance salivary buffering capacity.¹¹ Furthermore, the semisolid consistency of curd may prolong oral retention time, potentially increasing probiotic interaction with the dental biofilm. These properties may create a synergistic effect between probiotics and the dairy matrix in reducing cariogenic activity and supporting oral homeostasis.¹⁶ Mouthwash, on the other hand, offers a shorter contact time. Short interventions may temporarily alter bacterial counts, but their effects often do not persist after discontinuation. Continuous or early-life administration of probiotics may provide more lasting benefits, suggesting that probiotics may be more effective when used as part of a long-term strategy.³

Comparison with Conventional Agents

Several studies have compared probiotics with traditional agents, such as chlorhexidine and xylitol, in the prevention of oral health issues. While probiotics are generally considered safer and better accepted by children, they tend to be less potent than chlorhexidine, especially in terms of bacterial reduction.¹⁷ The main advantage of probiotics over chlorhexidine is their lower risk of side effects, such as staining of the teeth or altered taste perception, which can



affect children's acceptance of the treatment. Additionally, probiotics are well-tolerated, with fewer reported adverse effects, making them a viable alternative or adjunct to traditional oral health measures.¹⁷

Limitations of Current Evidence

Despite the promising findings, several limitations exist within the current body of evidence. Some studies report no significant long-term effects of probiotics on oral health.¹⁰ Potential reasons for these inconsistencies include short follow-up periods, small sample sizes, low probiotic dosages, and differences in outcome measurement methods. These factors contribute to heterogeneity in study results, complicating the interpretation of the effectiveness of probiotics in oral health.¹⁸ Furthermore, there is a lack of standardized protocols across studies, and most studies have been of relatively short duration, with limited long-term randomized controlled trials available.

Implications and Future Research

To better understand the role of probiotics in pediatric oral health, there is a clear need for well-designed, long-term randomized controlled trials. Standardization of probiotic strains, dosages, and outcome assessment methods will also be crucial for comparing results across studies. Future research should explore the potential of probiotics as part of comprehensive preventive oral health programs, particularly for high-risk pediatric populations.¹² Given the promising effects of probiotics on oral microbiota, future studies should focus on their role in preventing caries and supporting oral health in vulnerable groups, with a focus on developing effective and accessible delivery methods.⁹ Current evidence suggests that probiotics may provide supportive benefits in maintaining oral health. However, their long-term effectiveness in caries prevention remains inconclusive. Therefore, probiotics should be considered adjunctive preventive agents that complement conventional oral hygiene practices rather than substitutes for proper toothbrushing and established caries prevention measures.

Conclusion

Based on the evidence summarized in this review, probiotics have demonstrated positive effects on oral health in children, particularly in reducing cariogenic bacteria such as *Streptococcus mutans* and improving plaque control, gingival health, and salivary parameters.



The effects are more consistent in short-to medium-term interventions and appear to be strain-specific and influenced by delivery method, dosage, and duration of administration. Although probiotics show promise as adjunctive preventive agents, their impact on long-term caries prevention remains variable, and they cannot replace conventional oral hygiene measures. Future research should focus on well-designed, long-term randomized controlled trials with standardized protocols to establish the optimal use of probiotics in pediatric oral health, especially for high-risk populations.

References

1. Hasslöf P, West CE, Karlsson Videhult F, Brandelius C, Stecksén-Blicks C. Early intervention with probiotic *Lactobacillus paracasei* F19 has no long-term effect on caries experience. *Caries Res* 2013;47(6):559–565.
2. Stensson M, Koch G, Coric S, et al. Oral administration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* during the first year of life reduces caries prevalence in the primary dentition at 9 years of age. *Caries Res* 2014;48(2):111–117.
3. Burton JP, Drummond BK, Chilcott CN, et al. Influence of the probiotic *Streptococcus salivarius* strain M18 on indices of dental health in children: A randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Med Microbiol* 2013;62(PART6):875–884.
4. Campus G, Cocco F, Carta G, et al. Effect of a daily dose of *Lactobacillus brevis* CD2 lozenges in high caries risk schoolchildren. *Clin Oral Investig* 2014;18(2):555–561.
5. Mishra R, Tandon S, Rathore M, Banerjee M. Antimicrobial and plaque inhibitory potential of herbal and probiotic oral rinses in children: A randomized clinical trial. *Indian J Dent Res* 2014;25(4):485–492.
6. Teanpaisan R, Piwat S, Tianviwat S, Sophatha B, Kampoo T. Effect of long-term consumption of *Lactobacillus Paracasei* SD1 on reducing Mutans streptococci and caries risk: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Dent J (Basel)* 2015;3(2):43–54.
7. Ashwin D, Vijayaprasad KE, Taranath M, Ramagoni NK, Nara A, Sarpangala M. Effect of probiotic containing ice-cream on salivary mutans streptococci (SMS) levels in children of 6-12 years of age: A randomized controlled double blind study with six-months follow up. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2015;9(2):ZC06–ZC09.
8. Tehrani M, Akhlaghi N, Talebian L, Emami J, Keyhani S. Effects of probiotic drop containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Bifidobacterium infantis*, and *Lactobacillus reuteri* on salivary *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* levels. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2016;7(4):469–474.
9. Pahumunto N, Piwat S, Chankanka O, Akkarachaneeyakorn N, Rangsitsathian K, Teanpaisan R. Reducing mutans streptococci and caries development by *Lactobacillus paracasei* SD1 in preschool children: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2018;76(5):331–337.
10. Alamoudi NM, Almadadi ES, Ashiry EA El, Derwi DA El. Effect of Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* on Salivary Cariogenic Bacterial Counts among Groups of Preschool Children in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 2018;42(5):331–338.



11. Villavicencio J, Villegas LM, Arango MC, Arias S, Triana F. Effects of a food enriched with probiotics on *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* spp. salivary counts in preschool children: A cluster randomized trial. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2018;26.
12. Manmontri C, Nirunsittirat A, Piwat S, et al. Reduction of *Streptococcus mutans* by probiotic milk: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Investig* 2020;24(7):2363–2374.
13. Manikandan S, Behera S, Karthikeyan R, Niranjana A, Bharathan R, Mohammed OFB. Effect of green tea extract mouthrinse and probiotic mouthrinse on salivary pH in a group of schoolchildren: An in vivo study. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2020;12(5):S404–S409.
14. Borrell García C, Ribelles Llop M, García Esparza MÁ, Flichy-Fernández AJ, Marqués Martínez L, Izquierdo Fort R. The use of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289 on oral health indexes in a school population: A pilot randomized clinical trial. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 2021;35.
15. Duraisamy V, Geethapriya PR, Bharath C, Niveditha RS, Baby John J. Role of probiotics and synbiotics on inhibiting *Streptococcus mutans* level in saliva of children: A randomized controlled trial. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2021;39(3):275–278.
16. Sakhare S, Shantanu C, Mopagar V, et al. A comparative evaluation of probiotic formulations in prevention of dental caries: A clinical study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2021;39(4):416–422.
17. Krupa NC, Thippeswamy HM, Chandrashekar BR. Antimicrobial efficacy of Xylitol, Probiotic and Chlorhexidine mouth rinses among children and elderly population at high risk for dental caries - A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Prev Med Hyg* 2022;63(2):E282–E287.
18. Staszczuk M, Jamka-Kasprzyk M, Kościelniak D, Cienkosz-Stepańczak B, Krzyściak W, Jurczak A. Effect of a Short-Term Intervention with *Lactobacillus salivarius* Probiotic on Early Childhood Caries—An Open Label Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;19(19).

Joko Kusnoto FKG

The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children

 Artikel 1

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::3618:146769335

Submission Date

Jul 29, 2026, 12:54 PM GMT+7

Download Date

Jul 29, 2026, 12:58 PM GMT+7

File Name

The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children.pdf

File Size

5.4 MB

19 Pages

4,784 Words

28,988 Characters

7% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text
- Small Matches (less than 15 words)

Exclusions

- 3 Excluded Sources

Match Groups

-  **14 Not Cited or Quoted 7%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **2 Missing Quotations 1%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 5%  Internet sources
- 2%  Publications
- 2%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 14 Not Cited or Quoted** 7%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 2 Missing Quotations** 1%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation** 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 Cited and Quoted** 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 5% Internet sources
- 2% Publications
- 2% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Internet	sjm-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id	1%
2	Internet	scholarhub.ui.ac.id	1%
3	Publication	Parthasarthy Natarajan, Seenivasan Madhan Kumar, Shanmuganathan Nataraja...	<1%
4	Student papers	Sriwijaya University on 2026-04-12	<1%
5	Publication	Islamy R. Hutami, Dian Y. Arinawati, Arief Rahadian, Rizqa C. Dewi, Yayun S. Roch...	<1%
6	Publication	Muhammad Rizky Pratama, Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, Hema Awalia. "The Effect o...	<1%
7	Internet	mdpi-res.com	<1%
8	Internet	neerajmedicine.blogspot.com	<1%
9	Internet	www2.mdpi.com	<1%
10	Publication	Frank Mayta-Tovalino, Jorge Maguiña-Quispe, John Barja-Ore, Adrian V. Hernande...	<1%

11

Student papers

University of Ulster on 2025-08-03

<1%

12

Internet

jdentistry.ui.ac.id

<1%

VOLUME 7, No.1, 2026

SJD

e-ISSN 2746-0592

Sriwijaya

Journal of Dentistry

PUBLISHED BY

**DENTISTRY STUDY PROGRAM,
FACULTY OF MEDICINE UNIVERSITAS SRIWIJAYA**

Jl. Dr. Moehammad Ali Komp. RSMH Palembang 30126, Indonesia
Telp. 0711-373438/ 087795591525, Email : sjd@fk.unsri.ac.id

Editorial History

Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya

Online ISSN 2746-0592

[Home](#) / [Editorial History](#)

This section lists past contributors.

Editor in Chief : Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, SCOPUS ID: 57209249462, Department of Biomedical, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia

Managing Editor: Martha Mozartha, SCOPUS ID: 57210231521, Department of Biomaterial, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia

Editors:

- Atia Nurul Sidiqa, SCOPUS ID : 57204915403, Departemen Dental Material Fakultas Kedokteran Gigi Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, Jawab Barat, Indonesia
- Shanty Chairani , SCOPUS ID: 58092402300, Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia
- Muhammad Novo Perwira Lubis, Departemen Radiologi Kedokteran Gigi Fakultas Kedokteran Gigi Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, Indonesia
- Maya Hudiyati, SCOPUS ID: 57210232091, Department of Biomaterial, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia
- Sri Wahyuningsih Rais, SCOPUS ID: 57210919485, Department of

Prosthodontia, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya,
Palembang, Indonesia

- Shinta Amini Prativi, SCOPUS ID: 58092402200 Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia
- Haslina Rani, SCOPUS ID: 56694491400 Department of Family Oral Health, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia

Sriwijaya

Journal of Dentistry

Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of
Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya



Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry : Publikasi Ilmiah Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Sriwijaya by <https://sjd-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id> is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Reviewers

Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya

Online ISSN 2746-0592

Home / Reviewers

Pudji Handayani [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Oral Medicine, Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

Danica Anastasia [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Conservative Dentistry, Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

Arief Cahyanto [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Dental Material, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Erik Idrus [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Mellisa Adiatman [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Public Health, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Dini Asrianti [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Fuad Husain Akbar [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Dental Public Health, Faculty of Dentistry, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia

Ida Bagus Narmada [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Airlangga University, Indonesia

Rahmi Amtha [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

Fatimah Maria Tadjoedin [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Masita Mandasari [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Citra Kusumasari [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

12

Yosi Kusuma Eriwati [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Dental Material, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Rasmi Rikmasari [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Kartika Andari Wulan [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia

Muhammad Ruslin [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia

Wiwiek Poedjiastoeti [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

Risti Saptarini Primarti [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Ratna Indriyanti [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Erma Sofiani [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Rosalina Tjandrawinata [Google Scholar, SINTA ID, Scopus ID], Department of Dental Material, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

Vinna Kurniawati Sugiaman [Google Scholar, Scopus ID], Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Kristen Maranatha, Indonesia

Gita Gayatri [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Orthodontics,
Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

Ziske Maritska, [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Biology
Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

Yosaphat Bayu Rosanto, [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Oral
And Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Gadjah Mada,
Indonesia

Yolanda [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Conservative
Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia

Gema Gempita, [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Dental
Material Science and Technology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas
Padjadjaran, Indonesia

Sunnati, [Google Scholar, SINTA ID], Department of Periodontics, Faculty
of Dentistry, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Indonesia

Sriwijaya

Journal of Dentistry

**Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of
Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya**



Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry : Publikasi Ilmiah Fakultas Kedokteran
Universitas Sriwijaya by <https://sjd-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id> is licensed
under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International
License.

Vol. 7 No. 1 (2026): Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Published 2026-06-26

Home / Archives / Vol. 7 No. 1 (2026): Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry



Vol. 7 No. 1 (2026): Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

2026-06-26

Articles

Antibacterial and Antibiofilm Activity of Pineapple Jelly Candy Sweetened with Stevia Against *S. mutans*

— Tyas Hestningsih, Martha Mozartha, Anisya Putri Cahyani, Nurul Uzma, Rosada Sintya Dwi, Ulfa Yasmin

[PDF](#) [DOI](#) [Citations](#) [?](#)

Page: 1-13

The Effect of Sape Music on Salivary Flow Rate

— Salsabillah Syarifahdani, Shanty Chairani, Rinda Yulianti

[PDF](#) [DOI](#) [Citations](#) [?](#)

Page: 14-20

Minimum Inhibitory And Fungicidal Concentrations For Combined Extracts Of Papaya Leaves And Garlic

— Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, Pudji Handayani, Anita Nurmilasari, Rahmatullah Irfani, Tyas Hestningsih, Ade Puspa Sari

[PDF](#) [DOI](#) [Citations](#) [?](#)

Page: 21-28

Solubility Analysis of Endodontic Irrigants within the Root Canal System: A Computational Modeling Approach

— Dede Arsista, Irsandy Idris, Ridhayani Hatta



Page: 29-39

Minimally Invasive Management of Traumatic Oral Fibroma in Pediatric Patient

— Erin Imaniar Basar, Varella Awang Wangi Kardikadewi, Tania Saskianti, Sindy Cornelia Nelwan



Page: 40-47

A Two-Case Series of Oral Candidiasis as an Opportunistic Infection in HIV Patients

— Reshaina Dewi Azizah Zahratuljannah, Embun Khaerunnisa, Nanditya Maharani, Gede Perdana Putera, Heppy Oktavianto, Pratiwi Nur Widyarningsih



Page: 48-55

The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children : A Systematic Literature Review

— Alyah Heriandi, Joko Kusnoto, Armelia Sari Widyarman



Page: 56-66

Sriwijaya
Journal of Dentistry

Published by Dentistry Study Program, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Sriwijaya



Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry : Publikasi Ilmiah Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Sriwijaya by <https://sjd-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id> is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.



The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children : A Narrative Review

Alyah Heriandi¹, Joko Kusnoto^{2*}, Armelia Sari Widyarman³

¹Master Program of Dental Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

²Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

³Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

*Correspondence author email: joko.k@trisakti.ac.id

Abstract

Introduction: Oral health problems, particularly dental caries, continue to be a significant global health challenge for children. Caries leads to pain, infection, impaired nutrition, and affect overall well-being. Probiotics, live microorganisms with potential health benefits, have emerged as a preventive measure in pediatric dentistry, particularly in managing oral microbiota. **Purpose:** This review evaluates the impact of probiotics on oral health in children, focusing on caries prevention, microbiota composition, plaque, gingival health, and salivary parameters. **Methods:** A narrative review was conducted, analyzing randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies published between 2010 and 2025. Data was synthesized qualitatively to identify trends and outcomes across various probiotic interventions. **Results:** Studies generally show that probiotics reduce cariogenic bacteria like *Streptococcus mutans*, improve plaque control, and enhance gingival health. However, long-term benefits for caries prevention remain inconsistent. The effectiveness of probiotics varies by strain, dosage, and delivery method. **Conclusion:** Probiotics hold promise as adjunctive agents in pediatric oral health care but are not a substitute for traditional oral hygiene practices. Further research, particularly long-term studies with standardized protocols, is essential to establish clear guidelines for their use in preventing caries in children.

Keywords: Children; dental caries; gingivitis; microbiota; oral health; probiotic

Introduction

Oral health problems in children remain a major global health concern, with dental caries recognized as the most common chronic disease in childhood.¹ These conditions can cause pain, infection, and impaired nutrition, negatively affecting growth, general health, quality of life, and school performance. Early childhood is a critical period for establishing oral habits and microbiota composition, which may have long-term implications for oral and systemic health.²

The oral microbiota plays a central role in pediatric oral health, as its composition determines susceptibility to caries and other oral diseases. A predominance of cariogenic bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans*, is strongly associated with caries development. Salivary pH, buffering capacity, plaque accumulation, and gingival inflammation are closely linked to the balance of oral microbiota. In children, the oral microbial ecosystem is more modifiable than in adults, providing opportunities for early preventive interventions.³



8

Probiotics, defined as live or inactivated microorganisms that confer health benefits when administered in adequate amounts, have emerged as a potential preventive approach in dentistry. In pediatric oral health, probiotics are proposed to compete with cariogenic bacteria, produce antimicrobial substances, and modulate host immune and inflammatory responses. Various probiotic delivery methods, including milk, lozenges, tablets, drops, curd, ice cream, and mouthwash, have been studied to optimize effectiveness and compliance in children.⁴

Despite their promise, evidence on the efficacy of probiotics in preventing dental diseases is inconsistent. Some studies demonstrate reductions in *S. mutans* levels, plaque accumulation, gingivitis, and caries incidence, while others report minimal or no long-term benefits. These discrepancies may result from differences in probiotic strains, dosage, duration, age groups, and outcome measures, complicating clinical decision-making.⁵

This narrative review aims to synthesize current evidence on the effect of probiotics on oral health in children. Specifically, it evaluates outcomes related to dental caries, oral microbiota, plaque, gingival health, and salivary parameters, providing insights relevant for preventive pediatric dentistry. Understanding the potential benefits and limitations of probiotics may inform strategies to improve oral health outcomes in children.⁶

Methods

Study Design

This study employs a narrative review approach to synthesize evidence on the effects of probiotics on oral health in children. The review focuses on experimental studies, primarily randomized controlled trials (RCTs), clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies conducted in human subjects.

Search Strategy

Relevant literature was identified through structured searches of electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using combinations of keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms such as:

- “probiotics”
- “oral health” OR “dental caries” OR “gingivitis”
- “children” OR “pediatric”

7



Boolean operators and truncation were applied to refine the search (e.g., *probiotic AND oral health AND children*). Only studies published in English between 2010 and 2025 were considered to ensure contemporary relevance.

Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria for this review were established based on the PICOS framework. The target population (P) consisted of children aged 0 to 18 years. The evaluated interventions (I) included the administration of probiotics in any form, such as milk, tablets, candies, drops, toothpaste, or mouthwash, with or without comparison groups receiving a placebo or no intervention (C). The primary outcomes (O) focused on any oral health-related parameters. Regarding study design (S), randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies conducted in humans and published within the last 15 years (2010–2025) were considered eligible.

Data Extraction

A standardized data extraction form was utilized to gather relevant details from each included study. The extracted data elements comprised the author(s) and publication year, study design, sample size, population characteristics, details of the probiotic intervention (type, dosage, and duration), comparison conditions, oral health outcomes, and the main findings.

Results

A total of 18 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were subsequently included in this review. Most of the selected articles were randomized controlled trials investigating the effects of different probiotic strains on oral health outcomes among children. Considerable variation was observed across studies regarding the probiotic strains administered, methods of delivery, duration of intervention, and outcome parameters evaluated. The majority of studies focused on the impact of probiotics on cariogenic microorganisms, plaque accumulation, gingival condition, and salivary characteristics. A detailed summary of the studies included is presented in Table 1.



Table 1. Summary of Articles Examining the Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children

Author, Year	Country	Sample Size (N)	Population	Age (Years)	Intervention	Control	Key Results	Follow-up (Months)
Hasslöf P et al. ¹ 2013	Sweden	N=118 (56 probiotic, 62 placebo)	Children	4 months – 9 years (starting at 4–13 months)	Cereal enriched with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> F19 (1 x 10 ⁸ CFU) daily for 9 months	Placebo cereal (without probiotic)	No significant long-term effects on caries frequency (dmfs/DMFS), <i>S. mutans</i> , or <i>lactobacilli</i> levels at age 9	108 months
Stensson M et al. ² 2014	Sweden	N=113 (60 probiotic, 53 placebo)	Children	9 years	Drops with <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 (10 ⁸ CFU daily) for 12 months (first year of life)	Placebo drops (oil without bacteria)	Significant reduction in primary caries prevalence at age 9 (82% caries-free in probiotic vs. 58% in control); also reduced gingivitis	108 months
Burton JP et al. ³ 2013	New Zealand	N=83 (40 probiotic, 43 placebo)	Schoolchildren	5 – 10 years	Sucrose-free lozenges with <i>S. salivarius</i> M18 (twice daily)	Placebo lozenges	Significant reduction in plaque accumulation (OHI-S) at 3 months, especially in high-plaque subjects; reduced <i>S. mutans</i> in colonized subgroups	7 months (3 months intervention + 4 months post intervention)
Campus G et al. ⁴ 2014	Italy	N=191 registered (91 test, 90 control)	High-caries-risk schoolchildren	6–8 years	Sucrose-free lozenges with <i>Lactobacillus brevis</i> CD2 (2 x 10 ⁸ CFU, twice daily) for 6 weeks	Placebo lozenges	Significant reduction in <i>S. mutans</i> concentration in saliva and plaque acidity (AUC 5.7 and 6.2)	2 months
Mishra R et al. ⁵ 2014	India	N=60 (20 per group)	Children	6–14 years	Probiotic mint tablets (Probiora3) dissolved in 5 mL water as rinse (twice daily) for 7 days	0.2% chlorhexidine or herbal mouthwash	Probiotics least effective for plaque index (PI) and <i>Streptococcus viridans</i> counts compared to active controls	0.25 months
Teanpaisan R et al. ⁶ 2015	Thailand	N=110	Adolescents	12–14 years	Powdered milk with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> SD1 (daily) for 6 months	Placebo milk	Reduced salivary <i>mutans streptococci</i> (MS) levels and	12 months (6 months intervention)



				(mean: 13.19)			caries risk, especially in high-risk groups	+ 6 months observation)
Ashwin D et al. ⁷ 2015	India	N=60 (30 per group)	Children	6–12 years	Probiotic ice cream with <i>Bifidobacterium lactis</i> Bb-12 and <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> La-5 daily for 7 days	Placebo ice cream	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> (SMS) levels	6 months
Tehrani MH et al. ⁸ 2016	Iran	N=53 (30 probiotic, 23 placebo)	Children with early childhood caries	3–6 years	Pedilact probiotic drops (<i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>L. reuteri</i> , and <i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> subsp) 5 drops nightly for 2 weeks	Placebo drops	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> (SM); lactobacilli reduced in probiotic group but no intergroup difference	0.5 months
Pahumunto N et al. ⁹ 2018	Thailand	N=124 randomized, 103 completed	Preschool children	1.5–5 years	Milk with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> SD1 (10 ⁷ CFU/g), daily for 3 months	Standard non-probiotic milk	Significant reduction in salivary MS and delayed new caries development	6 months
Alamoudi N et al. ¹⁰ 2018	Saudi Arabia	N=178 (90 experimental, 88 control)	Preschool children	3–6 years	Probiotic lozenges <i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289, twice daily for 28 days	Placebo lozenges	Significant reductions in salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli; reduced plaque (OHI-S)	1 months
Villavicencio J et al. ¹¹ 2018	Colombia	N=363 (119 intervention, 202 control)	Preschool children	3–4 years	Fortified milk with (<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> and <i>Bifidobacterium longum</i>), 200 mL, for 9 months (weekday)	Standard control milk	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. (p=0.002) and increased saliva buffer capacity (p=0.000). no differences in <i>S. mutans</i> or ICDAS caries	9 months
Manmontri C et al. ¹² 2019	Thailand	N=487 recruited, 268 analyzed	Preschool children	1–5 years	Probiotic milk (<i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> SD1) daily or triweekly for 6 months	Daily placebo milk	Significant reductions in salivary and plaque <i>S. mutans</i> (both doses) increased total lactobacilli	12 months (6 months intervention + 6 months post intervention)
Manikandan S et al. ¹³ 2020	India	N=40 (20 probiotic, 20 green tea)	Schoolchildren	6–8 years	Probiotic mouthwash powder (10 mL, 1 min) for 1 month	Green mouthwash tea	Significant salivary pH increase in probiotic group (5.60–7.20)	1 month



Borrell García C et al. ¹⁴ 2021	Spain	N=27 (12 experimental, 15 control)	Adolescents	12–18 years	Probiotic tablets (<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938/ATCC PTA 5289) 1x10 ⁸ CFU/tablet, daily for 28 days	Placebo tablets	Reductions in <i>S. mutans</i> , plaque, gingivitis, and bleeding (not statistically significant); attenuated <i>S. mutans</i> increase	1.5 month
Duraisamy V et al. ¹⁵ 2021	India	N=40 (20 probiotic, 20 synbiotic)	Schoolchildren	6–12 years	Nilgiris probiotic curd (100 mL daily) for 15 days	Synbiotic curd (probiotic powder + oats)	Significant salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction in both groups (p=0.001); greater inhibition in probiotic group	0.5 month
Sakhare S et al. ¹⁶ 2021	India	N=57 (31 study, 26 control)	Schoolchildren	6–12 years	Amul probiotic curd (<i>L. acidophilus</i> (La5) and <i>B. lactis</i> (Bb12)), 100g, twice daily for 21 days	No intervention	Significant salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction after 24 days (p=0.05); no pH change	0.8 month
Krupa NC et al. ¹⁷ 2022	India	N=30 (10 probiotic, 10 CHX, 10 xylitol)	High-caries-risk schoolchildren	5–12 years	Probiotic mouthwash (5-strain mix; 15 mL daily) for 14 days	0.2% chlorhexidine or 10% xylitol mouthwash	Plaque <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction lowest in probiotics vs. active controls	0.5 month
Staszczuk M et al. ¹⁸ 2022	Poland	N=140 (127 completed: 57 probiotic, 70 control)	Preschool children	3–6 years	Chewable tablets with heat-inactivated <i>Lactobacillus salivarius</i> , daily for 2 weeks	Usual care	Significant reductions in caries incidence and prevalence at 12 months (p=0.001)	12 months



Discussions

Most studies examining the effects of probiotics on oral health in children have demonstrated positive outcomes, particularly in microbiological parameters. The reduction of harmful oral bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans*, is the most consistently reported effect across studies.² However, while probiotics show promising results for microbial balance, the long-term benefits for caries prevention remain inconsistent. The evidence suggests that probiotics may act as adjunctive preventive agents, complementing conventional oral care measures, rather than replacing them.⁶ Furthermore, the benefits of probiotics are generally more evident in short- to medium-term interventions, with more limited evidence for their effectiveness in the long term.⁹

Effect of Probiotics on Cariogenic Bacteria

One of the most consistent findings in studies involving probiotics is the reduction of *Streptococcus mutans*, a major contributor to dental caries.⁴ This effect is particularly noticeable in preschool-aged children and those at high risk for caries.⁸ Additionally, the reduction of lactobacilli has been observed in some studies, while others report an increase. This variation can be attributed to the strain-specific effects of probiotics, as different strains interact with the oral microbiota in distinct ways.¹¹ Probiotics are believed to work through mechanisms such as competitive adhesion to the tooth surface, the production of bacteriocins that inhibit harmful bacteria, and the modification of local pH to create a less favorable environment for cariogenic bacteria.⁵

Impact on Dental Caries and Plaque Accumulation

Long-term studies investigating the impact of probiotics on dental caries have shown mixed results. Some studies reported a reduction in caries prevalence or a delay in caries development.¹⁰ In contrast, short-term studies have more consistently demonstrated reductions in plaque accumulation and improvements in oral hygiene indices.¹² However, it is important to note that microbiological changes, such as the reduction of cariogenic bacteria, do not always immediately translate into a decrease in caries development. This suggests that while probiotics may help improve the oral microbiota, other factors, including dietary habits and oral hygiene practices, play a significant role in caries prevention.²



Effects on Gingival Health and Salivary Parameters

Several studies have highlighted the positive impact of probiotics on gingival health, with reductions in gingivitis and bleeding observed in probiotic-treated groups.^{8,9} Additionally, improvements in salivary parameters, such as increased salivary pH and buffering capacity, have been noted.¹³ These effects suggest that probiotics not only target harmful bacteria but also contribute to a healthier oral environment overall. By enhancing the buffering capacity and pH of saliva, probiotics may help protect teeth from acid erosion and support the neutralization of harmful acids in the mouth.¹⁵

Influence of Strain, Delivery Method, and Duration

The effectiveness of probiotics in improving oral health varies significantly depending on the strain used. Different probiotic strains, such as *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *L. paracasei*, *S. salivarius*, and *Bifidobacterium*, have shown varying degrees of efficacy in reducing cariogenic bacteria and improving oral health parameters.¹ The method of delivery, including milk, lozenges, tablets, or drops, also influences compliance and exposure. Milk and curd, for example, promote good compliance with daily exposure, while lozenges and tablets provide direct oral contact.¹² Dairy-based delivery vehicles may also provide additional oral health benefits because they naturally contain calcium, phosphate, and casein, which support enamel remineralization and enhance salivary buffering capacity.¹¹ Furthermore, the semisolid consistency of curd may prolong oral retention time, potentially increasing probiotic interaction with the dental biofilm. These properties may create a synergistic effect between probiotics and the dairy matrix in reducing cariogenic activity and supporting oral homeostasis.¹⁶ Mouthwash, on the other hand, offers a shorter contact time. Short interventions may temporarily alter bacterial counts, but their effects often do not persist after discontinuation. Continuous or early-life administration of probiotics may provide more lasting benefits, suggesting that probiotics may be more effective when used as part of a long-term strategy.³

Comparison with Conventional Agents

Several studies have compared probiotics with traditional agents, such as chlorhexidine and xylitol, in the prevention of oral health issues. While probiotics are generally considered safer and better accepted by children, they tend to be less potent than chlorhexidine, especially in terms of bacterial reduction.¹⁷ The main advantage of probiotics over chlorhexidine is their lower risk of side effects, such as staining of the teeth or altered taste perception, which can



affect children's acceptance of the treatment. Additionally, probiotics are well-tolerated, with fewer reported adverse effects, making them a viable alternative or adjunct to traditional oral health measures.¹⁷

Limitations of Current Evidence

Despite the promising findings, several limitations exist within the current body of evidence. Some studies report no significant long-term effects of probiotics on oral health.¹⁰ Potential reasons for these inconsistencies include short follow-up periods, small sample sizes, low probiotic dosages, and differences in outcome measurement methods. These factors contribute to heterogeneity in study results, complicating the interpretation of the effectiveness of probiotics in oral health.¹⁸ Furthermore, there is a lack of standardized protocols across studies, and most studies have been of relatively short duration, with limited long-term randomized controlled trials available.

Implications and Future Research

To better understand the role of probiotics in pediatric oral health, there is a clear need for well-designed, long-term randomized controlled trials. Standardization of probiotic strains, dosages, and outcome assessment methods will also be crucial for comparing results across studies. Future research should explore the potential of probiotics as part of comprehensive preventive oral health programs, particularly for high-risk pediatric populations.¹² Given the promising effects of probiotics on oral microbiota, future studies should focus on their role in preventing caries and supporting oral health in vulnerable groups, with a focus on developing effective and accessible delivery methods.⁹ Current evidence suggests that probiotics may provide supportive benefits in maintaining oral health. However, their long-term effectiveness in caries prevention remains inconclusive. Therefore, probiotics should be considered adjunctive preventive agents that complement conventional oral hygiene practices rather than substitutes for proper toothbrushing and established caries prevention measures.

Conclusion

Based on the evidence summarized in this review, probiotics have demonstrated positive effects on oral health in children, particularly in reducing cariogenic bacteria such as *Streptococcus mutans* and improving plaque control, gingival health, and salivary parameters.



The effects are more consistent in short-to medium-term interventions and appear to be strain-specific and influenced by delivery method, dosage, and duration of administration. Although probiotics show promise as adjunctive preventive agents, their impact on long-term caries prevention remains variable, and they cannot replace conventional oral hygiene measures. Future research should focus on well-designed, long-term randomized controlled trials with standardized protocols to establish the optimal use of probiotics in pediatric oral health, especially for high-risk populations.

References

1. Hasslöf P, West CE, Karlsson Videhult F, Brandelius C, Stecksén-Blicks C. Early intervention with probiotic *Lactobacillus paracasei* F19 has no long-term effect on caries experience. *Caries Res* 2013;47(6):559–565.
2. Stensson M, Koch G, Coric S, et al. Oral administration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* during the first year of life reduces caries prevalence in the primary dentition at 9 years of age. *Caries Res* 2014;48(2):111–117.
3. Burton JP, Drummond BK, Chilcott CN, et al. Influence of the probiotic *Streptococcus salivarius* strain M18 on indices of dental health in children: A randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Med Microbiol* 2013;62(PART6):875–884.
4. Campus G, Cocco F, Carta G, et al. Effect of a daily dose of *Lactobacillus brevis* CD2 lozenges in high caries risk schoolchildren. *Clin Oral Investig* 2014;18(2):555–561.
5. Mishra R, Tandon S, Rathore M, Banerjee M. Antimicrobial and plaque inhibitory potential of herbal and probiotic oral rinses in children: A randomized clinical trial. *Indian J Dent Res* 2014;25(4):485–492.
6. Teanpaisan R, Piwat S, Tianviwat S, Sophatha B, Kampoo T. Effect of long-term consumption of *Lactobacillus Paracasei* SD1 on reducing Mutans streptococci and caries risk: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Dent J (Basel)* 2015;3(2):43–54.
7. Ashwin D, Vijayaprasad KE, Taranath M, Ramagoni NK, Nara A, Sarpangala M. Effect of probiotic containing ice-cream on salivary mutans streptococci (SMS) levels in children of 6-12 years of age: A randomized controlled double blind study with six-months follow up. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2015;9(2):ZC06–ZC09.
8. Tehrani M, Akhlaghi N, Talebian L, Emami J, Keyhani S. Effects of probiotic drop containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Bifidobacterium infantis*, and *Lactobacillus reuteri* on salivary *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* levels. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2016;7(4):469–474.
9. Pahumunto N, Piwat S, Chankanka O, Akkarachaneeyakorn N, Rangsitsathian K, Teanpaisan R. Reducing mutans streptococci and caries development by *Lactobacillus paracasei* SD1 in preschool children: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2018;76(5):331–337.
10. Alamoudi NM, Almadadi ES, Ashiry EA El, Derwi DA El. Effect of Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* on Salivary Cariogenic Bacterial Counts among Groups of Preschool Children in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 2018;42(5):331–338.



11. Villavicencio J, Villegas LM, Arango MC, Arias S, Triana F. Effects of a food enriched with probiotics on *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* spp. salivary counts in preschool children: A cluster randomized trial. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2018;26.
12. Manmontri C, Nirunsittirat A, Piwat S, et al. Reduction of *Streptococcus mutans* by probiotic milk: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Investig* 2020;24(7):2363–2374.
13. Manikandan S, Behera S, Karthikeyan R, Niranjana A, Bharathan R, Mohammed OFB. Effect of green tea extract mouthrinse and probiotic mouthrinse on salivary pH in a group of schoolchildren: An in vivo study. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2020;12(5):S404–S409.
14. Borrell García C, Ribelles Llop M, García Esparza MÁ, Flichy-Fernández AJ, Marqués Martínez L, Izquierdo Fort R. The use of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289 on oral health indexes in a school population: A pilot randomized clinical trial. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 2021;35.
15. Duraisamy V, Geethapriya PR, Bharath C, Niveditha RS, Baby John J. Role of probiotics and synbiotics on inhibiting *Streptococcus mutans* level in saliva of children: A randomized controlled trial. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2021;39(3):275–278.
16. Sakhare S, Shantanu C, Mopagar V, et al. A comparative evaluation of probiotic formulations in prevention of dental caries: A clinical study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2021;39(4):416–422.
17. Krupa NC, Thippeswamy HM, Chandrashekar BR. Antimicrobial efficacy of Xylitol, Probiotic and Chlorhexidine mouth rinses among children and elderly population at high risk for dental caries - A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Prev Med Hyg* 2022;63(2):E282–E287.
18. Staszczuk M, Jamka-Kasprzyk M, Kościelniak D, Cienkosz-Stepańczak B, Krzyściak W, Jurczak A. Effect of a Short-Term Intervention with *Lactobacillus salivarius* Probiotic on Early Childhood Caries—An Open Label Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;19(19).

**BUKTI KORESPONDENSI
ARTIKEL JURNAL NASIONAL
TERAKREDITASI SINTA 4**

Judul artikel : The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children : A Narrative Review

Jurnal : Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry, Volume 7, Number 1, June 2026. DOI:
10.32539/sjd.v7i1.97



Joko Kusnoto <joko.k@trisakti.ac.id>

Submit manuskrip pada Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Joko Kusnoto <joko.k@trisakti.ac.id>

Mon, Jan 26, 2026 at 10:04 AM

To: sitirusdiana@fk.unsri.ac.id

Cc: martha mozartha <marthamozartha@fk.unsri.ac.id>

Yth Drg, Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, M.Kes
Editor in Chief Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Dengan hormat, bersama ini mohon ijin untuk mengirimkan manuskrip kami yang berjudul The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children: A Systematic Literature Review untuk dipertimbangkan supaya dapat dipublikasikan pada Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry. Kami telah mensubmit manuskrip tersebut via website Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry pada tanggal 23 Januari 2026.

Besar harapan kami agar manuskrip kami dapat dipublikasikan pada Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry edisi mendatang Juni 2026. Atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya kami ucapkan terima kasih dan kami tunggu kabar baiknya.

Hormat kami,
Joko Kusnoto



The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children : A Systematic Literature Review

Alyah Heriandi¹, Joko Kusnoto^{2*}, Armelia Sari Widyarman³

¹Master Program of Dental Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

²Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

³Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

*Correspondence author email: joko.k@trisakti.ac.id

Abstract

Introduction: Oral health problems, particularly dental caries, continue to be a significant global health challenge for children. Caries leads to pain, infection, impaired nutrition, and affects overall well-being. Probiotics, live microorganisms with potential health benefits, have emerged as a preventive measure in pediatric dentistry, particularly in managing oral microbiota. **Purpose:** This review evaluates the impact of probiotics on oral health in children, focusing on caries prevention, microbiota composition, plaque, gingival health, and salivary parameters. **Methods:** A systematic literature review was conducted, analyzing randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies published between 2010 and 2025. Data was synthesized qualitatively to identify trends and outcomes across various probiotic interventions. **Results:** Studies generally show that probiotics reduce cariogenic bacteria like *Streptococcus mutans*, improve plaque control, and enhance gingival health. However, long-term benefits for caries prevention remain inconsistent. The effectiveness of probiotics varies by strain, dosage, and delivery method. **Conclusion:** Probiotics hold promise as adjunctive agents in pediatric oral health care but are not a substitute for traditional oral hygiene practices. Further research, particularly long-term studies with standardized protocols, is essential to establish clear guidelines for their use in preventing caries in children.

Keywords: children; dental caries; gingivitis; microbiota; oral health; probiotic

Introduction

Oral health problems in children remain a major global health concern, with dental caries recognized as the most common chronic disease in childhood.¹ These conditions can cause pain, infection, and impaired nutrition, negatively affecting growth, general health, quality of life, and school performance. Early childhood is a critical period for establishing oral habits and microbiota composition, which may have long-term implications for oral and systemic health.²

The oral microbiota plays a central role in pediatric oral health, as its composition determines susceptibility to caries and other oral diseases. A predominance of cariogenic bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans*, is strongly associated with caries development. Salivary pH, buffering capacity, plaque accumulation, and gingival inflammation are closely



linked to the balance of oral microbiota. In children, the oral microbial ecosystem is more modifiable than in adults, providing opportunities for early preventive interventions.³

Probiotics, defined as live or inactivated microorganisms that confer health benefits when administered in adequate amounts, have emerged as a potential preventive approach in dentistry. In pediatric oral health, probiotics are proposed to compete with cariogenic bacteria, produce antimicrobial substances, and modulate host immune and inflammatory responses. Various probiotic delivery methods, including milk, lozenges, tablets, drops, curd, ice cream, and mouthwash, have been studied to optimize effectiveness and compliance in children.⁴

Despite their promise, evidence on the efficacy of probiotics in preventing dental diseases is inconsistent. Some studies demonstrate reductions in *S. mutans* levels, plaque accumulation, gingivitis, and caries incidence, while others report minimal or no long-term benefits. These discrepancies may result from differences in probiotic strains, dosage, duration, age groups, and outcome measures, complicating clinical decision-making.⁵

This literature review aims to synthesize current evidence on the effect of probiotics on oral health in children. Specifically, it evaluates outcomes related to dental caries, oral microbiota, plaque, gingival health, and salivary parameters, providing insights relevant for preventive pediatric dentistry. Understanding the potential benefits and limitations of probiotics may inform strategies to improve oral health outcomes in children.⁶

Methods

Study Design

This study employs a literature review approach to synthesize evidence on the effects of probiotics on oral health in children. The review focuses on experimental studies, primarily randomized controlled trials (RCTs), clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies conducted in human subjects. Literature reviews are essential in summarizing current findings, identifying gaps, and providing recommendations for preventive pediatric dentistry.

Search Strategy

Relevant literature was systematically searched across electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using a combination of keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms such as:

- “probiotics”



- “oral health” OR “dental caries” OR “gingivitis”
- “children” OR “pediatric”

Boolean operators and truncation were applied to refine the search (e.g., *probiotic* AND *oral health* AND *children*). Only studies published in English between 2010 and 2025 were considered to ensure contemporary relevance.

Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were established using the PICOS framework:

- Patients/Population (P): Studies involving children aged 0 to 18 years.
- Intervention (I): Administrations of probiotics in any form (e.g., milk, tablets, candies, drops, toothpaste, or mouthwash).
- Comparison (C): Studies with or without comparison groups receiving placebo or no intervention.
- Outcome (O): Any oral health-related parameters evaluated.
- Study design and publication limits (S): Experimental designs including randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, or quasi-experimental studies. The studies must be conducted in humans. Only articles published within the last 15 years (2010-2025) will be included for review.

Data Extraction

A standardized data extraction form was used to collect relevant information from each study, including:

- Author(s) and year of publication
- Study design
- Sample size and population characteristics
- Type, dosage, and duration of probiotic intervention
- Comparison or control conditions
- Oral health outcomes measured
- Main findings and conclusions



Results

Table 1. Summary of Articles Examining the Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children

Author, Year	Country	Sample Size (N)	Population	Age (Years)	Intervention	Control	Key Results	Follow-up (Months)
Hasslöf P et al. ¹ 2013	Sweden	N=118 (56 probiotic, 62 placebo)	Children	4 months – 9 years (starting at 4–13 months)	Cereal enriched with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> <i>F19</i> (1×10^8 CFU) daily for 9 months	Placebo cereal (without probiotic)	No significant long-term effects on caries frequency (dmfs/DMFS), <i>S. mutans</i> , or <i>lactobacilli</i> levels at age 9	108 months
Burton JP et al. ³ 2013	New Zealand	N=83 (40 probiotic, 43 placebo)	Schoolchildren	5 – 10 years	Sucrose-free lozenges with <i>S. salivarius</i> M18 (twice daily)	Placebo lozenges	Significant reduction in plaque accumulation (OHI- S) at 3 months, especially in high-plaque subjects; reduced <i>S. mutans</i> in colonized subgroups	7 months (3 months intervention + 4 months post intervention)



Stensson M et al. ² 2014	Sweden	N=113 (60 probiotic, 53 placebo)	Children	9 years	Drops with <i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 (10 ⁸ CFU daily) for 12 months (first year of life)	Placebo drops (oil without bacteria)	Significant reduction in primary caries prevalence at age 9 (82% caries-free in probiotic vs. 58% in control); also reduced gingivitis	108 months
Campus G et al. ⁴ 2014	Italy	N=191 registered (91 test, 90 control)	High-caries- risk schoolchildren	6–8 years	Sucrose-free lozenges with <i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>brevis</i> CD2 (2 × 10 ⁸ CFU, twice daily) for 6 weeks	Placebo lozenges	Significant reduction in <i>S.</i> <i>mutans</i> concentration in saliva and plaque acidity (AUC 5.7 and 6.2)	2 months
Mishra R et al. ⁵ 2014	India	N=60 (20 per group)	Children	6–14 years	Probiotic mint tablets (Probiora3) dissolved in 5 mL water as rinse (twice daily) for 7 days	0.2% chlorhexidine or herbal mouthwash	Probiotics least effective for plaque index (PI) and <i>Streptococcus viridans</i> counts compared to active controls	0.25 months



Teanpaisa n R et al. ⁶ 2015	Thailand	N=110	Adolescents	12–14 years (mean: 13.19)	Powdered milk with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> SD1 (daily) for 6 months	Placebo milk	Reduced salivary mutans streptococci (MS) levels and caries risk, especially in high-risk groups	12 months (6 months intervention + 6 months observation)
Ashwin D et al. ⁷ 2015	India	N=60 (30 per group)	Children	6–12 years	Probiotic ice cream with <i>Bifidobacterium</i> <i>lactis</i> Bb- 12 and <i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>acidophilus</i> La-5 daily for 7 days	Placebo cream ice	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Streptococcus</i> <i>mutans</i> (SMS) levels	6 months
Tehrani MH et al. ⁸ 2016	Iran	N=53 (30 probiotic, 23 placebo)	Children with early childhood caries	3–6 years	Pedilact probiotic drops (<i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>L. reuteri</i> , dan <i>Bifidobacterium</i> <i>longum</i> subsp) 5 drops nightly for 2 weeks	Placebo drops	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Streptococcus</i> <i>mutans</i> (SM); lactobacilli reduced in probiotic group but no intergroup difference	0.5 months



Pahumunt o N et al. ⁹ 2018	Thailand	N=124 randomize d, 103 completed	Preschool children	1.5–5 years	Milk with <i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>paracasei</i> SD1 (10 ⁷ CFU/g), daily for 3 months	Standard non- probiotic milk	Significant reduction in salivary MS and delayed new caries development	6 months
Alamoudi N et al. ¹⁰ 2018	Saudi Arabia	N=178 (90 experimen tal, 88 control)	Preschool children	3–6 years	Probiotic lozenges <i>L.</i> <i>reuteri</i> DSM 17938 dan ATCC PTA 5289, twice daily for 28 days	Placebo lozenges	Significant reductions in salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli; reduced plaque (OHI-S)	1 months
Villavicen cio J et al. ¹¹ 2018	Colombi a	N=363 (119 interventio n, 202 control)	Preschool children	3–4 years	Fortified milk with (<i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>rhamnosus</i> dan <i>Bifidobact</i> <i>eruim longum</i>), 200 mL, for 9 months (weekday)	Standard control milk	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Lactobacillus spp.</i> (p=0.002) and increased saliva buffer capacity (p=0.000). no differences in <i>S. mutans</i> or ICDAS caries	9 months



Manmontr i C et al. ¹² 2019	Thailand	N=487 recruited, 268 analyzed	Preschool children	1–5 years	Probiotic (<i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>paracasei</i> SD1) triweekly for 6 months	milk Daily or milk placebo	Significant reductions in salivary and plaque <i>S.</i> <i>mutans</i> (both doses) increased total lactobacilli	12 months (6 months intervention + 6 months post intervention)
Manikand an S et al. ¹³ 2020	India	N=40 (20 probiotic, 20 green tea)	Schoolchildren	6–8 years	Probiotic powder (10 mL, 1 min) for 1 month	mouthwash Green tea mouthwash	Significant salivary pH increase in probiotic group (5.60–7.20)	1 month
Borrell García C et al. ¹⁴ 2021	Spain	N=27 (12 experimen tal, 15 control)	Adolescents	12–18 years	Probiotic tablets (<i>L.</i> <i>reuteri</i> DSM 17938/ATCC PTA 5289) 1x10 ⁸ CFU/tablet, daily for 28 days	Placebo tablets	Reductions in <i>S. mutans</i> , plaque, gingivitis, and bleeding (not statistically significant); attenuated <i>S.</i> <i>mutans</i> increase	1.5 month
Duraisamy V et al. ¹⁵ 2021	India	N=40 (20 probiotic, 20 synbiotic)	Schoolchildren	6–12 years	Nilgiris probiotic curd (100 mL daily) for 15 days	Synbiotic curd (probiotic powder + oats)	Significant salivary <i>Streptococcus</i> <i>mutans</i> reduction in both groups (p=0.001); greater	0.5 month



								inhibition in probiotic group	
Sakhare S et al. ¹⁶ 2021	India	N=57 (31 study, 26 control)	Schoolchildren	6–12 years	Amul probiotic curd <i>L.acidophilus</i> (La5) dan <i>B.lactis</i> (Bb12)), 100g, twice daily for 21 days	No intervention	Significant salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction after 24 days (p=0.05); no pH change	0.8 month	
Krupa NC et al. ¹⁷ 2022	India	N=30 (10 probiotic, 10 CHX, 10 xylitol)	High-caries-risk schoolchildren	5–12 years	Probiotic mouthwash (5-strain mix; 15 mL daily) for 14 days	0.2% chlorhexidine or 10% xylitol mouthwash	Plaque <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction lowest in probiotics vs. active controls	0.5 month	
Staszczuk M et al. ¹⁸ 2022	Poland	N=140 (127 completed : 57 probiotic, 70 control)	Preschool children	3–6 years	Chewable tablets with heat-inactivated <i>Lactobacillus salivarius</i> , daily for 2 weeks	Usual care	Significant reductions in caries incidence and prevalence at 12 months (p=0.001)	12 months	



Discussions

Most studies examining the effects of probiotics on oral health in children have demonstrated positive outcomes, particularly in microbiological parameters. The reduction of harmful oral bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans*, is the most consistently reported effect across studies.² However, while probiotics show promising results for microbial balance, the long-term benefits for caries prevention remain inconsistent. The evidence suggests that probiotics may act as adjunctive preventive agents, complementing conventional oral care measures, rather than replacing them.⁶ Furthermore, the benefits of probiotics are generally more evident in short- to medium-term interventions, with more limited evidence for their effectiveness in the long term.⁹

Effect of Probiotics on Cariogenic Bacteria

One of the most consistent findings in studies involving probiotics is the reduction of *Streptococcus mutans*, a major contributor to dental caries.⁴ This effect is particularly noticeable in preschool-aged children and those at high risk for caries.⁸ Additionally, the reduction of lactobacilli has been observed in some studies, while others report an increase. This variation can be attributed to the strain-specific effects of probiotics, as different strains interact with the oral microbiota in distinct ways.¹¹ Probiotics are believed to work through mechanisms such as competitive adhesion to the tooth surface, the production of bacteriocins that inhibit harmful bacteria, and the modification of local pH to create a less favorable environment for cariogenic bacteria.⁵

Impact on Dental Caries and Plaque Accumulation

Long-term studies investigating the impact of probiotics on dental caries have shown mixed results. Some studies reported a reduction in caries prevalence or a delay in caries development.¹⁰ In contrast, short-term studies have more consistently demonstrated reductions in plaque accumulation and improvements in oral hygiene indices.¹² However, it is important to note that microbiological changes, such as the reduction of cariogenic bacteria, do not always immediately translate into a decrease in caries development. This suggests that while probiotics may help improve the oral microbiota, other factors, including dietary habits and oral hygiene practices, play a significant role in caries prevention.²



Effects on Gingival Health and Salivary Parameters

Several studies have highlighted the positive impact of probiotics on gingival health, with reductions in gingivitis and bleeding observed in probiotic-treated groups.^{8,9} Additionally, improvements in salivary parameters, such as increased salivary pH and buffering capacity, have been noted.¹³ These effects suggest that probiotics not only target harmful bacteria but also contribute to a healthier oral environment overall. By enhancing the buffering capacity and pH of saliva, probiotics may help protect teeth from acid erosion and support the neutralization of harmful acids in the mouth.¹⁵

Influence of Strain, Delivery Method, and Duration

The effectiveness of probiotics in improving oral health varies significantly depending on the strain used. Different probiotic strains, such as *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *L. paracasei*, *S. salivarius*, and *Bifidobacterium*, have shown varying degrees of efficacy in reducing cariogenic bacteria and improving oral health parameters.¹ The method of delivery, including milk, lozenges, tablets, or drops, also influences compliance and exposure. Milk and curd, for example, promote good compliance with daily exposure, while lozenges and tablets provide direct oral contact.¹² Mouthwash, on the other hand, offers a shorter contact time. Short interventions may temporarily alter bacterial counts, but their effects often do not persist after discontinuation. Continuous or early-life administration of probiotics may provide more lasting benefits, suggesting that probiotics may be more effective when used as part of a long-term strategy.³

Comparison with Conventional Agents

Several studies have compared probiotics with traditional agents, such as chlorhexidine and xylitol, in the prevention of oral health issues. While probiotics are generally considered safer and better accepted by children, they tend to be less potent than chlorhexidine, especially in terms of bacterial reduction.¹⁷ The main advantage of probiotics over chlorhexidine is their lower risk of side effects, such as staining of the teeth or altered taste perception, which can affect children's acceptance of the treatment. Additionally, probiotics are well-tolerated, with fewer reported adverse effects, making them a viable alternative or adjunct to traditional oral health measures.¹⁷



Limitations of Current Evidence

Despite the promising findings, several limitations exist within the current body of evidence. Some studies report no significant long-term effects of probiotics on oral health.¹⁰ Potential reasons for these inconsistencies include short follow-up periods, small sample sizes, low probiotic dosages, and differences in outcome measurement methods. These factors contribute to heterogeneity in study results, complicating the interpretation of the effectiveness of probiotics in oral health.¹⁸ Furthermore, there is a lack of standardized protocols across studies, and most studies have been of relatively short duration, with limited long-term randomized controlled trials available.

Implications and Future Research

To better understand the role of probiotics in pediatric oral health, there is a clear need for well-designed, long-term randomized controlled trials. Standardization of probiotic strains, dosages, and outcome assessment methods will also be crucial for comparing results across studies. Future research should explore the potential of probiotics as part of comprehensive preventive oral health programs, particularly for high-risk pediatric populations.¹² Given the promising effects of probiotics on oral microbiota, future studies should focus on their role in preventing caries and supporting oral health in vulnerable groups, with a focus on developing effective and accessible delivery methods.⁹

Conclusion

Based on the reviewed literature, probiotics have demonstrated positive effects on oral health in children, particularly in reducing cariogenic bacteria such as *Streptococcus mutans* and improving plaque control, gingival health, and salivary parameters. The effects are more consistent in short-to medium-term interventions and appear to be strain-specific and influenced by delivery method, dosage, and duration of administration. Although probiotics show promise as adjunctive preventive agents, their impact on long-term caries prevention remains variable, and they cannot replace conventional oral hygiene measures. Future research should focus on well-designed, long-term randomized controlled trials with standardized protocols to establish the optimal use of probiotics in pediatric oral health, especially for high-risk populations.



References

1. Hasslöf P, West CE, Karlsson Videhult F, Brandelius C, Stecksén-Blicks C. Early intervention with probiotic *Lactobacillus paracasei* F19 has no long-term effect on caries experience. *Caries Res*. 2013 Nov;47(6):559–65.
2. Stensson M, Koch G, Coric S, Abrahamsson TR, Jenmalm MC, Birkhed D, et al. Oral administration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* during the first year of life reduces caries prevalence in the primary dentition at 9 years of age. *Caries Res*. 2014 Feb;48(2):111–7.
3. Burton JP, Drummond BK, Chilcott CN, Tagg JR, Thomson WM, Hale JDF, et al. Influence of the probiotic *Streptococcus salivarius* strain M18 on indices of dental health in children: A randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Med Microbiol*. 2013 Jun;62(PART6):875–84.
4. Campus G, Cocco F, Carta G, Cagetti MG, Simark-Mattson C, Strohmenger L, et al. Effect of a daily dose of *Lactobacillus brevis* CD2 lozenges in high caries risk schoolchildren. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2014 Mar;18(2):555–61.
5. Mishra R, Tandon S, Rathore M, Banerjee M. Antimicrobial and plaque inhibitory potential of herbal and probiotic oral rinses in children: A randomized clinical trial. *Indian J Dent Res*. 2014 Oct 1;25(4):485–92.
6. Teanpaisan R, Piwat S, Tianviwat S, Sophatha B, Kampoo T. Effect of long-term consumption of *Lactobacillus Paracasei* SD1 on reducing Mutans streptococci and caries risk: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Dent J (Basel)*. 2015 Jun 1;3(2):43–54.
7. Ashwin D, Vijayaprasad KE, Taranath M, Ramagoni NK, Nara A, Sarpangala M. Effect of probiotic containing ice-cream on salivary mutans streptococci (SMS) levels in children of 6-12 years of age: A randomized controlled double blind study with six-months follow up. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2015;9(2):ZC06–9.
8. Tehrani M, Akhlaghi N, Talebian L, Emami J, Keyhani S. Effects of probiotic drop containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Bifidobacterium infantis*, and *Lactobacillus reuteri* on salivary *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* levels. *Contemp Clin Dent*. 2016 Oct 1;7(4):469–74.
9. Pahumunto N, Piwat S, Chankanka O, Akkarachaneeyakorn N, Rangsitsathian K, Teanpaisan R. Reducing mutans streptococci and caries development by *Lactobacillus paracasei* SD1 in preschool children: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2018 Jul 4;76(5):331–7.
10. Alamoudi NM, Almadadi ES, El Ashiry EA, El Derwi DA. Effect of Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* on Salivary Cariogenic Bacterial Counts among Groups of Preschool Children in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2018;42(5):331–8.
11. Villavicencio J, Villegas LM, Arango MC, Arias S, Triana F. Effects of a food enriched with probiotics on *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* spp. salivary counts in preschool children: A cluster randomized trial. *Journal of Applied Oral Science*. 2018;26.



12. Manmontri C, Nirunsittirat A, Piwat S, Wattanarat O, Pahumunto N, Makeudom A, et al. Reduction of *Streptococcus mutans* by probiotic milk: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2020 Jul 1;24(7):2363–74.
13. Manikandan S, Behera S, Karthikeyan R, Niranjana A, Bharathan R, Mohammed OFB. Effect of green tea extract mouthrinse and probiotic mouthrinse on salivary pH in a group of schoolchildren: An in vivo study. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci*. 2020 Aug 1;12(5):S404–9.
14. Borrell García C, Ribelles Llop M, García Esparza MÁ, Flichy-Fernández AJ, Marqués Martínez L, Izquierdo Fort R. The use of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289 on oral health indexes in a school population: A pilot randomized clinical trial. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol*. 2021;35.
15. Duraisamy V, Geethapriya PR, Bharath C, Niveditha RS, Baby John J. Role of probiotics and synbiotics on inhibiting *Streptococcus mutans* level in saliva of children: A randomized controlled trial. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent*. 2021 Jul 1;39(3):275–8.
16. Sakhare S, Shantanu C, Mopagar V, Hadpe H, Choughule K, Dahapute S, et al. A comparative evaluation of probiotic formulations in prevention of dental caries: A clinical study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent*. 2021 Oct 1;39(4):416–22.
17. Krupa NC, Thippeswamy HM, Chandrashekar BR. Antimicrobial efficacy of Xylitol, Probiotic and Chlorhexidine mouth rinses among children and elderly population at high risk for dental caries - A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Prev Med Hyg*. 2022 Jun 1;63(2):E282–7.
18. Staszczuk M, Jamka-Kasprzyk M, Kościelniak D, Cienkosz-Stepańczak B, Krzyściak W, Jurczak A. Effect of a Short-Term Intervention with *Lactobacillus salivarius* Probiotic on Early Childhood Caries - An Open Label Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Oct 1;19(19).



Joko Kusnoto <joko.k@trisakti.ac.id>

Submit manuskrip pada Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Drg. Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, M.Kes <sitirusdiana@fk.unsri.ac.id>

Wed, May 20, 2026 at 8:19 PM

To: Joko Kusnoto <joko.k@trisakti.ac.id>

Dear Author

HASIL REVIEW/KOMENTAR DARI REVIEWER TELAH KAMI KIRIMKAN MELALUI AKUN KORESPONDENSI ANDA. MOHON UNTUK SEGERA MELAKUKAN PERBAIKAN SESUAI DENGAN ARAHAN REVIEWER.

Terima kasih

Drg, Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, M.Kes
Editor in Chief Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

- The abstract and methods state that a systematic literature review was conducted" However, standard systematic review protocols are missing. There is no PRISMA flow diagram, no clear reporting of the exact number of articles retrieved vs. excluded, and no quality assessment of the included studies. Transforming this from a standard narrative review into a true systematic review, or adjusting the terminology, is the critical next step.
- The Results section jumps immediately into Table 1 without an introductory paragraph summarizing the collective data. Add a brief descriptive text before or after Table 1.
- In the "Intervention" row for Tehrani MH et al., there is a minor typo: "...*dan Bifidobacterium longum subsp*)". Change the Indonesian word "*dan*" to the English "*and*".
- In the "Influence of Strain, Delivery Method, and Duration" section, expand slightly on *why* certain vehicles matter. For instance, dairy products (milk/curd) naturally contain calcium and phosphate , which might independently assist in salivary buffering capacity , synergizing with the probiotic effect.
- Add a brief sentence on how clinicians should interpret this data right now. Since long-term caries data is variable , probiotics should be explicitly framed to patients as an elective, protective shield rather than a cure for poor brushing habits.
- References: Ensure that the numbering in the text strictly maps to the Final References sequence (1–18). Do not use separate numbering for the table and the text.
- Ensure all journal abbreviations are punctuated uniformly.



Joko Kusnoto <joko.k@trisakti.ac.id>

Submit manuskrip pada Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Joko Kusnoto <joko.k@trisakti.ac.id>

Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:15 PM

To: "Drg. Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, M.Kes" <sitirusdiana@fk.unsri.ac.id>

Cc: martha mozartha <marthamozartha@fk.unsri.ac.id>

Yth Drg, Siti Rusdiana Puspa Dewi, M.Kes
Editor in Chief Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry

Bersama ini kami bermaksud mensubmit revisi manuskrip kami yang berjudul The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children: A Narrative Review. Kami telah melakukan revisi sesuai dengan komentar dan masukan dari para reviewer. Besar harapan kami agar manuskrip tersebut dapat dipertimbangkan untuk dipublikasikan pada Sriwijaya Journal of Dentistry edisi mendatang. Atas perhatian dan pertimbangannya, kami ucapkan terima kasih.

Hormat kami,
Joko Kusnoto

2 attachments



Manuscript The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children - REV 01.docx
296K



Manuscript The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children - Review Reply.pdf
240K



The Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children : A Narrative Review

Alyah Heriandi¹, Joko Kusnoto^{2*}, Armelia Sari Widyarman³

¹Master Program of Dental Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

²Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

³Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

*Correspondence author email: joko.k@trisakti.ac.id

Abstract

Introduction: Oral health problems, particularly dental caries, continue to be a significant global health challenge for children. Caries leads to pain, infection, impaired nutrition, and affect overall well-being. Probiotics, live microorganisms with potential health benefits, have emerged as a preventive measure in pediatric dentistry, particularly in managing oral microbiota. **Purpose:** This review evaluates the impact of probiotics on oral health in children, focusing on caries prevention, microbiota composition, plaque, gingival health, and salivary parameters. **Methods:** A narrative review was conducted, analyzing randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies published between 2010 and 2025. Data was synthesized qualitatively to identify trends and outcomes across various probiotic interventions. **Results:** Studies generally show that probiotics reduce cariogenic bacteria like *Streptococcus mutans*, improve plaque control, and enhance gingival health. However, long-term benefits for caries prevention remain inconsistent. The effectiveness of probiotics varies by strain, dosage, and delivery method. **Conclusion:** Probiotics hold promise as adjunctive agents in pediatric oral health care but are not a substitute for traditional oral hygiene practices. Further research, particularly long-term studies with standardized protocols, is essential to establish clear guidelines for their use in preventing caries in children.

Keywords: children; dental caries; gingivitis; microbiota; oral health; probiotic

Introduction

Oral health problems in children remain a major global health concern, with dental caries recognized as the most common chronic disease in childhood.¹ These conditions can cause pain, infection, and impaired nutrition, negatively affecting growth, general health, quality of life, and school performance. Early childhood is a critical period for establishing oral habits and microbiota composition, which may have long-term implications for oral and systemic health.²

The oral microbiota plays a central role in pediatric oral health, as its composition determines susceptibility to caries and other oral diseases. A predominance of cariogenic bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans*, is strongly associated with caries development. Salivary pH, buffering capacity, plaque accumulation, and gingival inflammation are closely



linked to the balance of oral microbiota. In children, the oral microbial ecosystem is more modifiable than in adults, providing opportunities for early preventive interventions.³

Probiotics, defined as live or inactivated microorganisms that confer health benefits when administered in adequate amounts, have emerged as a potential preventive approach in dentistry. In pediatric oral health, probiotics are proposed to compete with cariogenic bacteria, produce antimicrobial substances, and modulate host immune and inflammatory responses. Various probiotic delivery methods, including milk, lozenges, tablets, drops, curd, ice cream, and mouthwash, have been studied to optimize effectiveness and compliance in children.⁴

Despite their promise, evidence on the efficacy of probiotics in preventing dental diseases is inconsistent. Some studies demonstrate reductions in *S. mutans* levels, plaque accumulation, gingivitis, and caries incidence, while others report minimal or no long-term benefits. These discrepancies may result from differences in probiotic strains, dosage, duration, age groups, and outcome measures, complicating clinical decision-making.⁵

This **narrative** review aims to synthesize current evidence on the effect of probiotics on oral health in children. Specifically, it evaluates outcomes related to dental caries, oral microbiota, plaque, gingival health, and salivary parameters, providing insights relevant for preventive pediatric dentistry. Understanding the potential benefits and limitations of probiotics may inform strategies to improve oral health outcomes in children.⁶

Methods

Study Design

This study employs a narrative review approach to synthesize evidence on the effects of probiotics on oral health in children. The review focuses on experimental studies, primarily randomized controlled trials (RCTs), clinical trials, and quasi-experimental studies conducted in human subjects.

Search Strategy

Relevant literature was identified through structured searches of electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using combinations of keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms such as:

- “probiotics”
- “oral health” OR “dental caries” OR “gingivitis”



- “children” OR “pediatric”

Boolean operators and truncation were applied to refine the search (e.g., *probiotic* AND *oral health* AND *children*). Only studies published in English between 2010 and 2025 were considered to ensure contemporary relevance.

Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were established using the PICOS framework:

- Patients/Population (P): Studies involving children aged 0 to 18 years.
- Intervention (I): Administrations of probiotics in any form (e.g., milk, tablets, candies, drops, toothpaste, or mouthwash).
- Comparison (C): Studies with or without comparison groups receiving placebo or no intervention.
- Outcome (O): Any oral health-related parameters evaluated.
- Study design and publication limits (S): Experimental designs including randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, or quasi-experimental studies. The studies must be conducted in humans. Only articles published within the last 15 years (2010-2025) will be included for review.

Data Extraction

A standardized data extraction form was used to collect relevant information from each study, including:

- Author(s) and year of publication
- Study design
- Sample size and population characteristics
- Type, dosage, and duration of probiotic intervention
- Comparison or control conditions
- Oral health outcomes measured
- Main findings and conclusions



Results

A total of 18 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were subsequently included in this review. Most of the selected articles were randomized controlled trials investigating the effects of different probiotic strains on oral health outcomes among children. Considerable variation was observed across studies regarding the probiotic strains administered, methods of delivery, duration of intervention, and outcome parameters evaluated. The majority of studies focused on the impact of probiotics on cariogenic microorganisms, plaque accumulation, gingival condition, and salivary characteristics. A detailed summary of the studies included is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Articles Examining the Effect of Probiotic on Oral Health in Children

Author, Year	Country	Sample Size (N)	Population	Age (Years)	Intervention	Control	Key Results	Follow-up (Months)
Hasslöf P et al. ¹ 2013	Sweden	N=118 (56 probiotic, 62 placebo)	Children	4 months – 9 years (starting at 4–13 months)	Cereal enriched with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> F19 (1 x 10 ⁸ CFU) daily for 9 months	Placebo cereal (without probiotic)	No significant long-term effects on caries frequency (dmfs/DMFS), <i>S. mutans</i> , or <i>lactobacilli</i> levels at age 9	108 months
Stensson M et al. ² 2014	Sweden	N=113 (60 probiotic, 53 placebo)	Children	9 years	Drops with <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> ATCC 55730 (10 ⁸ CFU daily) for 12 months (first year of life)	Placebo drops (oil without bacteria)	Significant reduction in primary caries prevalence at age 9 (82% caries-free in probiotic vs. 58% in	108 months



								control); also reduced gingivitis	
Burton JP et al. ³ 2013	New Zealand	N=83 (40 probiotic, 43 placebo)	Schoolchildren	5 – 10 years	Sucrose-free lozenges with <i>S. salivarius</i> M18 (twice daily)	Placebo lozenges		Significant reduction in plaque accumulation (OHI-S) at 3 months, especially in high-plaque subjects; reduced <i>S. mutans</i> in colonized subgroups	7 months (3 months intervention + 4 months post intervention)
Campus G et al. ⁴ 2014	Italy	N=191 registered (91 test, 90 control)	High-caries-risk schoolchildren	6–8 years	Sucrose-free lozenges with <i>Lactobacillus brevis</i> CD2 (2×10^8 CFU, twice daily) for 6 weeks	Placebo lozenges		Significant reduction in <i>S. mutans</i> concentration in saliva and plaque acidity (AUC 5.7 and 6.2)	2 months
Mishra R et al. ⁵ 2014	India	N=60 (20 per group)	Children	6–14 years	Probiotic mint tablets (Probiora3) dissolved in 5 mL water as rinse (twice daily) for 7 days	0.2% chlorhexidine or herbal mouthwash		Probiotics least effective for plaque index (PI) and <i>Streptococcus viridans</i> counts compared to active controls	0.25 months



Teanpaisa n R et al. ⁶ 2015	Thailand	N=110	Adolescents	12–14 years (mean: 13.19)	Powdered milk with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> SD1 (daily) for 6 months	Placebo milk	Reduced salivary mutans streptococci (MS) levels and caries risk, especially in high-risk groups	12 months (6 months intervention + 6 months observation)
Ashwin D et al. ⁷ 2015	India	N=60 (30 per group)	Children	6–12 years	Probiotic ice cream with <i>Bifidobacterium</i> <i>lactis</i> Bb- 12 and <i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>acidophilus</i> La-5 daily for 7 days	Placebo cream ice	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Streptococcus</i> <i>mutans</i> (SMS) levels	6 months
Tehrani MH et al. ⁸ 2016	Iran	N=53 (30 probiotic, 23 placebo)	Children with early childhood caries	3–6 years	Pedilact probiotic drops (<i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>L. reuteri</i> , and <i>Bifidobacterium</i> <i>longum</i> subsp) 5 drops nightly for 2 weeks	Placebo drops	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Streptococcus</i> <i>mutans</i> (SM); lactobacilli reduced in probiotic group but no intergroup difference	0.5 months



Pahumunt o N et al. ⁹ 2018	Thailand	N=124 randomize d, 103 completed	Preschool children	1.5–5 years	Milk with <i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>paracasei</i> SD1 (10 ⁷ CFU/g), daily for 3 months	Standard non- probiotic milk	Significant reduction in salivary MS and delayed new caries development	6 months
Alamoudi N et al. ¹⁰ 2018	Saudi Arabia	N=178 (90 experimen tal, 88 control)	Preschool children	3–6 years	Probiotic lozenges <i>L.</i> <i>reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289, twice daily for 28 days	Placebo lozenges	Significant reductions in salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli; reduced plaque (OHI-S)	1 months
Villavicen cio J et al. ¹¹ 2018	Colombi a	N=363 (119 interventio n, 202 control)	Preschool children	3–4 years	Fortified milk with (<i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>ramnosus</i> and <i>Bifidobact</i> <i>eruim longum</i>), 200 mL, for 9 months (weekday)	Standard control milk	Significant reduction in salivary <i>Lactobacillus spp.</i> (p=0.002) and increased saliva buffer capacity (p=0.000). no differences in <i>S. mutans</i> or ICDAS caries	9 months



Manmontr i C et al. ¹² 2019	Thailand	N=487 recruited, 268 analyzed	Preschool children	1–5 years	Probiotic (<i>Lactobacillus</i> <i>paracasei</i> SD1) triweekly for 6 months	milk Daily or milk placebo	Significant reductions in salivary and plaque <i>S.</i> <i>mutans</i> (both doses) increased total lactobacilli	12 months (6 months intervention + 6 months post intervention)
Manikand an S et al. ¹³ 2020	India	N=40 (20 probiotic, 20 green tea)	Schoolchildren	6–8 years	Probiotic powder (10 mL, 1 min) for 1 month	mouthwash Green tea mouthwash	Significant salivary pH increase in probiotic group (5.60–7.20)	1 month
Borrell García C et al. ¹⁴ 2021	Spain	N=27 (12 experimen tal, 15 control)	Adolescents	12–18 years	Probiotic tablets (<i>L.</i> <i>reuteri</i> DSM 17938/ATCC PTA 5289) 1x10 ⁸ CFU/tablet, daily for 28 days	Placebo tablets	Reductions in <i>S. mutans</i> , plaque, gingivitis, and bleeding (not statistically significant); attenuated <i>S.</i> <i>mutans</i> increase	1.5 month
Duraisamy V et al. ¹⁵ 2021	India	N=40 (20 probiotic, 20 synbiotic)	Schoolchildren	6–12 years	Nilgiris probiotic curd (100 mL daily) for 15 days	Synbiotic curd (probiotic powder + oats)	Significant salivary <i>Streptococcus</i> <i>mutans</i> reduction in both groups (p=0.001); greater	0.5 month



							inhibition in probiotic group	
Sakhare S et al. ¹⁶ 2021	India	N=57 (31 study, 26 control)	Schoolchildren	6–12 years	Amul probiotic curd <i>L.acidophilus</i> (La5) and <i>B. lactis</i> (Bb12)), 100g, twice daily for 21 days	No intervention	Significant salivary <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction after 24 days (p=0.05); no pH change	0.8 month
Krupa NC et al. ¹⁷ 2022	India	N=30 (10 probiotic, 10 CHX, 10 xylitol)	High-caries-risk schoolchildren	5–12 years	Probiotic mouthwash (5-strain mix; 15 mL daily) for 14 days	0.2% chlorhexidine or 10% xylitol mouthwash	Plaque <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> reduction lowest in probiotics vs. active controls	0.5 month
Staszczuk M et al. ¹⁸ 2022	Poland	N=140 (127 completed : 57 probiotic, 70 control)	Preschool children	3–6 years	Chewable tablets with heat-inactivated <i>Lactobacillus salivarius</i> , daily for 2 weeks	Usual care	Significant reductions in caries incidence and prevalence at 12 months (p=0.001)	12 months



Discussions

Most studies examining the effects of probiotics on oral health in children have demonstrated positive outcomes, particularly in microbiological parameters. The reduction of harmful oral bacteria, such as *Streptococcus mutans*, is the most consistently reported effect across studies.² However, while probiotics show promising results for microbial balance, the long-term benefits for caries prevention remain inconsistent. The evidence suggests that probiotics may act as adjunctive preventive agents, complementing conventional oral care measures, rather than replacing them.⁶ Furthermore, the benefits of probiotics are generally more evident in short- to medium-term interventions, with more limited evidence for their effectiveness in the long term.⁹

Effect of Probiotics on Cariogenic Bacteria

One of the most consistent findings in studies involving probiotics is the reduction of *Streptococcus mutans*, a major contributor to dental caries.⁴ This effect is particularly noticeable in preschool-aged children and those at high risk for caries.⁸ Additionally, the reduction of lactobacilli has been observed in some studies, while others report an increase. This variation can be attributed to the strain-specific effects of probiotics, as different strains interact with the oral microbiota in distinct ways.¹¹ Probiotics are believed to work through mechanisms such as competitive adhesion to the tooth surface, the production of bacteriocins that inhibit harmful bacteria, and the modification of local pH to create a less favorable environment for cariogenic bacteria.⁵

Impact on Dental Caries and Plaque Accumulation

Long-term studies investigating the impact of probiotics on dental caries have shown mixed results. Some studies reported a reduction in caries prevalence or a delay in caries development.¹⁰ In contrast, short-term studies have more consistently demonstrated reductions in plaque accumulation and improvements in oral hygiene indices.¹² However, it is important to note that microbiological changes, such as the reduction of cariogenic bacteria, do not always immediately translate into a decrease in caries development. This suggests that while probiotics may help improve the oral microbiota, other factors, including dietary habits and oral hygiene practices, play a significant role in caries prevention.²



Effects on Gingival Health and Salivary Parameters

Several studies have highlighted the positive impact of probiotics on gingival health, with reductions in gingivitis and bleeding observed in probiotic-treated groups.^{8,9} Additionally, improvements in salivary parameters, such as increased salivary pH and buffering capacity, have been noted.¹³ These effects suggest that probiotics not only target harmful bacteria but also contribute to a healthier oral environment overall. By enhancing the buffering capacity and pH of saliva, probiotics may help protect teeth from acid erosion and support the neutralization of harmful acids in the mouth.¹⁵

Influence of Strain, Delivery Method, and Duration

The effectiveness of probiotics in improving oral health varies significantly depending on the strain used. Different probiotic strains, such as *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *L. paracasei*, *S. salivarius*, and *Bifidobacterium*, have shown varying degrees of efficacy in reducing cariogenic bacteria and improving oral health parameters.¹ The method of delivery, including milk, lozenges, tablets, or drops, also influences compliance and exposure. Milk and curd, for example, promote good compliance with daily exposure, while lozenges and tablets provide direct oral contact.¹² Dairy-based delivery vehicles may also provide additional oral health benefits because they naturally contain calcium, phosphate, and casein, which support enamel remineralization and enhance salivary buffering capacity.¹¹ Furthermore, the semisolid consistency of curd may prolong oral retention time, potentially increasing probiotic interaction with the dental biofilm. These properties may create a synergistic effect between probiotics and the dairy matrix in reducing cariogenic activity and supporting oral homeostasis.¹⁶ Mouthwash, on the other hand, offers a shorter contact time. Short interventions may temporarily alter bacterial counts, but their effects often do not persist after discontinuation. Continuous or early-life administration of probiotics may provide more lasting benefits, suggesting that probiotics may be more effective when used as part of a long-term strategy.³

Comparison with Conventional Agents

Several studies have compared probiotics with traditional agents, such as chlorhexidine and xylitol, in the prevention of oral health issues. While probiotics are generally considered safer and better accepted by children, they tend to be less potent than chlorhexidine, especially in terms of bacterial reduction.¹⁷ The main advantage of probiotics over chlorhexidine is their



lower risk of side effects, such as staining of the teeth or altered taste perception, which can affect children's acceptance of the treatment. Additionally, probiotics are well-tolerated, with fewer reported adverse effects, making them a viable alternative or adjunct to traditional oral health measures.¹⁷

Limitations of Current Evidence

Despite the promising findings, several limitations exist within the current body of evidence. Some studies report no significant long-term effects of probiotics on oral health.¹⁰ Potential reasons for these inconsistencies include short follow-up periods, small sample sizes, low probiotic dosages, and differences in outcome measurement methods. These factors contribute to heterogeneity in study results, complicating the interpretation of the effectiveness of probiotics in oral health.¹⁸ Furthermore, there is a lack of standardized protocols across studies, and most studies have been of relatively short duration, with limited long-term randomized controlled trials available.

Implications and Future Research

To better understand the role of probiotics in pediatric oral health, there is a clear need for well-designed, long-term randomized controlled trials. Standardization of probiotic strains, dosages, and outcome assessment methods will also be crucial for comparing results across studies. Future research should explore the potential of probiotics as part of comprehensive preventive oral health programs, particularly for high-risk pediatric populations.¹² Given the promising effects of probiotics on oral microbiota, future studies should focus on their role in preventing caries and supporting oral health in vulnerable groups, with a focus on developing effective and accessible delivery methods.⁹ Current evidence suggests that probiotics may provide supportive benefits in maintaining oral health. However, their long-term effectiveness in caries prevention remains inconclusive. Therefore, probiotics should be considered adjunctive preventive agents that complement conventional oral hygiene practices rather than substitutes for proper toothbrushing and established caries prevention measures.



Conclusion

Based on the evidence summarized in this review, probiotics have demonstrated positive effects on oral health in children, particularly in reducing cariogenic bacteria such as *Streptococcus mutans* and improving plaque control, gingival health, and salivary parameters. The effects are more consistent in short-to medium-term interventions and appear to be strain-specific and influenced by delivery method, dosage, and duration of administration. Although probiotics show promise as adjunctive preventive agents, their impact on long-term caries prevention remains variable, and they cannot replace conventional oral hygiene measures. Future research should focus on well-designed, long-term randomized controlled trials with standardized protocols to establish the optimal use of probiotics in pediatric oral health, especially for high-risk populations.

References

1. Hasslöf P, West CE, Karlsson Videhult F, Brandelius C, Stecksén-Blicks C. Early intervention with probiotic *Lactobacillus paracasei* F19 has no long-term effect on caries experience. *Caries Res* 2013;47(6):559–565.
2. Stensson M, Koch G, Coric S, et al. Oral administration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* during the first year of life reduces caries prevalence in the primary dentition at 9 years of age. *Caries Res* 2014;48(2):111–117.
3. Burton JP, Drummond BK, Chilcott CN, et al. Influence of the probiotic *Streptococcus salivarius* strain M18 on indices of dental health in children: A randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Med Microbiol* 2013;62(PART6):875–884.
4. Campus G, Cocco F, Carta G, et al. Effect of a daily dose of *Lactobacillus brevis* CD2 lozenges in high caries risk schoolchildren. *Clin Oral Investig* 2014;18(2):555–561.
5. Mishra R, Tandon S, Rathore M, Banerjee M. Antimicrobial and plaque inhibitory potential of herbal and probiotic oral rinses in children: A randomized clinical trial. *Indian J Dent Res* 2014;25(4):485–492.
6. Teanpaisan R, Piwat S, Tianviwat S, Sophatha B, Kampoo T. Effect of long-term consumption of *Lactobacillus Paracasei* SD1 on reducing *Mutans streptococci* and caries risk: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Dent J (Basel)* 2015;3(2):43–54.
7. Ashwin D, Vijayaprasad KE, Taranath M, Ramagoni NK, Nara A, Sarpangala M. Effect of probiotic containing ice-cream on salivary *mutans streptococci* (SMS) levels in children of 6-12 years of age: A randomized controlled double blind study with six-months follow up. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2015;9(2):ZC06–ZC09.
8. Tehrani M, Akhlaghi N, Talebian L, Emami J, Keyhani S. Effects of probiotic drop containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Bifidobacterium infantis*, and *Lactobacillus reuteri* on salivary *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* levels. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2016;7(4):469–474.



9. Pahumunto N, Piwat S, Chankanka O, Akkarachaneeyakorn N, Rangsitsathian K, Teanpaisan R. Reducing mutans streptococci and caries development by *Lactobacillus paracasei* SD1 in preschool children: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2018;76(5):331–337.
10. Alamoudi NM, Almadadi ES, Ashiry EA El, Derwi DA El. Effect of Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* on Salivary Cariogenic Bacterial Counts among Groups of Preschool Children in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 2018;42(5):331–338.
11. Villavicencio J, Villegas LM, Arango MC, Arias S, Triana F. Effects of a food enriched with probiotics on *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* spp. salivary counts in preschool children: A cluster randomized trial. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2018;26.
12. Manmontri C, Nirunsittirat A, Piwat S, et al. Reduction of *Streptococcus mutans* by probiotic milk: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Investig* 2020;24(7):2363–2374.
13. Manikandan S, Behera S, Karthikeyan R, Niranjana A, Bharathan R, Mohammed OFB. Effect of green tea extract mouthrinse and probiotic mouthrinse on salivary pH in a group of schoolchildren: An in vivo study. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2020;12(5):S404–S409.
14. Borrell García C, Ribelles Llop M, García Esparza MÁ, Flichy-Fernández AJ, Marqués Martínez L, Izquierdo Fort R. The use of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289 on oral health indexes in a school population: A pilot randomized clinical trial. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 2021;35.
15. Duraisamy V, Geethapriya PR, Bharath C, Niveditha RS, Baby John J. Role of probiotics and synbiotics on inhibiting *Streptococcus mutans* level in saliva of children: A randomized controlled trial. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2021;39(3):275–278.
16. Sakhare S, Shantanu C, Mopagar V, et al. A comparative evaluation of probiotic formulations in prevention of dental caries: A clinical study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2021;39(4):416–422.
17. Krupa NC, Thippeswamy HM, Chandrashekar BR. Antimicrobial efficacy of Xylitol, Probiotic and Chlorhexidine mouth rinses among children and elderly population at high risk for dental caries - A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Prev Med Hyg* 2022;63(2):E282–E287.
18. Staszczuk M, Jamka-Kasprzyk M, Kościelniak D, Cienkosz-Stepańczak B, Krzyściak W, Jurczak A. Effect of a Short-Term Intervention with *Lactobacillus salivarius* Probiotic on Early Childhood Caries—An Open Label Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;19(19).

Reply to the reviewers' comments

Original comments of the reviewer	Reply by the author(s)	Changes done on page number
The abstract and methods state that a systematic literature review was conducted" However, standard systematic review protocols are missing. There is no PRISMA flow diagram, no clear reporting of the exact number of articles retrieved vs. excluded, and no quality assessment of the included studies. Transforming this from a standard narrative review into a true systematic review, or adjusting the terminology, is the critical next step.	Thank you for your valuable comment. We have revised the manuscript terminology to narrative review in the title, abstract, aim, and study design.	1, 2
The Results section jumps immediately into Table 1 without an introductory paragraph summarizing the collective data. Add a brief descriptive text before or after Table 1.	Thank you for your valuable comment. We have added introductory paragraph summarizing the collective data. A total of 18 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were subsequently included in this review. Most of the selected articles were randomized controlled trials investigating the effects of different probiotic strains on oral health outcomes among children. Considerable variation was observed across studies regarding the probiotic strains administered, methods of delivery, duration of intervention, and outcome parameters evaluated. The majority of studies focused on the impact of probiotics on cariogenic microorganisms, plaque accumulation, gingival condition, and salivary characteristics. A detailed summary of the studies included is presented in Table 1.	4

In the "Intervention" row for Tehrani MH et al., there is a minor typo: "... <i>dan Bifidobacterium longum subsp</i> ". Change the Indonesian word " <i>dan</i> " to the English " <i>and</i> ".	Thank you for your valuable comment. We have replaced "dan" with "and" in the intervention row for Tehrani, Alamoudi, Villavicencio, and Sakhare.	6, 7, 9
In the "Influence of Strain, Delivery Method, and Duration" section, expand slightly on <i>why</i> certain vehicles matter. For instance, dairy products (milk/curd) naturally contain calcium and phosphate , which might independently assist in salivary buffering capacity , synergizing with the probiotic effect.	Thank you for your valuable comment. We have revised the manuscript in the Influence of Strain, Delivery Method, and Duration section regarding why certain vehicles matter. Dairy-based delivery vehicles may also provide additional oral health benefits because they naturally contain calcium, phosphate, and casein, which support enamel remineralization and enhance salivary buffering capacity.¹¹ Furthermore, the semisolid consistency of curd may prolong oral retention time, potentially increasing probiotic interaction with the dental biofilm. These properties may create a synergistic effect between probiotics and the dairy matrix in reducing cariogenic activity and supporting oral homeostasis.¹⁶	11
Add a brief sentence on how clinicians should interpret this data right now. Since long-term caries data is variable , probiotics should be explicitly framed to patients as an elective, protective shield rather than a cure for poor brushing habits.	Thank you for your valuable comment. We have revised the manuscript in the Implications and Future Research regarding on how clinicians should interpret this data right now. Current evidence suggests that probiotics may provide supportive benefits in maintaining oral health. However, their long-term effectiveness in caries prevention remains inconclusive. Therefore, probiotics should be considered adjunctive preventive agents that complement conventional oral hygiene practices rather than substitutes for proper toothbrushing and established caries prevention measures.	12
References: Ensure that the numbering in the text strictly maps to the Final References sequence (1–18). Do not use separate numbering for the table and the	Thank you for your valuable comment. We have checked that the numbering in the text strictly maps to the Final References sequence (1–18).	-

text.		
Ensure all journal abbreviations are punctuated uniformly.	Thank you for your valuable comment. We have revised the abbreviation of reference no 11. Villavicencio J, Villegas LM, Arango MC, Arias S, Triana F. Effects of a food enriched with probiotics on Streptococcus mutans and Lactobacillus spp. salivary counts in preschool children: A cluster randomized trial. J Appl Oral Sci 2018;26.	14