



Home / Archives / Vol. 27 No. 2 (2025): Desember 2025 (In Press) / Articles

Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities

**Cut Sannas Saskia**  
Universitas Trisakti  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-9369-9652>

**Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari**  
Universitas Trisakti

**Punto Wijayanto**  
Universitas Trisakti

**Inavonna Inavonna**  
Universitas Trisakti

**Teungku Nelly Fatmawati**  
Universitas Trisakti

**Akhlish Dinal Azliz**  
Universitas Trisakti

**Mohammad Ischak**  
Universitas Trisakti

**Witwi Tjlook**  
Landscape Architect bij Gemeente Rotterdam

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.23969/infomatek.v27i2.34331>

**Keywords:** Climate resilience, green infrastructure, livability, private open space, sustainability

Abstract

Green open space is decreasing along with urban development. Development in urban areas has an impact on reducing green land which is important for urban resilience. In Jakarta, the reduction in water-absorbing surfaces has exacerbated environmental problems such as flooding, rising temperatures and decreasing biodiversity. This study aims to evaluate the ecological performance of green open spaces, especially in private homes in the Menteng area, Jakarta, which is recognized as the first garden city in Indonesia, as a representation for understanding the role of green open spaces in advancing urban sustainability and climate resilience. The focus is on the extent of private green open space that still exists in the city area, especially Menteng. Using mixed-method spatial analysis with GIS-based mapping and field surveys of more than 85 residential lots, this study applies the Basic Green Coefficient to measure green space coverage. The results show an average coefficient of 44%, indicating substantial integration of green spaces in the built environment. These private green areas increase rainwater infiltration, reduce flooding, reduce the heat island effect, regulate microclimate, and support air purification, carbon

PDF

Published  
2025-12-18

How to Cite  
Saskia, C. S., Winandari, M. I. R., Wijayanto, P., Inavonna, I., Fatmawati, T. N., Azliz, A. D., ... Tjlook, W. (2025). Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities. *Infomatek*, 27(2), 229-242.  
<https://doi.org/10.23969/infomatek.v27i2.34331>

More Citation Formats

Issue:  
Vol. 27 No. 2 (2025): Desember 2025 (In Press)

Section:  
Articles



Sertifikasi Sinta 5

Memasukkan Naskah

Topik dan Tema

Petunjuk bagi Penulis

Ethics Statement

Tim Editor

Mitra Bestari dan Proses Review

Biaya Publikasi

Indeksasi

Kebijakan Open Access

Hak Cipta

Login

Register

Kontak Kami



Berkas Artikel Jurnal  
“ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE THROUGH GREEN  
INFRASTRUCTURE: ANALYZING THE ROLE OF PRIVATE GREEN  
OPEN SPACES IN TROPICAL MEGACITIES”

- Artikel Jurnal
- Bukti korespondensi
- Bukti similarity
- Proof read

# Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities

Green open space is decreasing along with urban development. Development in urban areas has an impact on reducing green land which is important for urban resilience. In Jakarta, the reduction in water-absorbing surfaces has exacerbated environmental problems such as flooding, rising temperatures and decreasing biodiversity. This study aims to evaluate the ecological performance of green open spaces, especially in private homes in the Menteng area, Jakarta, which is recognized as the first garden city in Indonesia, as a representation for understanding the role of green open spaces in advancing urban sustainability and climate resilience. The focus is on the extent of private green open space that still exists in the city area, especially Menteng. Using mixed-method spatial analysis with GIS-based mapping and field surveys of more than 85 residential lots, this study applies the Basic Green Coefficient to measure green space coverage. The results show an average coefficient of 44%, indicating substantial integration of green spaces in the built environment. These private green areas increase rainwater infiltration, reduce flooding, reduce the heat island effect, regulate microclimate, and support air purification, carbon



2025-12-18

More Citation Formats

Articles



Kontak Kami



sequestration and biodiversity. Despite developmental pressures, Menteng's environmentally friendly infrastructure continues to provide ecological functions that are in line with sustainability goals. This study aims to offer insights into improving urban ecology through green infrastructure, specifically green open spaces, and its role in strengthening urban sustainability, livability, and public health.

## Downloads

Download data is not yet available.

## References

Agnes, M., & Naqash, T. M. (2025). Green Infrastructure As Nature-Based Solutions For Water Resources Management In Indonesia. Indonesian Journal Of Urban And Environmental Technology, 8(1), 15–25.

<https://doi.org/10.25105/urbanenvirotech.v8i1.21415>

Alnoza, M. (2020). Menteng: Kota Taman Pertama di Indonesia. Penerbit Kompas.

Bohn, H., & Vilhena, L. C. (2019). Backyard gardens and their role in urban sustainability in Brazil. Sustainability.

Cameron, R. W. F., Blanuša, T., Taylor, J. E., Salisbury, A., Halstead, A. J., Henricot, B., & Thompson, K. (2012). The domestic garden—Its contribution to urban green infrastructure. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening.

Cameron Tijana and Taylor Jon E. and Salisbury Andrew and Halstead Andrew J. and Henricot Béatrix and Thompson Ken, R. W. F. and B. (2012). The domestic garden – Its contribution to urban green infrastructure. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 11(2), 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2012.01.002>

Cheng Edward and Givoni Baruch, V. Y. S. and N. (2021). Outdoor thermal comfort studies in hot and humid climates: A review. Building and Environment, 56, 23–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.03.007>

Cheng, W., Lin, Y., & Zhang, J. (2021). Resilient urban form: A review of the evolution of planning theory. Cities.

Council, W. G. B. (2025). Green Buildings for Everyone, Everywhere. WGBC.

Davern, M., Farrar, A., Kendal, D., & Giles-Corti, B. (2017). Quality green public open space supporting health, wellbeing and biodiversity: A literature review. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening.

de la Barrera, F., Reyes-Paecke, S., Truffello, R., de la Fuente, H., Salinas, V., Villegas, R., & Steiniger, S. (2023). Comparing green spaces provision and accessibility indicators over a latitudinal gradient and multiple climate zones. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 79, 127791. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2022.127791>

Dennis, M., & James, P. (2016). User participation in urban green commons: Exploring the links between access, voluntarism, biodiversity and well being. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening.

Dennis Philip and Aldred Debra, M. and J. (2016). Green infrastructure planning: Cross-sectoral and multi-scalar perspectives. Landscape and Urban Planning, 139, 49–59.



Form Transfer Hak Cipta

View my New Stat



Stat counter View

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.12.013>

Estoque, R. C., Murayama, Y., & Myint, S. W. (2017). Effects of landscape composition and pattern on land surface temperature: An urban heat island study in the megacities of Southeast Asia. *Science of The Total Environment*, 577, 349–359.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.195>

Gaston, K. J., Warren, P. H., Thompson, K., & Smith, R. M. (2005). Urban domestic gardens (IV): the extent of the resource and its associated features. *Biodiversity and Conservation*.

Hanson, H. I., Eckberg, E., Widenberg, M., & Alkan Olsson, J. (2021). Gardens' contribution to people and urban green space. *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127198>

Home M. and Bauer N., R. and H. (2019). Psychological Restorative Effects of Urban Gardens in Switzerland. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 36, 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2018.10.013>

Kim, Y., Yu, S., Li, D., Gatson, S. N., & Brown, R. D. (2022). Linking landscape spatial heterogeneity to urban heat island and outdoor human thermal comfort in Tokyo: Application of the outdoor thermal comfort index. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 87, 104262. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2022.104262>

Kuras, E. R., Warren, M. P., & Gonzalez, M. R. (2020). Urban vegetation for climate change adaptation: A review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*.

Kuras Noel J. and Smith Amanda C., E. R. and R. (2020). The value of small urban green spaces to the local climate: Mapping cooling effects and hot spots in a highly urbanised landscape. *Urban Climate*, 34, 100693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100693>

Lin, B. B., Gaston, K. J., & Fuller, R. A. (2015). Urban vegetation abundance and diversity: ecosystem service implications. *Environmental Science & Policy*.

Lin Justin and Barnett Jon, B. B. and M. (2015). Understanding the potential of green infrastructure to address climate change vulnerabilities in urban areas. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 14(4), 950–956. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2015.09.003>

Locke, D. H., & McPhearson, T. (2018). Urban areas do provide ecosystem services. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 16(4), 203–205. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1796>

Oke TR, Mills G, Christen A, & Voogt JA. (2017). Urban Heat Island. In T. R. Oke, G. Mills, A. Christen, & J. A. Voogt (Eds.), *Urban Climates* (pp. 197–237). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1017/9781139016476.008>

Shashua-Bar, L., & Hoffman, M. E. (2000). Vegetation as a climatic component in the design of an urban street. *Energy and Buildings*, 31(3), 221–235. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(99\)00018-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(99)00018-3)

Silveira, C., Dias, A. T. C., Amaral, F. G., de Gois, G., & Pistón, N. (2024). The importance of private gardens and their spatial composition and configuration to urban heat island mitigation. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105589>

Silver, C. (2008). *Planning the Megacity: Jakarta in the Twentieth Century*. Routledge.

- Smith K.J. and Warren P.H. and Thompson K., R. M. and G. (2005). Urban domestic gardens (V): relationships between landcover composition, housing and landscape. *Landscape Ecology*, 20, 235–253.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-004-3160-0>
- Syukur, A., Putra, I., & Rahmawati, Y. (2025). Tantangan Implementasi Green Building Di Indonesia Dan Malaysia: Comparative Review (Vol. 23, Issue 1).  
<http://dx.doi.org/1025105/agora.v23i1.21757>
- Tan, K., Tan, C. Y., & Tan, T. Y. (2016). *City in a Garden: A Singapore Story*. Centre for Liveable Cities / NParks. <https://www.clc.gov.sg/docs/default-source/books/city-in-a-garden.pdf>
- Tanaka, K., Takano, T., & Nakamura, K. (2013). The effectiveness of traditional gardens in Tokyo as urban green infrastructure. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- Tzoulas, K., & Greening, K. (2011). Urban green infrastructure and health: A review. *Landscape and Urban Planning*.
- van Heezik C. and Porter S. and Dickinson K.J.M., Y. and F. (2013). Garden size, householder knowledge, and socioeconomic status influence plant and bird diversity at the scale of individual gardens. *Ecosystems*, 16, 1442–1454.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-9694-8>
- Zain, A., Pribadi, D., & Indraprahasta, G. (2022). Urban Green Spaces in Indonesia's Green City Development Program. *Urban Studies*.



Dimensions

Platform &  
workflow b  
OJS / PKP



## ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE THROUGH GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE: ANALYZING THE ROLE OF PRIVATE GREEN OPEN SPACES IN TROPICAL MEGACITIES

Cut Sannas Saskia <sup>1\*</sup>, Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari<sup>1</sup>, Punto Wijayanto<sup>1</sup>, Inavonna<sup>1</sup>,  
Teungku Nelly Fatmawati<sup>1</sup>, Akhlish Diinal Aziiz<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Ischak<sup>1</sup>, Wiwi Tjiook<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Architecture, Faculty of Engineering and Planning, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Landscape Architect bij Gemeente Rotterdam, Netherland

**Abstract:** Green open space is decreasing along with urban development. Development in urban areas has an impact on reducing green land which is important for urban resilience. In Jakarta, the reduction in water-absorbing surfaces has exacerbated environmental problems such as flooding, rising temperatures and decreasing biodiversity. This study aims to evaluate the ecological performance of green open spaces, especially in private homes in the Menteng area, Jakarta, which is recognized as the first garden city in Indonesia, as a representation for understanding the role of green open spaces in advancing urban sustainability and climate resilience. The focus is on the extent of private green open space that still exists in the city area, especially Menteng. Using mixed-method spatial analysis with GIS-based mapping and field surveys of more than 85 residential lots, this study applies the Basic Green Coefficient to measure green space coverage. The results show an average coefficient of 44%, indicating substantial integration of green spaces in the built environment. These private green areas increase rainwater infiltration, reduce flooding, reduce the heat island effect, regulate microclimate, and support air purification, carbon sequestration and biodiversity. Despite developmental pressures, Menteng's environmentally friendly infrastructure continues to provide ecological functions that are in line with sustainability goals. This study aims to offer insights into improving urban ecology through green infrastructure, specifically green open spaces, and its role in strengthening urban sustainability, livability, and public health.

**Keywords:** Climate resilience, green infrastructure, livability, private open space, sustainability

### I. INTRODUCTION

Urban areas are becoming increasingly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, particularly the impact of rising temperatures, increased rainfall, flooding and decreased air quality. The message is that the growth of urban areas, characterized by the expansion of infrastructure and reduction of vegetation

and air catchment areas, has increased temperatures in cities (Silveira et al., 2024). This phenomenon known as Urban Heat Island (UHI) is a known effect of urbanization, where urban areas have higher temperatures than rural areas or vegetated areas (Oke TR et al., 2017). Urban Green Infrastructure (UGI) refers to the arrangement of a network of natural and semi-natural green spaces in an urban setting such as public parks, urban forests, wetlands, street trees, gardens, green roofs, and other green areas. Sustainability awareness has influences global attention,

\* <sup>1</sup>) cutsannas@trisakti.ac.id

Diterima: 12 Oktober 2025

Direvisi: 4 Desember 2025

Disetujui: 4 Desember 2025

DOI: 10.23969/infomatek.v27i2.34331

which is realized through SDGs (Syukur et al., 2025), according to (Locke & McPhearson, 2018), UGI as a solution for urban sustainability issues, can be applied to face urban challenges, including air pollution and flooding as an effort to maintain ecosystems that may help reduce the impact of climate change.

Green spaces play an important role in this context, as an ecological, aesthetic, and climate resilience solution that increases water infiltration, regulates microclimate and enhances urban biodiversity (de la Barrera et al., 2023). Recent studies emphasize that small-scale green infrastructure, for example private parks or residential yards, can contribute effectively to microclimate regulation (Kim et al., 2022; Shashua-Bar & Hoffman, 2000). These parks can increase biodiversity amidst the ever-decreasing green areas in the city (Hanson et al., 2021). Such findings are especially remarkable as larger cities, such as Jakarta, are known to be dominated by impervious surfaces, which as mentioned by (Estoque et al., 2017), had Land Surface Temperature (LST) that are on average 3°C higher than green spaces. Although their study focused on large-scale landscapes, it highlights the importance of smaller interventions, including private green spaces such as home gardens and yards. These private spaces, if widely distributed, can make a significant contribution to urban cooling and thermal comfort, especially in areas where public green space is scarce. Therefore, integrating government and private greening is very important to mitigate UHI and improve urban livability (Estoque et al., 2017). The challenges faced by cities call for sustainable urban planning that increases climate resilience in fast-growing tropical cities. In Indonesia, the use of green infrastructures as a water resources

management strategy can be implemented (Agnes & Naqash, 2025)

Menteng is the first colonial residential area in Indonesia, built in the early 1910s with a garden city concept by architects P.A.J. Moojen and F.J.L. Ghijsel. This area is easily recognizable through its unique design which has large open green spaces, wide roads, and colonial-style residences. Menteng's recognizable features are a result of the planner's vision in pursuing space order and ecological aesthetics which include urban gardens and European-style housing. This area, which was originally developed as an exclusive upper middle class European residence, is officially designated as a protected cultural heritage area through Jakarta Governor Decree No. D.IV-6098 of 1975. Even so, urban modernization and changes in land requirements has been a constant threat to the green open space (RTH) in this district.

Menteng has a large proportion of green open spaces, this is present in both public areas and private residential lands, as it was designed in consideration of balance between the built and natural environment. The regulation governing Green Open Spaces in Indonesia is stipulated under the Regulation of the Minister of Agrarian Affairs and Spatial Planning/Head of the National Land Agency of the Republic of Indonesia No. 14 of 2022 on the Provision and Utilization of Green Open Space. Local governments continue to face significant challenges in fulfilling the statutory requirement of allocating at least 20% of urban land area for public green open spaces. As a strategic effort to mitigate climate change and achieve net-zero carbon emission targets, local governments are mandated to ensure the provision of high-quality green open spaces. Green open spaces are categorized into two types: Public Green Open Space is owned,

managed, and/or acquired by municipal or provincial governments, including the Jakarta Special Capital Region, either independently or in collaboration with other governmental bodies and the community, and is designated for public use. In contrast, private Green Open The spatial structure of Menteng including its green spaces and road networks was meticulously designed based on the principles of the garden city concept, as elaborated in the following section: The primary green open spaces originally planned in the Menteng district include Taman Suropati and Taman Cut Meutia. In addition to these major parks, the area also features several secondary green spaces, historically referred to in Dutch as *plantsoen* (small gardens), such as the *Loge Plantsoen* in front of the Bappenas building, the lakeside garden on Jl. Lembang, and the green space on Jl. Sukabumi. The spatial layout of Menteng demonstrates a deliberate arrangement where the park functions as the nucleus of the district. Government buildings and key public facilities are positioned around this central park, while residential zones are configured to radiate outward, maintaining visual and physical orientation toward the center.

In Kubatz's revised urban plan, the concept of a central park remained intact, although the radial housing pattern was loosened, resulting in a more dispersed configuration. Key government buildings continued to encircle the central park, maintaining fidelity to the core tenets of the garden city model. One of the most notable differences between the original plan by P.A.J. Moojen and the revision by Ir. F.J. Kubatz was the elimination of the central round field, which was replaced by the smaller Taman Suropati. The remaining open space was repurposed into a sports field. In 1921, a stadium was constructed in the area, serving as a recreational facility for the Dutch community and the headquarters of the

Voetbalbond Indische Omstreken Sport (VIOS) football club. The site later became what is now known as Taman Kota.

This study analyzed 85 residential and institutional plots in Jakarta's Menteng district using spatial analysis and the Green Basic Coefficient as a quantitative indicator to map green space coverage. This is done in order to examine how this spatial alignment may impact urban sustainability.

Green open space is one of the pillars of sustainable cities and architecture, which contributes to environmental quality, public health and urban resilience (Tzoulas & Greening, 2011). The distribution of green open spaces are the key to sustainable urban communities, as stated in global frameworks such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the New Urban Agenda. In Indonesia, for example, national law stipulates that at least 30% of city areas be maintained as Green Open Space. These policies reflect a widespread understanding that parks, gardens and other vegetated areas are not just amenities, but important "green infrastructure," providing ecosystem services, from cooling urban heat islands to improving air quality and biodiversity (Zain et al., 2022). At the same time, sustainable architecture has evolved to integrate natural elements, blurring the boundary between building and landscape. Crucially, green open spaces yield co-benefits across environmental, social, and economic dimensions of sustainability. Vegetated areas help regulate microclimates, shading surfaces, and cooling ambient temperatures through evapotranspiration, thus countering the urban heat island effect and reducing building energy use for cooling (Council, 2025).

Accessible green spaces provide cultural and health services for urban residents. Studies show that exposure to nature in cities (e.g. visiting parks) improves physical and mental



health outcomes by reducing stress and encouraging exercise (Tzoulas & Greening, 2011). Public green areas also foster social interaction and community cohesion, enhancing quality of life. Well-distributed green open space is now seen as a prerequisite for sustainable, livable cities a principle embraced in global city rankings and programs such as the Green City Index and Indonesia's own Green City Development Program (which explicitly tied the "green city" label to achieving 30% GOS coverage) (Zain et al., 2022). In the context of Jakarta, the Menteng district serves as a relevant case example where private green spaces remain prominent and actively contribute to ecological performance. Menteng's residential plots, with their extensive garden areas, illustrate how private green infrastructure can support stormwater regulation, mitigate heat, and preserve biodiversity within a dense urban setting. Menteng is a residential district that began its development in the early 20th century, the initial development was undertaken by a private real estate company, N.V. Bouwploeg. The original master plan for Menteng was designed by architect P.A.J. Moojen in 1910. Menteng's design was replaced by Ir. F.J. Kubatz, who continued construction in 1922. Menteng holds historical significance as the first residential area in Indonesia to apply the principles of the garden city concept (Alnoza, 2020). The Menteng development is a strategic urban expansion initiative led by the Batavia City Council which adopts the same planning principles. It is hoped that new settlements will provide better health conditions and be better able to adapt to the local climate and environmental context (Tan et al., 2016).

Menteng is the first planned residential area in Batavia, designed as an exclusive living environment. The government aims to showcase the garden city concept through the

development of Menteng, making it unique among other contemporary settlements. Moojen's layout was directly inspired by Howard's garden city principles, incorporating long arterial roads that connected to concentric radial streets and centralized public facilities. However, Menteng distinguished itself from other garden city developments in that its layout was not intended to be spatially isolated or self-contained; instead, it was designed to remain organically connected to its surrounding urban fabric (Silver, 2008). The vital role of greenery in urban environments was articulated over a century ago by the Garden City movement, a foundational theory in urban planning. In practical terms, his model Garden City was a self-contained town surrounded by a permanent greenbelt, with a low density layout featuring generous open spaces, parks, and tree-lined avenues woven among homes and workplace, the Garden City was meant to be "a perfect combination of town and country" that would yield economic efficiency, social harmony, and contact with nature. The Dutch interpretation of the Garden City emphasized integrating greenery and housing at various scales, leaving a lasting imprint on the country's urban form and prompting lessons for today's ecological planning.

"City in a Garden" is a relatively new urban design concept that has been adopted by many cities, such as Singapore. This new ethos incorporates aggressive tree planting, park creation, and tighter green building regulations, which has proven to increase Singapore's green area into being 46% of the city area, improving urban biodiversity and comfort (Tan et al., 2016). It has reinforced the idea that sustainable architecture is not just about energy efficient buildings, but about the relationship those buildings have to their environment. Increasingly, architecture and landscape are conceived together much as in

the original garden suburbs to maximize benefits like passive cooling, daylighting, stormwater control, and biophilic amenities. Beyond Singapore, a country that shows the importance of private green open space in urban sustainability. In the UK, private domestic gardens represent almost a third of all urban green space, as they support ecological biodiversity and microclimate (Gaston et al., 2005). Japan has traditional courtyard gardens (tsuboniwa) built within dense residential areas in cities such as Tokyo helping to cool the urban environment and improve the mental well-being of its residents (Tanaka et al., 2013). In Brazil, informal settlements such as in Belo Horizonte use backyard gardens for food production and as urban cooling, representing the adaptive value of private greening in fragile urban contexts (Bohn & Vilhena, 2019). Meanwhile, in Melbourne, Australia, private yards account for more than half of the urban tree canopy, playing a massive role in the city's climate adaptation strategy (Davern et al., 2017). These precedents and proven improvements made by other countries illustrate important lessons that can be applied to cities in Indonesia, and in the context of this study, Menteng, where greenery continues to play a role in climate adaptation and urban livability.

## II. RESEARCH METHOD

This study deploys a mixed approach, combining quantitative geospatial analysis, qualitative field observations, and review of policy documents. This methodology ensures a robust triangulation across spatial, physical, and regulatory dimensions (Cameron Tijana and Taylor Jon E. and Salisbury Andrew and Halstead Andrew J. and Henricot Béatrix and Thompson Ken, 2012; Dennis Philip and Aldred Debra, 2016). Integrating analytical frameworks using said three aspects are done to measure Private green open space (PGOS) in Menteng. This method has been previously

used as it promotes a more inclusive evaluation of urban green infrastructure (Kuras Noel J. and Smith Amanda C., 2020; Lin Justin and Barnett Jon, 2015). This aligns with recent urban ecological study emphasizing the value of small-scale green spaces in mitigating urban heat, enhancing stormwater infiltration, and promoting biodiversity (Cameron et al., 2012; Cheng Edward and Givoni Baruch, 2021). The fieldwork was conducted in three urban corridors within the Menteng district: Jl. Teuku Umar, Jl. Taman Cut Meutia, and Jl. Taman Suropati. These sites were selected as representative of different architectural styles, vegetation intensity, and diversity of zoning classifications. A total of 85 land parcels were sampled, encompassing residential, governmental, and institutional land uses, in order to capture variation in both form and function. Primary data collection involved in situ field surveys using measuring tapes and GPS devices to record total land area, built-up coverage, and green space extent. Simultaneously, remote sensing data were acquired via ArcGIS Pro, employing 2022 high-resolution satellite imagery alongside cadastral maps from the Jakarta government. These data were used to digitize, geo-reference, and validate parcel boundaries. In line with methods used in previous urban green space studies (Gaston et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2013), the study included visual documentation through geo-tagged photographs and zoning overlays. The Green Basic Coefficient (GBC) was calculated for each parcel using the formula:

$$\text{Green Base Coefficient (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Green Open Space Area}}{\text{Total Land Area}} \right) \times 100\%$$

Detailed table was compiled presenting data from each of the 85 land parcels, including variables such as building name, category, land area, built-up area, green area, and

Green Basic Coefficient (GBC). The area of built-up land and green land is assessed in order to evaluate its compliance with the GBC requirements, as set out in the 2022 Jakarta RDTR and Ministerial Regulation Number 14 of 2022 concerning Provision of RTH. To ensure the data credibility, the triangulation method is applied, comparing measurements obtained from GIS with field findings and regulatory classifications. Such methods of data collection can then be verified through direct survey to each study location (Bohn & Vilhena, 2019; Davern et al., 2017). Ultimately, this method allows for a more thorough assessment of PGOS, as a passive landscape feature and as an active ecology, embedded in the built environment. This way the significance of private green areas toward ecological resilience, which are often overlooked in official urban green infrastructure planning, may be analyzed further.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Green infrastructure policy is shaped by spatial planning instruments that outline minimum environmental standards, highlighting those related to land use and vegetation cover, as shown in Jakarta’s green open space regulations. Such green open space requirements are primarily guided by zoning regulations, dictating minimum Basic Green Coefficient (GBC) to ensure ecological balance in urban areas. In the Draft Governor’s Regulation on Detailed Spatial Planning (RDTR) for 2022, Basic Green Coefficient (GOS) is highlighted as one of the main provisions related to space usage regulations. This coefficient serves as a benchmark for the proportion of land allocated for greenery in relation to the total plot area. Within the Menteng district, zoning classifications have been established, each with specific minimum GOS requirements,

typically ranging around 20%, in line with Ministerial Regulation No. 14/2022.

Table 1. GBC Requirement from Regulatory

Zoning Classification	Minimum GBC Requirement
Zone K	20%
Zone SPU	20%
Zone K	20%
Zone R	20%

These requirements reflect an effort to maintain ecological functions across various urban land uses by mandating a baseline proportion of green open space within each development zone. The residential character of Menteng is defined by building setbacks (rooilijn), which establish the spatial distance between the building and the plot boundaries, particularly at the front. On larger parcels, the primary structure is typically set back from all sides: front, rear, and lateral boundaries, resulting in a detached form that prevents the appearance of row housing and reinforces the distinctive spacious character of the district. On smaller plots, however, side setbacks are often permitted on only one side. Parcel boundaries are generally delineated by solid walls or hedges; in some areas, they are marked by subtle changes in elevation between the street and the plot. Plots facing drainage channels are typically fronted by berms that serve as transitional buffers between the roadway and private lots. The application of the garden city concept is evident in the integration of open spaces populated with low vegetation and shade trees, contributing to the aesthetic and ecological quality of the neighborhood. Menteng, as originally designed by P.A.J. Moojen, adopted a villa-based residential typology, in which private green spaces form an intrinsic part of each property, collectively contributing to the district’s environmental function and urban character. Public roadways

were also designed to include shaded pedestrian paths and communal gardens, enhancing both mobility and visual comfort.



**Figure 1.** Study Area

The overall spatial structure of Menteng, including its network of roads and green open spaces, reflects the fundamental principles of the garden city concept. The primary public green spaces planned for the district include Taman Suropati (formerly Burgermeester Bisschopplein) and Taman Cut Meutia (formerly Van Heutz Plein/ entrée Gondangdia). In addition, several secondary green areas referred to in Dutch as *plantsoen* (small gardens) complement the district's green infrastructure, such as the Loge Plantsoen in front of the Bappenas building, the lakeside garden on Jl. Lembang, and the green space on Jl. Sukabumi. The district's layout centers around the garden as its

nucleus, with civic institutions and public facilities strategically arranged around it, while the residential quarters encircle and orient toward the central park. Kubatz's revised plan, the central garden concept was retained, but the surrounding residential configuration was no longer strictly radial. Instead, it became more dispersed, although government and key institutional buildings continued to frame the central space, maintaining the core intention of the garden city model.

A key distinction between Moojen's and Kubatz's designs lies in the removal of the original circular field, which was replaced by the smaller Taman Suropati. The residual open land was converted into a sports ground. In 1921, a stadium was constructed on the site to serve as a recreational facility for the Dutch colonial community and became the home of the Voetbalbond Indische Omstreken Sport (VIOS) football club. The area is now known as Taman Kota Menteng. The central axis of the Menteng district remains anchored by Taman Suropati, around which primary public institutions such as churches and administrative offices are situated. Menteng is widely recognized as one of Jakarta's most historically significant residential districts, characterized by a unique spatial identity in which villa style homes are enveloped by expansive private gardens.

**Table 2.** Results of green area, built area, and Green Basic Coefficient (GBC) for 85 land parcels in the study area.

No	Building Name	Category	Land Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Built Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Green Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	GBC (%)
1	Office No 1	B	1318	866	452	34%
2	House No 3	B	1233	860	373	30%
3	House No 5	B	2311	887	1424	62%
4	Office Pertimbangan Pajak No 7	C	1866	789	1077	58%
5	Sofian Hotel	C	2014	1501	513	25%
6	Office Departemen Pertanian	C	2153	1713	440	20%
7	Mess Perwira Angkatan Laut	B	5366	1167	4199	78%
8	Mosque Cut Meutia	A	2491	1835	656	26%
9	House A.H Nasution	A	2577	1726	851	33%
10	Embassy Of Vietnam No. 25	C	2477	1652	825	33%

No	Building Name	Category	Land Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Built Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Green Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	GBC (%)
11	House No. 11	B	2625	1836	789	30%
12	Art Gallery Kunstkring No.1	A	3249	731	2518	78%
13	House No.9	B	2629	1376	1253	48%
14	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.5a	C	1271	689	582	46%
15	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.5	C	2500	1393	1107	44%
16	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.11	B	2625	1836	789	30%
17	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.15	B	2543	1527	1016	40%
18	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.17	C	2015	1130	885	44%
19	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.19	B	1807	786	1021	57%
20	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.21	C	2633	1146	1487	56%
21	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.23	B	2542	1190	1352	53%
22	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.27	B	3948	1203	2745	70%
23	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.39	B	1210	920	290	24%
24	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.41	C	1102	639	463	42%
25	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.43	B	1116	622	494	44%
26	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.45	N/A	1162	701	461	40%
27	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.47	C	1127	868	259	23%
28	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.49	C	1114	562	552	50%
29	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.53	C	1539	687	852	55%
30	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.55	C	1150	602	548	48%
31	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.57	C	1654	564	1090	66%
32	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.2	B	2975	698	2277	77%
33	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.26-28	C	2778	683	2095	75%
34	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.30	C	815	497	318	39%
35	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.30a	N/A	614	585	29	5%
36	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.30b	C	1246	900	346	28%
37	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.32	C	2642	1207	1435	54%
38	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.34	C	2849	2235	614	22%
39	Office Iraq Republic Embassy Jl. Teuku Umar No.38	C	2848	1500	1348	47%
40	Museum Jenderal Besar Dr. A.H Nasution Jl. Teuku Umar No.40	B	2577	1726	851	33%
41	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.42-44	C	5131	3306	1825	36%
42	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.46	B	2553	1503	1050	41%
43	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.48	C	2368	1291	1077	45%
44	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.50	C	1730	859	871	50%
45	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.52a-52	C	986	402	584	59%
46	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.54	C	775	480	295	38%
47	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.56	B	932	669	263	28%
48	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.58	B	1058	411	647	61%
49	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.60	C	1605	965	640	40%
50	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.62	C	1391	1056	335	24%
51	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.64	N/A	1486	920	566	38%
52	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.66	C	1499	794	705	47%
53	Embassy Of Egypt	C	1505	1136	369	25%
54	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.70	C	1515	1068	447	30%
55	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.72-74	C	3075	992	2083	68%
56	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.76	C	1576	789	787	50%
57	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 4a	C	341	300	41	12%
58	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 4	C	348	237	111	32%
59	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 6	C	440	187	253	58%
60	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 8	C	1087	905	182	17%
61	Office KPAI Jl. Teuku Umar No. 10-	C	3129	1968	1161	37%

No	Building Name	Category	Land Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Built Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Green Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	GBC (%)
12						
62	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 14	C	1550	698	852	55%
63	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 16	C	288	223	65	23%
64	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 18	C	330	244	86	26%
65	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 22	C	835	519	316	38%
66	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 24	C	1000	563	437	44%
67	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 36	C	2564	2235	329	13%
68	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 37	C	1158	591	567	49%
69	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 33	C	1232	924	308	25%
70	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 27A	C	1312	760	552	42%
71	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 13	C	2629	1774	855	33%
72	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 7	C	3750	1392	2358	63%
73	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 3	C	1914	1255	659	34%
74	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 1A	C	673	350	323	48%
75	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 51	B	1753	574	1179	67%
76	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 55	B	1150	601	549	48%
77	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 59	-	1810	1477	333	18%
78	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 61	-	2084	986	1098	53%
79	House of India Ambassador	B	3945	902	3043	77%
80	House of Jakarta Governor	A	3716	1460	2256	61%
81	House Wakil Dubes Belanda	B	2026	861	1165	58%
82	House of US Ambassador	B	9185	940	8245	90%
83	House No.4	B	4120	963	3157	77%
84	Office A. Yani No.10	A	6875	2267	4608	67%
85	Residence Office of deceased Letjen Suprpto	A	786	260	526	67%
					average	44%
					max	90%
					min	5%

Based on the analyzed data from 85 plots, private green open spaces within residential plots in this area exhibit an average Green Basic Coefficient (GOS) of approximately 44%, with observed values ranging from a minimum of 5% to a maximum of 90%. These results exceed the minimum regulatory standard and confirm that nearly half of each parcel's total area remains unbuilt and vegetated. This high average GOS reflects one of the core spatial characteristics of Menteng's original urban design: the deliberate balance between built structures and natural environments to enhance residents' quality of life. The high proportion of private green spaces does more than contribute to the aesthetic appeal and tranquility of the district as they play a critical

role in ecological functions. The capacity of these spaces to absorb rainwater and reduce surface runoff, mitigates the risks of inundation and flooding. Permeable ground surfaces facilitate groundwater recharge and minimizes surface runoff, supporting urban flood control strategies (de la Barrera et al., 2023). With a high GOS of 44%, Menteng is proven to have better infiltration performance. The area of green land helps to reduce the impact of the Urban Heat Island (UHI). Vegetation cools the surrounding environment through evapotranspiration, this process can reduce the surrounding air temperature. This aligns with findings by Estoque et al. (2017), who observed that areas with green land were able to reduce surface temperatures by up to 3°C. In this case, Menteng has quite a large area of

green land, especially in private housing. Menteng's large private green spaces can significantly improve air quality. Vegetation in Menteng functions as a natural filter for pollutants in the air, capturing dust particles and absorbing harmful gases such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>). Photosynthesis, where plants absorb CO<sub>2</sub> and produce oxygen, has been shown to contribute to climate change mitigation and public health (Hanson et al., 2021). Urban ecology theory states that green land is very important in maintaining the ecological network in the city (Kim et al., 2022). In addition to environmental benefits, green open spaces in Menteng increase urban biodiversity in both city parks and private parks, green spaces allow various species of plants and animals to thrive. Field observations of nesting birds and pollinators in Menteng suggest that this district retains its function as a biodiverse urban habitat.

As densification pressures intensify, urban neighborhoods across Jakarta face the loss of vegetated areas due to unchecked development. However, as shown in Menteng, maintaining high proportions of private green open space enhances a neighborhood's environmental resilience. These findings support the theory that small-scale, widely distributed green infrastructure especially on private plots can contribute meaningfully to urban sustainability goals (Locke & McPhearson, 2018; Shashua-Bar & Hoffman, 2000). Spatial analysis was supported by a street-level visualization of the study area and tabulated data summarizing green area, built area, and calculated GOS for 85 individual land parcels. The table reveals that a majority of parcels maintain a GOS above the 20% regulatory threshold, with a significant number exceeding 44%. This distribution underscores the prevalence of high green coverage across

private plots in Menteng, reinforcing the ecological value embedded in the district's original urban design. Although no formal spatial distribution map or histogram is presented, the detailed tabulation serves as a quantitative representation of Menteng's green space configuration and supports the broader conclusion regarding its high ecological performance.

In summary, the analysis confirms that private green open spaces in Menteng, averaging a GOS of 44%, fulfill critical ecological, social, and planning functions. These values significantly exceed the current minimum regulatory requirement of 20% GOS across all zoning classifications in the district, including Zona K, Zona SPU, and Zona R. This gap suggests that the existing minimum thresholds may no longer reflect the actual ecological potential or needs of the area. As such, there is a strong need for revisiting current zoning regulations to raise the minimum GOS requirement as doing so could help preserve ecological functions, encourage sustainable land use, and reinforce Jakarta's broader climate resilience targets. Study results validate the effectiveness of Menteng's historical planning model and provide a case for more ambitious urban greening standards in similar urban contexts. Water absorption, mitigation of urban heat, improvement of air quality, and biodiversity support are all standards that have been shown through Menteng's spatial organization. With proper policy attention and conservation efforts, Menteng should serve as a replicable model for resilient urban neighborhoods in tropical megacities.

The role of PGO in urban resilience and sustainability have been increasingly highlighted in international studies. In the United Kingdom, private residential gardens

make up to a third of urban green space, as a fragmented ecological network that contributes to biodiversity and local cooling (Gaston et al., 2005). In Japan, traditional *tsuboniwa* (courtyard gardens) offer thermal regulation and psychological benefits in dense urban centers like Tokyo (Tanaka et al., 2013). In Brazil, private backyard gardens in informal settlements have been found to provide food provision, cooling, and urban greening (Bohn & Vilhena, 2019). In Australia, private yards account for over half of Melbourne's urban canopy as part of the city's climate adaptation strategies (Davern et al., 2017). All studies above prove a previous finding which states that small-scale green spaces such as private gardens enhance stormwater absorption, mitigate urban heat, and provide refuge for biodiversity in rapidly urbanizing environments (Lin et al., 2015). Similarly, (Dennis & James, 2016) found that incorporating private green spaces into formal urban planning frameworks can improve both ecological connectivity and urban resilience. Private green patches, although small, collectively offer substantial benefits when their role is formally recognized and managed as part of the broader green infrastructure network (Kuras et al., 2020).

(Cheng et al., 2021) emphasize that integrating green elements in urban design improves resilience against extreme weather and increases urban sustainability performance. Private gardens have the potential to serve as multifunctional urban green spaces that yield benefits for individual homeowners and the broader urban community. (Cameron et al., 2012) stress the role of domestic gardens in urban green infrastructure, as they provide a scalable and cost-effective opportunity to improve city-wide environmental outcomes. However, the extent of these benefits is tied to factors such as garden size, layout, and how they are

managed (Home M. and Bauer N., 2019; Smith K.J. and Warren P.H. and Thompson K., 2005; van Heezik C. and Porter S. and Dickinson K.J.M., 2013). These underline that PGOS, though often overlooked in planning documents, are critical for climate mitigation, adaptation, and enhancing urban livability.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This study confirms the substantial ecological contributions of private green open spaces (PGOS) in Tropical Megacities urban district, demonstrating their value as key assets in climate responsive urban design. With an average Green Basic Coefficient (GBC) of 44% across 85 land parcels significantly exceeding the regulatory minimum of 20%, the findings show that a high proportion of vegetated private land can effectively support multiple ecosystem services. These include enhanced stormwater infiltration, mitigation of the urban heat island effect, carbon sequestration, air purification, and biodiversity support. As such, PGOS play a critical role in reducing urban environmental vulnerability and enhancing livability in tropical megacities. The practical contribution of this study lies in its empirical validation of PGOS performance using parcel-level geospatial analysis and field-based measurements. Theoretically, the study expands current discourse in urban green infrastructure by focusing on the often-overlooked role of privately managed green areas in achieving sustainability goals. Methodologically, the application of the Green Basic Coefficient as a spatial diagnostic tool offers a replicable and policy-relevant metric for assessing urban green performance in contexts where public green spaces are limited. These findings have direct implications for policymakers, urban planners, and regulatory authorities. Given that the actual average GBC exceeds the required minimum by more than double, this study suggests the



need to revise existing zoning regulations to raise the baseline requirement, thereby aligning formal standards with the ecological potential and resilience needs of the area. Conservation-oriented planning approaches can help ensure that legacy districts such as this continue to serve as green infrastructure exemplars within Jakarta's broader climate strategy. Internationally, the study contributes to the growing body of study that emphasizes the integration of small-scale green infrastructure, particularly in private domains as a critical component of climate adaptation frameworks. Cities facing rapid densification and land scarcity may benefit from adopting similar green coefficient-based evaluations to regulate and incentivize vegetation retention in private urban developments. Limitations of this study include its spatial focus on a single urban district with historical planning characteristics, which may not reflect conditions in newer or denser urban areas. Additionally, the study prioritized green space quantity (GBC) rather than qualitative aspects such as vegetation type, health, or biodiversity value. Temporal dynamics such as seasonal variation in green cover were also beyond the study's scope. Future study should expand to cover various urban typologies, employ remote sensing or biodiversity indexing for ecological quality assessment, and examine the longitudinal impacts of PGOS under shifting urbanization pressures. Study exploring incentive models for private greening efforts may further inform policy interventions. In summary, this study demonstrates that private green open spaces, when well-integrated and preserved, significantly contribute to urban climate resilience, ecological function, and quality of life. As cities around the world grapple with climate stressors, revisiting planning regulations to embrace and elevate the value of PGOS will be essential in

achieving sustainable, inclusive, and adaptive urban futures.

## REFERENCES

- Agnes, M., & Naqash, T. M. (2025). Green Infrastructure As Nature-Based Solutions For Water Resources Management In Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal Of Urban And Environmental Technology*, 8(1), 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.25105/urbanenvirotech.v8i1.21415>
- Alnoza, M. (2020). *Menteng: Kota Taman Pertama di Indonesia*. Penerbit Kompas.
- Bohn, H., & Vilhena, L. C. (2019). Backyard gardens and their role in urban sustainability in Brazil. *Sustainability*.
- Cameron, R. W. F., Blanuša, T., Taylor, J. E., Salisbury, A., Halstead, A. J., Henricot, B., & Thompson, K. (2012). The domestic garden—Its contribution to urban green infrastructure. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- Cameron Tijana and Taylor Jon E. and Salisbury Andrew and Halstead Andrew J. and Henricot Béatrix and Thompson Ken, R. W. F. and B. (2012). The domestic garden – Its contribution to urban green infrastructure. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 11(2), 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2012.01.002>
- Cheng Edward and Givoni Baruch, V. Y. S. and N. (2021). Outdoor thermal comfort studies in hot and humid climates: A review. *Building and Environment*, 56, 23–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.03.007>
- Cheng, W., Lin, Y., & Zhang, J. (2021). Resilient urban form: A review of the evolution of planning theory. *Cities*.
- Council, W. G. B. (2025). *Green Buildings for Everyone, Everywhere*. WGBC.

- Davern, M., Farrar, A., Kendal, D., & Giles-Corti, B. (2017). Quality green public open space supporting health, wellbeing and biodiversity: A literature review. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- de la Barrera, F., Reyes-Paecke, S., Truffello, R., de la Fuente, H., Salinas, V., Villegas, R., & Steiniger, S. (2023). Comparing green spaces provision and accessibility indicators over a latitudinal gradient and multiple climate zones. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 79, 127791. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2022.127791>
- Dennis, M., & James, P. (2016). User participation in urban green commons: Exploring the links between access, voluntarism, biodiversity and well being. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- Dennis Philip and Aldred Debra, M. and J. (2016). Green infrastructure planning: Cross-sectoral and multi-scalar perspectives. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 139, 49–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.12.013>
- Estoque, R. C., Murayama, Y., & Myint, S. W. (2017). Effects of landscape composition and pattern on land surface temperature: An urban heat island study in the megacities of Southeast Asia. *Science of The Total Environment*, 577, 349–359. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.195>
- Gaston, K. J., Warren, P. H., Thompson, K., & Smith, R. M. (2005). Urban domestic gardens (IV): the extent of the resource and its associated features. *Biodiversity and Conservation*.
- Hanson, H. I., Eckberg, E., Widenberg, M., & Alkan Olsson, J. (2021). Gardens' contribution to people and urban green space. *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 63.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127198>
- Home M. and Bauer N., R. and H. (2019). Psychological Restorative Effects of Urban Gardens in Switzerland. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 36, 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2018.10.013>
- Kim, Y., Yu, S., Li, D., Gatson, S. N., & Brown, R. D. (2022). Linking landscape spatial heterogeneity to urban heat island and outdoor human thermal comfort in Tokyo: Application of the outdoor thermal comfort index. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 87, 104262. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2022.104262>
- Kuras, E. R., Warren, M. P., & Gonzalez, M. R. (2020). Urban vegetation for climate change adaptation: A review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*.
- Kuras Noel J. and Smith Amanda C., E. R. and R. (2020). The value of small urban green spaces to the local climate: Mapping cooling effects and hot spots in a highly urbanised landscape. *Urban Climate*, 34, 100693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100693>
- Lin, B. B., Gaston, K. J., & Fuller, R. A. (2015). Urban vegetation abundance and diversity: ecosystem service implications. *Environmental Science & Policy*.
- Lin Justin and Barnett Jon, B. B. and M. (2015). Understanding the potential of green infrastructure to address climate change vulnerabilities in urban areas. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 14(4), 950–956. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2015.09.003>
- Locke, D. H., & McPhearson, T. (2018). Urban areas do provide ecosystem services. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*

- Environment*, 16(4), 203–205.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1796>
- Oke TR, Mills G, Christen A, & Voogt JA. (2017). Urban Heat Island. In T. R. Oke, G. Mills, A. Christen, & J. A. Voogt (Eds.), *Urban Climates* (pp. 197–237). Cambridge University Press.  
<https://doi.org/DOI:10.1017/9781139016476.008>
- Shashua-Bar, L., & Hoffman, M. E. (2000). Vegetation as a climatic component in the design of an urban street. *Energy and Buildings*, 31(3), 221–235.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(99\)00018-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(99)00018-3)
- Silveira, C., Dias, A. T. C., Amaral, F. G., de Gois, G., & Pistón, N. (2024). The importance of private gardens and their spatial composition and configuration to urban heat island mitigation. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 112.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105589>
- Silver, C. (2008). *Planning the Megacity: Jakarta in the Twentieth Century*. Routledge.
- Smith K.J. and Warren P.H. and Thompson K., R. M. and G. (2005). Urban domestic gardens (V): relationships between landcover composition, housing and landscape. *Landscape Ecology*, 20, 235–253.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-004-3160-0>
- Syukur, A., Putra, I., & Rahmawati, Y. (2025). *Tantangan Implementasi Green Building Di Indonesia Dan Malaysia: Comparative Review* (Vol. 23, Issue 1).  
<http://dx.doi.org/1025105/agora.v23i1.21757>
- Tan, K., Tan, C. Y., & Tan, T. Y. (2016). *City in a Garden: A Singapore Story*. Centre for Liveable Cities / NParks.  
<https://www.clc.gov.sg/docs/default-source/books/city-in-a-garden.pdf>
- Tanaka, K., Takano, T., & Nakamura, K. (2013). The effectiveness of traditional gardens in Tokyo as urban green infrastructure. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- Tzoulas, K., & Greening, K. (2011). Urban green infrastructure and health: A review. *Landscape and Urban Planning*.
- van Heezik C. and Porter S. and Dickinson K.J.M., Y. and F. (2013). Garden size, householder knowledge, and socioeconomic status influence plant and bird diversity at the scale of individual gardens. *Ecosystems*, 16, 1442–1454.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-9694-8>
- Zain, A., Pribadi, D., & Indraprahasta, G. (2022). Urban Green Spaces in Indonesia's Green City Development Program. *Urban Studies*.

# Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure Analyzing The Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities....

 Universitas Trisakti

---

## Document Details

### Submission ID

trn:oid:::3618:123762096

### Submission Date

Dec 5, 2025, 4:00 PM GMT+7

### Download Date

Dec 5, 2025, 4:02 PM GMT+7

### File Name

Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure Analyzing The Role of Private Green Open S....docx

### File Size

1.8 MB

14 Pages

6,929 Words

38,613 Characters





# 7% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.




## Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text

## Match Groups

-  **30 Not Cited or Quoted 4%**  
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **18 Missing Quotations 3%**  
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**  
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**  
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

## Top Sources

- 5%  Internet sources
- 5%  Publications
- 0%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

## Integrity Flags





### 0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.




Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

## Match Groups

-  **30 Not Cited or Quoted** 4%  
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **18 Missing Quotations** 3%  
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation** 0%  
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted** 0%  
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

## Top Sources

- 5%  Internet sources
- 5%  Publications
- 0%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

## Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

- 1** Publication  
Tresna Maulana Fahrudin, Ibnu Zahy' Atha Illah. "SkinMate: Mobile-Based Applica... <1%
- 2** Internet  
mail.online-journal.unja.ac.id <1%
- 3** Publication  
Helena I. Hanson, Emma Eckberg, Malin Widenberg, Johanna Alkan Olsson. "Gard... <1%
- 4** Internet  
aaltodoc.aalto.fi <1%
- 5** Internet  
link.springer.com <1%
- 6** Internet  
lirias.kuleuven.be <1%
- 7** Internet  
unhabitat.org <1%
- 8** Publication  
Rahmi Amtha, Ferry Sandra, Rosalina Tjandrawinata, Indrayadi Gunardi, Anggrae... <1%
- 9** Internet  
bangjotours.com <1%
- 10** Internet  
www.ecologyjournal.in <1%

11	Internet	www.wyndham.vic.gov.au	<1%
12	Publication	Muhammad Ibrahim, Siqin Wang, Shaokun Lyu, Niaz Ahmad, Muhammad Jamal ...	<1%
13	Publication	Xupan Yue, Wang Liu, Xiaowen Wang, Jintao Yang, Yuxiang Lan, Zhipeng Zhu, Xio...	<1%
14	Internet	iieta.org	<1%
15	Internet	library.acadlore.com	<1%
16	Internet	repozitorij.unizg.hr	<1%
17	Internet	sapiou.silveroakuni.ac.in	<1%
18	Internet	www.hrpub.org	<1%
19	Publication	"Planning Cities with Nature", Springer Nature, 2019	<1%
20	Publication	Chris Boulton, Aysin Dedekorkut-Howes, Meg Holden, Jason Byrne. "How Leaders...	<1%
21	Publication	Thompson, Austin H.. "Shaking the Money Tree: Investigating Public Finance and ...	<1%
22	Publication	Yahaya Maazou Moussa, Ibrahima Thione Diop, Ibrahim Nassirou, Malam Maman...	<1%
23	Internet	etheses.whiterose.ac.uk	<1%
24	Internet	research.vu.nl	<1%

25	Internet	www.researchgate.net	<1%
26	Publication	C. Y. Jim, Wendy Y. Chen. "Urbanization Effect on Floristic and Landscape Patterns...	<1%
27	Publication	Pengfei Wang, Junguo Liu, Shuyu Zhang, Shuman Liu, Soon Keat Tan. "Hydrologic...	<1%
28	Publication	Roberts, Bethany Rose. "The Hidden Ecology of Bumblebees: Using Classical and ...	<1%
29	Publication	Rui Zhu, Galen Newman. "Vacant Land Regeneration - Novel Strategies for Maxim...	<1%
30	Publication	Seonju Jang, Jinhyun Bae, YouJoung Kim. "Street-level urban heat island mitigatio...	<1%
31	Internet	assets-eu.researchsquare.com	<1%
32	Internet	diva-portal.org	<1%
33	Internet	escholarship.org	<1%
34	Internet	mafiadoc.com	<1%
35	Internet	www.biorxiv.org	<1%
36	Internet	www.energy-proceedings.org	<1%
37	Publication	Valerie Dewaelheyns, Pieter Raymaekers, Florian Lange, Kelly Wittemans, Janne T...	<1%
38	Publication	Wang, Ke. "Exploring the Development of Community Parks in Urban-Rural Fring...	<1%





INFOMATEK: Jurnal Informatika, Manajemen dan Teknologi  
Volume xx Nomor x Juni/Desember xxxx

## ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE THROUGH GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE: ANALYZING THE ROLE OF PRIVATE GREEN OPEN SPACES IN TROPICAL MEGACITIES

Cut Sannas Saskia <sup>1\*</sup>, Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari<sup>1</sup>, Punto Wijayanto<sup>1</sup>, Inavonna<sup>1</sup>,  
Teungku Nelly Fatmawati<sup>1</sup>, Akhlish Diinal Aziiz<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Ischak<sup>1</sup>, Wiwi Tjiook<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Architecture, Faculty of Engineering and Planning, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta,  
Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Landscape Architect bij Gemeente Rotterdam

\*cutsannas@trisakti.ac.id

**Abstract:** Green open space is decreasing along with urban development. Development in urban areas has an impact on reducing green land which is important for urban resilience. In Jakarta, the reduction in water-absorbing surfaces has exacerbated environmental problems such as flooding, rising temperatures and decreasing biodiversity. This study aims to evaluate the ecological performance of green open spaces, especially in private homes in the Menteng area, Jakarta, which is recognized as the first garden city in Indonesia, as a representation for understanding the role of green open spaces in advancing urban sustainability and climate resilience. The focus is on the extent of private green open space that still exists in the city area, especially Menteng. Using mixed-method spatial analysis with GIS-based mapping and field surveys of more than 85 residential lots, this study applies the Basic Green Coefficient to measure green space coverage. The results show an average coefficient of 44%, indicating substantial integration of green spaces in the built environment. These private green areas increase rainwater infiltration, reduce flooding, reduce the heat island effect, regulate microclimate, and support air purification, carbon sequestration and biodiversity. Despite developmental pressures, Menteng's environmentally friendly infrastructure continues to provide ecological functions that are in line with sustainability goals. This study aims to offer insights into improving urban ecology through green infrastructure, specifically green open spaces, and its role in strengthening urban sustainability, livability, and public health.

**Keywords:** Climate resilience, green infrastructure, livability, private open space, sustainability

### I. INTRODUCTION

Urban areas are becoming increasingly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, particularly the impact of rising temperatures, increased rainfall, flooding and decreased air quality. The message is that the growth of urban areas, characterized by the expansion of infrastructure and reduction of vegetation and air catchment areas, has increased

temperatures in cities (Silveira et al., 2024). This phenomenon known as Urban Heat Island (UHI) is a known effect of urbanization, where urban areas have higher temperatures than rural areas or vegetated areas (Oke TR et al., 2017). Urban Green Infrastructure (UGI) refers to the arrangement of a network of natural and semi-natural green spaces in an urban setting such as public parks, urban

1 forests, wetlands, street trees, gardens, green roofs, and other green areas. Sustainability awareness has influences global attention, which is realized through SDGs (Syukur et al., 2025), according to (Locke & McPhearson, 2018), UGI as a solution for urban sustainability issues, can be applied to face urban challenges, including air pollution and flooding as an effort to maintain ecosystems that may help reduce the impact of climate change.

13 Green spaces play an important role in this context, as an ecological, aesthetic, and climate resilience solution that increases water infiltration, regulates microclimate and enhances urban biodiversity (de la Barrera et al., 2023). Recent studies emphasize that small-scale green infrastructure, for example private parks or residential yards, can contribute effectively to microclimate regulation (Kim et al., 2022; Shashua-Bar & Hoffman, 2000). These parks can increase biodiversity amidst the ever-decreasing green areas in the city (Hanson et al., 2021). Such findings are especially remarkable as larger cities, such as Jakarta, are known to be dominated by impervious surfaces, which as mentioned by (Estoque et al., 2017), had Land Surface Temperature (LST) that are on average 3°C higher than green spaces. Although their study focused on large-scale landscapes, it highlights the importance of smaller interventions, including private green spaces such as home gardens and yards. 2 These private spaces, if widely distributed, can make a significant contribution to urban cooling and thermal comfort, especially in areas where public green space is scarce. 12 Therefore, integrating government and private greening is very important to mitigate UHI and improve urban livability (Estoque et al., 2017). The challenges faced by cities call for sustainable urban planning that increases

climate resilience in fast-growing tropical cities. In Indonesia, the use of green infrastructures as a water resources management strategy can be implemented (Agnes & Naqash, 2025)

Menteng is the first colonial residential area in Indonesia, built in the early 1910s with a garden city concept by architects P.A.J. Moojen and F.J.L. Ghijsel. This area is easily recognizable through its unique design which has large open green spaces, wide roads, and colonial-style residences. Menteng's recognizable features are a result of the planner's vision in pursuing space order and ecological aesthetics which include urban gardens and European-style housing. This area, which was originally developed as an exclusive upper middle class European residence, is officially designated as a protected cultural heritage area through Jakarta Governor Decree No. D.IV-6098 of 1975. Even so, urban modernization and changes in land requirements has been a constant threat to the green open space (RTH) in this district.

Menteng has a large proportion of green open spaces, this is present in both public areas and private residential lands, as it was designed in consideration of balance between the built and natural environment. The regulation governing Green Open Spaces in Indonesia is stipulated under the Regulation of the Minister of Agrarian Affairs and Spatial Planning/Head of the National Land Agency of the Republic of Indonesia No. 14 of 2022 on the Provision and Utilization of Green Open Space. Local governments continue to face significant challenges in fulfilling the statutory requirement of allocating at least 20% of urban land area for public green open spaces. As a strategic effort to mitigate climate change and achieve net-zero carbon emission targets, local governments are mandated to ensure the

provision of high-quality green open spaces. Green open spaces are categorized into two types: Public Green Open Space is owned, managed, and/or acquired by municipal or provincial governments, including the Jakarta Special Capital Region, either independently or in collaboration with other governmental bodies and the community, and is designated for public use. In contrast, private Green Open The spatial structure of Menteng including its green spaces and road networks was meticulously designed based on the principles of the garden city concept, as elaborated in the following section: The primary green open spaces originally planned in the Menteng district include Taman Suropati and Taman Cut Meutia. In addition to these major parks, the area also features several secondary green spaces, historically referred to in Dutch as *plantsoen* (small gardens), such as the *Loge Plantsoen* in front of the Bappenas building, the lakeside garden on Jl. Lembang, and the green space on Jl. Sukabumi. The spatial layout of Menteng demonstrates a deliberate arrangement where the park functions as the nucleus of the district. Government buildings and key public facilities are positioned around this central park, while residential zones are configured to radiate outward, maintaining visual and physical orientation toward the center.

In Kubatz's revised urban plan, the concept of a central park remained intact, although the radial housing pattern was loosened, resulting in a more dispersed configuration. Key government buildings continued to encircle the central park, maintaining fidelity to the core tenets of the garden city model. One of the most notable differences between the original plan by P.A.J. Moojen and the revision by Ir. F.J. Kubatz was the elimination of the central round field, which was replaced by the smaller Taman Suropati. The remaining open space

was repurposed into a sports field. In 1921, a stadium was constructed in the area, serving as a recreational facility for the Dutch community and the headquarters of the Voetbalbond Indische Omstreken Sport (VIOS) football club. The site later became what is now known as Taman Kota.

This study analyzed 85 residential and institutional plots in Jakarta's Menteng district using spatial analysis and the Green Basic Coefficient as a quantitative indicator to map green space coverage. This is done in order to examine how this spatial alignment may impact urban sustainability.

Green open space is one of the pillars of sustainable cities and architecture, which contributes to environmental quality, public health and urban resilience (Tzoulas & Greening, 2011). The distribution of green open spaces are the key to sustainable urban communities, as stated in *global frameworks such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the New Urban Agenda*. In Indonesia, for example, national law stipulates that at least 30% of city areas be maintained as Green Open Space. These policies reflect a widespread understanding that parks, gardens and other vegetated areas are not just amenities, but important "green infrastructure," *providing ecosystem services, from cooling urban heat islands to improving air quality and biodiversity* (Zain et al., 2022). At the same time, sustainable architecture has evolved to integrate natural elements, blurring the boundary between building and landscape. Crucially, green open spaces yield co-benefits across environmental, social, and economic dimensions of sustainability. Vegetated areas help regulate microclimates, shading surfaces, and cooling ambient temperatures through evapotranspiration, thus *countering the urban heat island effect and reducing building energy use for cooling* (Council, 2025).

Accessible green spaces provide cultural and health services for urban residents. Studies show that exposure to nature in cities (e.g. visiting parks) improves physical and mental health outcomes by reducing stress and encouraging exercise (Tzoulas & Greening, 2011). Public green areas also foster social interaction and community cohesion, enhancing quality of life. Well-distributed green open space is now seen as a prerequisite for sustainable, livable cities a principle embraced in global city rankings and programs such as the Green City Index and Indonesia's own Green City Development Program (which explicitly tied the "green city" label to achieving 30% GOS coverage) (Zain et al., 2022). In the context of Jakarta, the Menteng district serves as a relevant case example where private green spaces remain prominent and actively contribute to ecological performance. Menteng's residential plots, with their extensive garden areas, illustrate how private green infrastructure can support stormwater regulation, mitigate heat, and preserve biodiversity within a dense urban setting. Menteng is a residential district that began its development in the early 20th century, the initial development was undertaken by a private real estate company, N.V. Bouwploeg. The original master plan for Menteng was designed by architect P.A.J. Moojen in 1910. Menteng's design was replaced by Ir. F.J. Kubatz, who continued construction in 1922. Menteng holds historical significance as the first residential area in Indonesia to apply the principles of the garden city concept (Alnoza, 2020). The Menteng development is a strategic urban expansion initiative led by the Batavia City Council which adopts the same planning principles. It is hoped that new settlements will provide better health conditions and be better able to adapt to the

local climate and environmental context (Tan et al., 2016).

Menteng is the first planned residential area in Batavia, designed as an exclusive living environment. The government aims to showcase the garden city concept through the development of Menteng, making it unique among other contemporary settlements. Moojen's layout was directly inspired by Howard's garden city principles, incorporating long arterial roads that connected to concentric radial streets and centralized public facilities. However, Menteng distinguished itself from other garden city developments in that its layout was not intended to be spatially isolated or self-contained; instead, it was designed to remain organically connected to its surrounding urban fabric (Silver, 2008). The vital role of greenery in urban environments was articulated over a century ago by the Garden City movement, a foundational theory in urban planning. In practical terms, his model Garden City was a self-contained town surrounded by a permanent greenbelt, with a low density layout featuring generous open spaces, parks, and tree-lined avenues woven among homes and workplace, the Garden City was meant to be "a perfect combination of town and country" that would yield economic efficiency, social harmony, and contact with nature. The Dutch interpretation of the Garden City emphasized integrating greenery and housing at various scales, leaving a lasting imprint on the country's urban form and prompting lessons for today's ecological planning.

"City in a Garden" is a relatively new urban design concept that has been adopted by many cities, such as Singapore. This new ethos incorporates aggressive tree planting, park creation, and tighter green building regulations, which has proven to increase Singapore's green area into being 46% of the

city area, improving urban biodiversity and comfort (Tan et al., 2016). It has reinforced the idea that sustainable architecture is not just about energy efficient buildings, but about the relationship those buildings have to their environment. Increasingly, architecture and landscape are conceived together much as in the original garden suburbs to maximize benefits like passive cooling, daylighting, stormwater control, and biophilic amenities. Beyond Singapore, a country that shows the importance of private green open space in urban sustainability. In the UK, private domestic gardens represent almost a third of all urban green space, as they support ecological biodiversity and microclimate (Gaston et al., 2005). Japan has traditional courtyard gardens (tsuboniwa) built within dense residential areas in cities such as Tokyo helping to cool the urban environment and improve the mental well-being of its residents (Tanaka et al., 2013). In Brazil, informal settlements such as in Belo Horizonte use backyard gardens for food production and as urban cooling, representing the adaptive value of private greening in fragile urban contexts (Bohn & Vilhena, 2019). Meanwhile, in Melbourne, Australia, private yards account for more than half of the urban tree canopy, playing a massive role in the city's climate adaptation strategy (Davern et al., 2017). These precedents and proven improvements made by other countries illustrate important lessons that can be applied to cities in Indonesia, and in the context of this study, Menteng, where greenery continues to play a role in climate adaptation and urban livability.

## II. STUDY METHOD

This study deploys a mixed approach, combining quantitative geospatial analysis, qualitative field observations, and review of

policy documents. This methodology ensures a robust triangulation across spatial, physical, and regulatory dimensions (Cameron Tijana and Taylor Jon E. and Salisbury Andrew and Halstead Andrew J. and Henricot Béatrix and Thompson Ken, 2012; Dennis Philip and Aldred Debra, 2016). Integrating analytical frameworks using said three aspects are done to measure Private green open space (PGOS) in Menteng. This method has been previously used as it promotes a more inclusive evaluation of urban green infrastructure (Kuras Noel J. and Smith Amanda C., 2020; Lin Justin and Barnett Jon, 2015). This aligns with recent urban ecological study emphasizing the value of small-scale green spaces in mitigating urban heat, enhancing stormwater infiltration, and promoting biodiversity (Cameron et al., 2012; Cheng Edward and Givoni Baruch, 2021). The fieldwork was conducted in three urban corridors within the Menteng district: Jl. Teuku Umar, Jl. Taman Cut Meutia, and Jl. Taman Suropati. These sites were selected as representative of different architectural styles, vegetation intensity, and diversity of zoning classifications. A total of 85 land parcels were sampled, encompassing residential, governmental, and institutional land uses, in order to capture variation in both form and function. Primary data collection involved in situ field surveys using measuring tapes and GPS devices to record total land area, built-up coverage, and green space extent. Simultaneously, remote sensing data were acquired via ArcGIS Pro, employing 2022 high-resolution satellite imagery alongside cadastral maps from the Jakarta government. These data were used to digitize, geo-reference, and validate parcel boundaries. In line with methods used in previous urban green space studies (Gaston et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2013), the study included visual documentation through geo-tagged

photographs and zoning overlays. The Green Basic Coefficient (GBC) was calculated for each parcel using the formula:

$$\text{Green Base Coefficient (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Green Open Space Area}}{\text{Total Land Area}} \right) \times 100\%$$

Detailed table was compiled presenting data from each of the 85 land parcels, including variables such as building name, category, land area, built-up area, green area, and Green Basic Coefficient (GBC). The area of built-up land and green land is assessed in order to evaluate its compliance with the GBC requirements, as set out in the 2022 Jakarta RDTR and Ministerial Regulation Number 14 of 2022 concerning Provision of RTH. To

### III. FINDING AND DISCUSSION

Green infrastructure policy is shaped by spatial planning instruments that outline minimum environmental standards, highlighting those related to land use and vegetation cover, as shown in Jakarta's green open space regulations. Such green open space requirements are primarily guided by zoning regulations, dictating minimum Basic Green Coefficient (GBC) to ensure ecological balance in urban areas. In the Draft Governor's Regulation on Detailed Spatial Planning (RDTR) for 2022, Basic Green Coefficient (GOS) is highlighted as one of the main provisions related to space usage regulations. This coefficient serves as a benchmark for the proportion of land allocated for greenery in relation to the total plot area. Within the Menteng district, zoning classifications have been established, each with specific minimum GOS requirements, typically ranging around 20%, in line with Ministerial Regulation No. 14/2022.

ensure the data credibility, the triangulation method is applied, comparing measurements obtained from GIS with field findings and regulatory classifications. Such methods of data collection can then be verified through direct survey to each study location (Bohn & Vilhena, 2019; Davern et al., 2017). Ultimately, this method allows for a more thorough assessment of PGOS, as a passive landscape feature and as an active ecology, embedded in the built environment. This way the significance of private green areas toward ecological resilience, which are often overlooked in official urban green infrastructure planning, may be analyzed further.

**Table 1.** GBC Requirement from Regulatory

Zoning Classification	Minimum GBC Requirement
Zone K	20%
Zone SPU	20%
Zone K	20%
Zone R	20%

These requirements reflect an effort to maintain ecological functions across various urban land uses by mandating a baseline proportion of green open space within each development zone. The residential character of Menteng is defined by building setbacks (rooilijn), which establish the spatial distance between the building and the plot boundaries, particularly at the front. On larger parcels, the primary structure is typically set back from all sides: front, rear, and lateral boundaries, resulting in a detached form that prevents the appearance of row housing and reinforces the distinctive spacious character of the district. On smaller plots, however, side setbacks are often permitted on only one side. Parcel



boundaries are generally delineated by solid walls or hedges; in some areas, they are marked by subtle changes in elevation between the street and the plot. Plots facing drainage channels are typically fronted by berms that serve as transitional buffers between the roadway and private lots. The application of the garden city concept is evident in the integration of open spaces populated with low vegetation and shade trees, contributing to the aesthetic and ecological quality of the neighborhood. Menteng, as originally designed by P.A.J. Moojen, adopted a villa-based residential typology, in which private green spaces form an intrinsic part of each property, collectively contributing to the district's environmental function and urban character. Public roadways were also designed to include shaded pedestrian paths and communal gardens, enhancing both mobility and visual comfort.



### Figure 1. Study Area

The overall spatial structure of Menteng, including its network of roads and green open spaces, reflects the fundamental principles of the garden city concept. The primary public green spaces planned for the district include Taman Suropati (formerly Burgermeester Bisschopplein) and Taman Cut Meutia (formerly Van Heutz Plein/ entrée Gondangdia). In addition, several secondary

green areas referred to in Dutch as *plantsoen* (small gardens) complement the district's green infrastructure, such as the Loge Plantsoen in front of the Bappenas building, the lakeside garden on Jl. Lembang, and the green space on Jl. Sukabumi. The district's layout centers around the garden as its nucleus, with civic institutions and public facilities strategically arranged around it, while the residential quarters encircle and orient toward the central park. Kubatz's revised plan, the central garden concept was retained, but the surrounding residential configuration was no longer strictly radial. Instead, it became more dispersed, although government and key institutional buildings continued to frame the central space, maintaining the core intention of the garden city model.

A key distinction between Moojen's and Kubatz's designs lies in the removal of the original circular field, which was replaced by the smaller Taman Suropati. The residual open land was converted into a sports ground. In 1921, a stadium was constructed on the site to serve as a recreational facility for the Dutch colonial community and became the home of the Voetbalbond Indische Omstreken Sport (VIOS) football club. The area is now known as Taman Kota Menteng. The central axis of the Menteng district remains anchored by Taman Suropati, around which primary public institutions such as churches and administrative offices are situated. Menteng is widely recognized as one of Jakarta's most historically significant residential districts, characterized by a unique spatial identity in which villa style homes are enveloped by expansive private gardens.

**Table 2.** Results of green area, built area, and Green Basic Coefficient (GBC) for 85 land parcels in the study area.

No	Building Name	Category	Land Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Built Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Green Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	GBC (%)
1	Office No 1	B	1318	866	452	34%
2	House No 3	B	1233	860	373	30%
3	House No 5	B	2311	887	1424	62%
4	Office Pertimbangan Pajak No 7	C	1866	789	1077	58%
5	Sofian Hotel	C	2014	1501	513	25%
6	Office Departemen Pertanian	C	2153	1713	440	20%
7	Mess Perwira Angkatan Laut	B	5366	1167	4199	78%
8	Mosque Cut Meutia	A	2491	1835	656	26%
9	House A.H Nasution	A	2577	1726	851	33%
10	Embassy Of Vietnam No. 25	C	2477	1652	825	33%
11	House No. 11	B	2625	1836	789	30%
12	Art Gallery Kunstkring No.1	A	3249	731	2518	78%
13	House No.9	B	2629	1376	1253	48%
14	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.5a	C	1271	689	582	46%
15	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.5	C	2500	1393	1107	44%
16	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.11	B	2625	1836	789	30%
17	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.15	B	2543	1527	1016	40%
18	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.17	C	2015	1130	885	44%
19	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.19	B	1807	786	1021	57%
20	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.21	C	2633	1146	1487	56%
21	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.23	B	2542	1190	1352	53%
22	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.27	B	3948	1203	2745	70%
23	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.39	B	1210	920	290	24%
24	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.41	C	1102	639	463	42%
25	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.43	B	1116	622	494	44%
26	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.45	N/A	1162	701	461	40%
27	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.47	C	1127	868	259	23%
28	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.49	C	1114	562	552	50%
29	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.53	C	1539	687	852	55%
30	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.55	C	1150	602	548	48%
31	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.57	C	1654	564	1090	66%
32	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.2	B	2975	698	2277	77%
33	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.26-28	C	2778	683	2095	75%
34	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.30	C	815	497	318	39%
35	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.30a	N/A	614	585	29	5%
36	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.30b	C	1246	900	346	28%
37	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.32	C	2642	1207	1435	54%
38	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.34	C	2849	2235	614	22%
39	Office Iraq Republic Embassy Jl. Teuku Umar No.38	C	2848	1500	1348	47%
40	Museum Jenderal Besar Dr. A.H Nasution Jl. Teuku Umar No.40	B	2577	1726	851	33%
41	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.42-44	C	5131	3306	1825	36%
42	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.46	B	2553	1503	1050	41%
43	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.48	C	2368	1291	1077	45%
44	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.50	C	1730	859	871	50%
45	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.52a-52	C	986	402	584	59%
46	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.54	C	775	480	295	38%



No	Building Name	Category	Land Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Built Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Green Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	GBC (%)
47	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.56	B	932	669	263	28%
48	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.58	B	1058	411	647	61%
49	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.60	C	1605	965	640	40%
50	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.62	C	1391	1056	335	24%
51	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.64	N/A	1486	920	566	38%
52	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.66	C	1499	794	705	47%
53	Embassy Of Egypt	C	1505	1136	369	25%
54	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.70	C	1515	1068	447	30%
55	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.72-74	C	3075	992	2083	68%
56	House Jl. Teuku Umar No.76	C	1576	789	787	50%
57	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 4a	C	341	300	41	12%
58	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 4	C	348	237	111	32%
59	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 6	C	440	187	253	58%
60	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 8	C	1087	905	182	17%
61	Office KPAI Jl. Teuku Umar No. 10-12	C	3129	1968	1161	37%
62	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 14	C	1550	698	852	55%
63	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 16	C	288	223	65	23%
64	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 18	C	330	244	86	26%
65	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 22	C	835	519	316	38%
66	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 24	C	1000	563	437	44%
67	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 36	C	2564	2235	329	13%
68	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 37	C	1158	591	567	49%
69	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 33	C	1232	924	308	25%
70	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 27A	C	1312	760	552	42%
71	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 13	C	2629	1774	855	33%
72	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 7	C	3750	1392	2358	63%
73	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 3	C	1914	1255	659	34%
74	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 1A	C	673	350	323	48%
75	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 51	B	1753	574	1179	67%
76	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 55	B	1150	601	549	48%
77	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 59	-	1810	1477	333	18%
78	House Jl. Teuku Umar No. 61	-	2084	986	1098	53%
79	House of India Ambassador	B	3945	902	3043	77%
80	House of Jakarta Governor	A	3716	1460	2256	61%
81	House Wakil Dubes Belanda	B	2026	861	1165	58%
82	House of US Ambassador	B	9185	940	8245	90%
83	House No.4	B	4120	963	3157	77%
84	Office A. Yani No.10	A	6875	2267	4608	67%
85	Residence Office of deceased Letjen Suprpto	A	786	260	526	67%
						average 44%
						max 90%
						min 5%

Based on the analyzed data from 85 plots, private green open spaces within residential plots in this area exhibit an average Green Basic Coefficient (GOS) of approximately 44%, with observed values ranging from a minimum of 5% to a maximum of 90%. These results exceed the minimum regulatory

standard and confirm that nearly half of each parcel's total area remains unbuilt and vegetated. This high average GOS reflects one of the core spatial characteristics of Menteng's original urban design: the deliberate balance between built structures and natural environments to enhance

residents' quality of life. The high proportion of private green spaces does more than contribute to the aesthetic appeal and tranquility of the district as they play a critical role in ecological functions. The capacity of these spaces to absorb rainwater and reduce surface runoff, mitigates the risks of inundation and flooding. Permeable ground surfaces facilitate groundwater recharge and minimizes surface runoff, supporting urban flood control strategies (de la Barrera et al., 2023). With a high GOS of 44%, Menteng is proven to have better infiltration performance. The area of green land helps to reduce the impact of the Urban Heat Island (UHI). Vegetation cools the surrounding environment through evapotranspiration, this process can reduce the surrounding air temperature. This aligns with findings by Estoque et al. (2017), who observed that areas with green land were able to reduce surface temperatures by up to 3°C. In this case, Menteng has quite a large area of green land, especially in private housing. Menteng's large private green spaces can significantly improve air quality. Vegetation in Menteng functions as a natural filter for pollutants in the air, capturing dust particles and absorbing harmful gases such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>). Photosynthesis, where plants absorb CO<sub>2</sub> and produce oxygen, has been shown to contribute to climate change mitigation and public health (Hanson et al., 2021). Urban ecology theory states that green land is very important in maintaining the ecological network in the city (Kim et al., 2022). In addition to environmental benefits, green open spaces in Menteng increase urban biodiversity in both city parks and private parks, green spaces allow various species of plants and animals to thrive. Field observations of nesting birds and pollinators in

Menteng suggest that this district retains its function as a biodiverse urban habitat.

As densification pressures intensify, urban neighborhoods across Jakarta face the loss of vegetated areas due to unchecked development. However, as shown in Menteng, maintaining high proportions of private green open space enhances a neighborhood's environmental resilience. These findings support the theory that small-scale, widely distributed green infrastructure especially on private plots can contribute meaningfully to urban sustainability goals (Locke & McPhearson, 2018; Shashua-Bar & Hoffman, 2000). Spatial analysis was supported by a street-level visualization of the study area and tabulated data summarizing green area, built area, and calculated GOS for 85 individual land parcels. The table reveals that a majority of parcels maintain a GOS above the 20% regulatory threshold, with a significant number exceeding 44%. This distribution underscores the prevalence of high green coverage across private plots in Menteng, reinforcing the ecological value embedded in the district's original urban design. Although no formal spatial distribution map or histogram is presented, the detailed tabulation serves as a quantitative representation of Menteng's green space configuration and supports the broader conclusion regarding its high ecological performance.

In summary, the analysis confirms that private green open spaces in Menteng, averaging a GOS of 44%, fulfill critical ecological, social, and planning functions. These values significantly exceed the current minimum regulatory requirement of 20% GOS across all zoning classifications in the district, including Zona K, Zona SPU, and Zona R. This gap suggests that the existing minimum thresholds may no longer reflect the actual ecological potential or needs of the area. As such, there is

4 a strong need for revisiting current zoning regulations to raise the minimum GOS requirement as doing so could help preserve ecological functions, encourage sustainable land use, and reinforce Jakarta's broader climate resilience targets. Study results validate the effectiveness of Menteng's historical planning model and provide a case for more ambitious urban greening standards in similar urban contexts. Water absorption, mitigation of urban heat, improvement of air quality, and biodiversity support are all standards that have been shown through Menteng's spatial organization. With proper policy attention and conservation efforts, Menteng should serve as a replicable model for resilient urban neighborhoods in tropical megacities.

3  
28  
5  
33  
21 The role of PGO in urban resilience and sustainability have been increasingly highlighted in international studies. In the United Kingdom, private residential gardens make up to a third of urban green space, as a fragmented ecological network that contributes to biodiversity and local cooling (Gaston et al., 2005). In Japan, traditional tsuboniwa (courtyard gardens) offer thermal regulation and psychological benefits in dense urban centers like Tokyo (Tanaka et al., 2013). In Brazil, private backyard gardens in informal settlements have been found to provide food provision, cooling, and urban greening (Bohn & Vilhena, 2019). In Australia, private yards account for over half of Melbourne's urban canopy as part of the city's climate adaptation strategies (Davern et al., 2017). All studies above prove a previous finding which states that small-scale green spaces such as private gardens enhance stormwater absorption, mitigate urban heat, and provide refuge for biodiversity in rapidly urbanizing environments (Lin et al., 2015). Similarly, (Dennis & James, 2016) found that incorporating private green

spaces into formal urban planning frameworks can improve both ecological connectivity and urban resilience. Private green patches, although small, collectively offer substantial benefits when their role is formally recognized and managed as part of the broader green infrastructure network (Kuras et al., 2020).

(Cheng et al., 2021) emphasize that integrating green elements in urban design improves resilience against extreme weather and increases urban sustainability performance. Private gardens have the potential to serve as multifunctional urban green spaces that yield benefits for individual homeowners and the broader urban community. (Cameron et al., 2012) stress the role of domestic gardens in urban green infrastructure, as they provide a scalable and cost-effective opportunity to improve city-wide environmental outcomes. However, the extent of these benefits is tied to factors such as garden size, layout, and how they are managed (Home M. and Bauer N., 2019; Smith K.J. and Warren P.H. and Thompson K., 2005; van Heezik C. and Porter S. and Dickinson K.J.M., 2013). These underline that PGOS, though often overlooked in planning documents, are critical for climate mitigation, adaptation, and enhancing urban livability.

## V. CONCLUSION

This study confirms the substantial ecological contributions of private green open spaces (PGOS) in Tropical Megacities urban district, demonstrating their value as key assets in climate responsive urban design. With an average Green Basic Coefficient (GBC) of 44% across 85 land parcels significantly exceeding the regulatory minimum of 20%, the findings show that a high proportion of vegetated private land can effectively support multiple ecosystem services. These include enhanced stormwater infiltration, mitigation of

the urban heat island effect, carbon sequestration, air purification, and biodiversity support. As such, PGOS play a critical role in reducing urban environmental vulnerability and enhancing livability in tropical megacities. The practical contribution of this study lies in its empirical validation of PGOS performance using parcel-level geospatial analysis and field-based measurements. Theoretically, the study expands current discourse in urban green infrastructure by focusing on the often-overlooked role of privately managed green areas in achieving sustainability goals. Methodologically, the application of the Green Basic Coefficient as a spatial diagnostic tool offers a replicable and policy-relevant metric for assessing urban green performance in contexts where public green spaces are limited. These findings have direct implications for policymakers, urban planners, and regulatory authorities. Given that the actual average GBC exceeds the required minimum by more than double, this study suggests the need to revise existing zoning regulations to raise the baseline requirement, thereby aligning formal standards with the ecological potential and resilience needs of the area. Conservation-oriented planning approaches can help ensure that legacy districts such as this continue to serve as green infrastructure exemplars within Jakarta's broader climate strategy. Internationally, the study contributes to the growing body of study that emphasizes the integration of small-scale green infrastructure, particularly in private domains as a critical component of climate adaptation frameworks. Cities facing rapid densification and land scarcity may benefit from adopting similar green coefficient-based evaluations to regulate and incentivize vegetation retention in private urban developments. Limitations of this study include its spatial focus on a single urban district with historical planning

characteristics, which may not reflect conditions in newer or denser urban areas. Additionally, the study prioritized green space quantity (GBC) rather than qualitative aspects such as vegetation type, health, or biodiversity value. Temporal dynamics such as seasonal variation in green cover were also beyond the study's scope. Future study should expand to cover various urban typologies, employ remote sensing or biodiversity indexing for ecological quality assessment, and examine the longitudinal impacts of PGOS under shifting urbanization pressures. Study exploring incentive models for private greening efforts may further inform policy interventions. In summary, this study demonstrates that private green open spaces, when well-integrated and preserved, significantly contribute to urban climate resilience, ecological function, and quality of life. As cities around the world grapple with climate stressors, revisiting planning regulations to embrace and elevate the value of PGOS will be essential in achieving sustainable, inclusive, and adaptive urban futures.

## REFERENCES

- Agnes, M., & Naqash, T. M. (2025). Green Infrastructure As Nature-Based Solutions For Water Resources Management In Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal Of Urban And Environmental Technology*, 8(1), 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.25105/urbanenvirotech.v8i1.21415>
- Alnoza, M. (2020). *Menteng: Kota Taman Pertama di Indonesia*. Penerbit Kompas.
- Bohn, H., & Vilhena, L. C. (2019). Backyard gardens and their role in urban sustainability in Brazil. *Sustainability*.
- Cameron, R. W. F., Blanuša, T., Taylor, J. E., Salisbury, A., Halstead, A. J., Henricot, B., & Thompson, K. (2012). The domestic garden—Its contribution to

- urban green infrastructure. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- Cameron Tijana and Taylor Jon E. and Salisbury Andrew and Halstead Andrew J. and Henricot Béatrix and Thompson Ken, R. W. F. and B. (2012). The domestic garden – Its contribution to urban green infrastructure. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 11(2), 129–137.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2012.01.002>
- Cheng Edward and Givoni Baruch, V. Y. S. and N. (2021). Outdoor thermal comfort studies in hot and humid climates: A review. *Building and Environment*, 56, 23–37.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.03.007>
- Cheng, W., Lin, Y., & Zhang, J. (2021). Resilient urban form: A review of the evolution of planning theory. *Cities*.
- Council, W. G. B. (2025). *Green Buildings for Everyone, Everywhere*. WGBC.
- Davern, M., Farrar, A., Kendal, D., & Giles-Corti, B. (2017). Quality green public open space supporting health, wellbeing and biodiversity: A literature review. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- de la Barrera, F., Reyes-Paecke, S., Truffello, R., de la Fuente, H., Salinas, V., Villegas, R., & Steiniger, S. (2023). Comparing green spaces provision and accessibility indicators over a latitudinal gradient and multiple climate zones. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 79, 127791.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2022.127791>
- Dennis, M., & James, P. (2016). User participation in urban green commons: Exploring the links between access, voluntarism, biodiversity and well being. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- Dennis Philip and Aldred Debra, M. and J. (2016). Green infrastructure planning: Cross-sectoral and multi-scalar perspectives. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 139, 49–59.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.12.013>
- Estoque, R. C., Murayama, Y., & Myint, S. W. (2017). Effects of landscape composition and pattern on land surface temperature: An urban heat island study in the megacities of Southeast Asia. *Science of The Total Environment*, 577, 349–359.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.195>
- Gaston, K. J., Warren, P. H., Thompson, K., & Smith, R. M. (2005). Urban domestic gardens (IV): the extent of the resource and its associated features. *Biodiversity and Conservation*.
- Hanson, H. I., Eckberg, E., Widenberg, M., & Alkan Olsson, J. (2021). Gardens' contribution to people and urban green space. *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 63.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127198>
- Home M. and Bauer N., R. and H. (2019). Psychological Restorative Effects of Urban Gardens in Switzerland. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 36, 12–20.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2018.10.013>
- Kim, Y., Yu, S., Li, D., Gatson, S. N., & Brown, R. D. (2022). Linking landscape spatial heterogeneity to urban heat island and outdoor human thermal comfort in Tokyo: Application of the outdoor thermal comfort index. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 87, 104262.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2022.104262>
- Kuras, E. R., Warren, M. P., & Gonzalez, M. R. (2020). Urban vegetation for climate change adaptation: A review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*.
- Kuras Noel J. and Smith Amanda C., E. R. and R. (2020). The value of small urban green spaces to the local climate: Mapping cooling effects and hot spots in a highly urbanised landscape. *Urban Climate*, 34, 100693.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100693>



- Lin, B. B., Gaston, K. J., & Fuller, R. A. (2015). Urban vegetation abundance and diversity: ecosystem service implications. *Environmental Science & Policy*.
- Lin Justin and Barnett Jon, B. B. and M. (2015). Understanding the potential of green infrastructure to address climate change vulnerabilities in urban areas. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 14(4), 950–956.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2015.09.003>
- Locke, D. H., & McPhearson, T. (2018). Urban areas do provide ecosystem services. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 16(4), 203–205.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1796>
- Oke TR, Mills G, Christen A, & Voogt JA. (2017). Urban Heat Island. In T. R. Oke, G. Mills, A. Christen, & J. A. Voogt (Eds.), *Urban Climates* (pp. 197–237). Cambridge University Press.  
<https://doi.org/DOI:10.1017/9781139016476.008>
- Shashua-Bar, L., & Hoffman, M. E. (2000). Vegetation as a climatic component in the design of an urban street. *Energy and Buildings*, 31(3), 221–235.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(99\)00018-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(99)00018-3)
- Silveira, C., Dias, A. T. C., Amaral, F. G., de Gois, G., & Pistón, N. (2024). The importance of private gardens and their spatial composition and configuration to urban heat island mitigation. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 112.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105589>
- Silver, C. (2008). *Planning the Megacity: Jakarta in the Twentieth Century*. Routledge.
- Smith K.J. and Warren P.H. and Thompson K., R. M. and G. (2005). Urban domestic gardens (V): relationships between landcover composition, housing and landscape. *Landscape Ecology*, 20, 235–253. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-004-3160-0>
- Syukur, A., Putra, I., & Rahmawati, Y. (2025). *Tantangan Implementasi Green Building Di Indonesia Dan Malaysia: Comparative Review* (Vol. 23, Issue 1).  
<http://dx.doi.org/1025105/agora.v23i1.21757>
- Tan, K., Tan, C. Y., & Tan, T. Y. (2016). *City in a Garden: A Singapore Story*. Centre for Liveable Cities / NParks.  
<https://www.clc.gov.sg/docs/default-source/books/city-in-a-garden.pdf>
- Tanaka, K., Takano, T., & Nakamura, K. (2013). The effectiveness of traditional gardens in Tokyo as urban green infrastructure. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*.
- Tzoulas, K., & Greening, K. (2011). Urban green infrastructure and health: A review. *Landscape and Urban Planning*.
- van Heezik C. and Porter S. and Dickinson K.J.M., Y. and F. (2013). Garden size, householder knowledge, and socioeconomic status influence plant and bird diversity at the scale of individual gardens. *Ecosystems*, 16, 1442–1454.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-9694-8>
- Zain, A., Pribadi, D., & Indraprahasta, G. (2022). Urban Green Spaces in Indonesia's Green City Development Program. *Urban Studies*.

# Cut Sannas S\_Ecological Resilience through Green Infrastructure\_Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spac...

 Universitas Trisakti

## Document Details

### Submission ID

trn:oid::3618:116340240

### Submission Date

Oct 12, 2025, 1:36 PM GMT+7

### Download Date

Oct 12, 2025, 1:37 PM GMT+7

### File Name

Cut Sannas S\_Ecological Resilience through Green Infrastructure\_Analyzing the Role of Private Gr....pdf

### File Size

1.7 MB

15 Pages

7,606 Words

41,012 Characters

## \*% detected as AI

AI detection includes the possibility of false positives. Although some text in this submission is likely AI generated, scores below the 20% threshold are not surfaced because they have a higher likelihood of false positives.

**Caution: Review required.**

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

### Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (i.e., our AI models may produce either false positive results or false negative results), so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

## Frequently Asked Questions

### How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (\*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

### What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.





[Home](#) / [Archives](#) / Vol. 27 No. 2 (2025): Desember 2025 (In Press)

## Vol. 27 No. 2 (2025): Desember 2025 (In Press)

INFOMATEK: Jurnal Informatika, Manajemen dan Teknologi merupakan jurnal perencanaan, pengembangan dan penerapan teknologi yang mewadahi hasil penelitian dan kegiatan ilmiah lainnya di bidang INFORMATIKA, MANAJEMEN dan TEKNOLOGI. Jurnal ini ber tujuan untuk mendesiminasikan hasil dharma penelitian dan kegiatan ilmiah lainnya kepada masyarakat umum, termasuk komunitas ilmiah demi kemajuan bersama. Lingkup Jurnal Infomatek meliputi: Teknik dan Manajemen Industri Teknik Mesin Teknologi Pangan Teknik Informatika Teknik Lingkungan Teknik Planologi, Perencanaan Wilayah Perkotaan Bidang teknik yang lain Jurnal Infomatek terbit 2 kali setahun yaitu pada bulan Juni dan bulan Desember.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.23969/infomatek.v27i2>

**Published:** 2025-10-30

### Articles

#### Analisis Dampak Implementasi Aplikasi D'journey terhadap Pengalaman Pelanggan Wisata dan Trip di PT Jasa dan Kepariwisata Jawa Barat

Irsan Faudy Inggrianto

187-194



#### Implementasi Sistem Informasi Berbasis Odoo dalam Mendukung Tata Kelola Keuangan Sekolah Berbasis Digital

Nurul Fajri, Fadhilah Eka Rahayu, Andi Ayatullah Mappatunru, Nur Azizah Eka Budiarti

195-202



#### Mitigasi Bencana Banjir di Karanganyar Demak: Kajian Sosial dan Edukatif dalam Pendidikan IPS

Muhammad Fathul Mujib, Noor Fatmawati, Dany Miftah M. Nur

203-214



#### Perencanaan Jaringan Distribusi Utama (JDU) di Kecamatan Subang, Cibogo dan Pagaden, Kabupaten Subang

Amalia Nuraini, Mohamad Ranga Sururi

215-228



#### Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities

Cut Sannas Saskia, Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari, Punto Wijayanto, Inavonna Inavonna, Teungku Nelly Fatmawati, Akhlis Diinal Aziiz, Mohammad Ischak, Wiwi Tjiok

229-242



Sertifikat Sinta 4

Memasukkan Naskah

Topik dan Tema

Petunjuk bagi Penulis

Ethics Statement

Tim Editor

Mitra Bestari dan Proses Review

Biaya Publikasi

Indeksasi

Kebijakan Open Access

Hak Cipta

Login

Register

Kontak Kami





[Form Transfer Hak Cipta](#)

[View my New Stat](#)



[Stat counter View](#)



Platform &  
workflow b  
OJS / PK

[Home](#) / [About the Journal](#)

## About the Journal

### Tujuan dan Lingkup Tema

Jurnal INFOMATEK merupakan jurnal perencanaan, pengembangan dan penerapan teknologi yang mawadahi hasil penelitian dan kegiatan ilmiah lainnya di bidang INFORMATIKA, MANAJEMEN dan TEKNOLOGI. Jurnal ini ber tujuan untuk mendesiminasikan hasil dharma penelitian dan kegiatan ilmiah lainnya kepada masyarakat umum, termasuk komunitas ilmiah demi kemajuan bersama.

### Lingkup Jurnal Infomatek meliputi:

1. Teknik dan Manajemen Industri
2. Teknik Mesin
3. Teknologi Pangan
4. Teknik Informatika
5. Teknik Lingkungan
6. Teknik Planologi, Perencanaan Wilayah Perkotaan
7. Bidang teknik yang lain

Jurnal Infomatek terbit 2 kali setahun yaitu pada bulan Juni dan bulan Desember.



Pemasukan manuskrip dapat dilakukan dengan pengiriman melalui sistem submission OJS.



### KEBIJAKAN REDAKSI

Naskah *disubmit* melalui OJS secara elektronik. Pastikan mengirimkan file naskah dalam bentuk MSWord document yang *editable* (dapat diedit). Periksa secara rutin submission untuk melihat perkembangan proses *editorial*, *review*, *copyediting* hingga *production*. Lihat dan gunakan bagian *discussion* untuk menjalin korespondensi dengan editor.

Penulis tidak diperkenankan untuk mengirim naskah yang sama ke penerbit jurnal lainnya apabila naskah tersebut masih dalam proses di jurnal ini. Jika penulis ingin membatalkan *submission*, maka harus menginformasikan melalui OJS, dengan fitur yang tersedia atau mengirimkan pesan kepada editor melalui ruang-ruang diskusi (*discussion*). Pembatalan *submission* hanya dapat dilakukan apabila proses belum mencapai tahap *copyediting*.

### Etika Publikasi Umum

Kode etika publikasi ilmiah yang digunakan adalah Peraturan Kepala LIPI Nomor 5 Tahun 2014 tentang Kode Etika Publikasi Ilmiah, yang pada intinya Kode Etika Publikasi Ilmiah ini intinya menjunjung tiga nilai etik dalam publikasi, yaitu

- Kenetralan, yakni bebas dari pertentangan kepentingan dalam pengelolaan publikasi;
- Keadilan, yakni memberikan hak kepengarangan kepada yang berhak sebagai pengarang/penulis; dan
- Kejujuran, yakni bebas dari duplikasi, fabrikasi, falsifikasi, dan plagiarisme (DF2P) dalam publikasi.

### Tanggungjawab dan Etika Penyunting (plagiarism, gaya selingkung, aim and scope)

1. Penyunting Jurnal INFOMATEK bertanggung jawab untuk memutuskan tulisan ilmiah yang dapat dipublikasi.


[Sertifikat Sinta 4](#)
[Memasukkan Naskah](#)
[Topik dan Tema](#)
[Petunjuk bagi Penulis](#)
[Ethics Statement](#)
[Tim Editor](#)
[Mitra Bestari dan Proses Review](#)
[Biaya Publikasi](#)
[Indeksasi](#)
[Kebijakan Open Access](#)
[Hak Cipta](#)
[Login](#)
[Register](#)
[Kontak Kami](#)


Keputusan ini ditetapkan dalam rapat dewan penyunting. Penyunting memperhatikan hukum yang berlaku mengenai pelanggaran hak cipta, plagiarisme dan pencemaran nama baik.

2. Memeriksa kesesuaian format tulisan ilmiah, dan melakukan korespondensi dengan penulis hingga format tersebut terpenuhi
3. Menentukan 2 mitra bestari untuk mereview tulisan ilmiah yang telah sesuai format.
4. Tim penyunting tidak akan mengungkapkan setiap informasi tentang naskah atau artikel yang masuk kecuali atas izin penulisnya.
5. Artikel yang tidak dipublikasi dalam Jurnal INFOMATEK tidak akan digunakan untuk keperluan apapun oleh tim penyunting.

#### Tanggungjawab dan Etika Mitra Bestari

Mitra Bestari membantu penyunting dalam membuat keputusan terhadap naskah/artikel yang masuk dilihat dari mutu tulisan ilmiah.

1. Mitra bestari bertanggungjawab terhadap pemeriksaan kualitas artikel yang *direview*
2. Mitra bestari *mereview* secara adil, objektif dan menggunakan kaidah ilmiah yang berlaku
3. Mitra bestari merahasiakan informasi mengenai naskah yang *direview*.
4. Mitra bestari memeriksa sitasi referensi serta potensi plagiarisme pada artikel yang *direview*.

#### Tanggungjawab dan Etika Penulis

1. Penulis bertanggung jawab terhadap tulisan yang dikirimkan ke Jurnal INFOMATEK, tidak mengandung pencemaran nama baik, pelanggaran hak cipta, plagiarisme, fabrikasi data, dll.
2. Penulis harus memenuhi kaidah penulisan artikel ilmiah sesuai dengan gaya selingkung Jurnal INFOMATEK.
3. Penulis harus mematuhi persyaratan publikasi berupa orisinalitas karya, tidak plagiat, dan belum pernah diterbitkan di jurnal atau publikasi lain.
4. Penulis dilarang mengirimkan/menerbitkan artikel yang sama ke lebih dari satu jurnal atau publikasi.
5. Penulis tidak berkeberatan jika naskah mengalami penyuntingan tanpa mengubah substansi atau ide pokok tulisan.



Form Transfer Hak Cipta

[View my New Stat](#)



[Stat counter View](#)



Platform &  
workflow b  
OJS / PKP

[Home](#) / [Editors](#)

## Editors

### Editor/ Dewan penyunting

- Editor in Chief/ Ketua: Dr. Ir. Bambang Ariantera, MT. (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Vice Chief Editor/ Wakil Ketua: Rizki Wahyuniardi, ST., MT. (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Editor: Dr. Dade Nurjanah (Universitas Telkom) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Editor: Dr. Mohamad Satori (Universitas Islam Bandung) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Editor: Dr. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Editor: Dr. Willy Pranata Widjaja (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Editor: Dr. Ririn Dwi Agustin (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Editor: Dr. Ari Djamiko (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Sinta](#))

[Surat Keputusan Tim Editor Infomatek \(periode 2021-2022\)](#)
[Surat Keputusan Tim Editor Infomatek \(periode 2018-2020\)](#)

[Sertifikat Sinta 4](#)
[Memasukkan Naskah](#)
[Topik dan Tema](#)
[Petunjuk bagi Penulis](#)
[Ethics Statement](#)
[Tim Editor](#)
[Mitra Bestari dan Proses Review](#)
[Biaya Publikasi](#)
[Indeksasi](#)
[Kebijakan Open Access](#)
[Hak Cipta](#)
[Login](#)
[Register](#)
[Kontak Kami](#)


[Home](#) / [Reviewer dan Proses Review](#)

## Reviewer dan Proses Review

### Reviewer/ Mitra Bestari

- Dr. Dikdik Kurnia (Universitas Padjadjaran) ([Google Scholar](#), [Scopus](#), [Sinta](#))
- Purnomo Yustianto, PhD (Dinas Komunikasi dan Informatika Daerah Provinsi Jawa Barat) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#))
- Ridwan Sutriadi, Ph.D (Institut Teknologi Bandung) ([Google Scholar](#), [Scopus](#), [Sinta](#))
- Dr. Fadjari Lucia Nugroho (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Dr. Yogi Yogaswara (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Sri Widayati, ST., MT. (Universitas Islam Bandung) ([Google Scholar](#), [Scopus](#), [Sinta](#))
- Dr. Budi Heri Pirngadi (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Dr. Rini Triani (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#)), ([Sinta](#))
- Dr. Dedi Lazuardi (Universitas Pasundan) ([Google Scholar](#)), ([Scopus](#)), ([Sinta](#))

[Surat Keputusan Tim Mitra Bestari \(2021-2022\)](#)

[Surat Keputusan Tim Mitra Bestari \(2018-2020\)](#)

Artikel yang masuk akan direview oleh 2 mitra bestari. Bahasa yang digunakan untuk jurnal ini adalah Bahasa Indonesia.



Sertifikat Sinta 4

Memasukkan Naskah

Topik dan Tema

Petunjuk bagi Penulis

Ethics Statement

Tim Editor

Mitra Bestari dan Proses Review

Biaya Publikasi

Indeksasi

Kebijakan Open Access

Hak Cipta

Login

Register

Kontak Kami



# [INFOMATEK] Submission Acknowledgement

External

Inbox x



**Bambang Ariantara** <adminjurnal@unpas.ac.id>

to me ▼

Sun, Oct 12, 1:50 PM



Cut Sannas Saskia:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing The Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities" to **Infomatek**. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/infomatek/authorDashboard/submission/34331>

Username: cutsannas





If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Bambang Ariantara



Submission Files

Q Search

▶		126644	Cut Sannas S_Ecological Resilience through Green Infrastructure_Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities.docx	October 12, 2025	Article Text
▶		126645	Turnitin_AI Detection_Cut Sannas S_Ecological Resilience through Green Infrastructure_Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities.pdf	October 12, 2025	Other
▶		126646	Turnitin_Similarity_Cut Sannas S_Ecological Resilience through Green Infrastructure_Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities.pdf	October 12, 2025	Other
▶		126647	Cut Sannas S_Ecological Resilience through Green Infrastructure_Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities.pdf	October 12, 2025	Article Text

Download All Files

# [INFOMATEK] Editor Decision

External

Inbox x



**Dr. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani** <adminjurnal@unpas.ac.id>

Thu, Dec 4, 1:46 PM (1 day ago)



to me, Maria, Punto, Inavonna, Teungku, Akhlish, Mohammad, Wiwi ▼

Cut Sannas Saskia, Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari, Punto Wijayanto, Inavonna Inavonna, Teungku Nelly Fatmawati, Akhlish Diinal Aziiz, Mohammad Ischak, Wiwi Tjiok:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to **Infomatek**, "Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing The Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail ⓘ





**INFOMATEK**

**Bandung, 4 Desember 2025**

Kepada YKH.

Ibu/Bpk/Sdr. **Cut Sannas Saskia, Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari, Punto Wijayanto, Inavonna, Teungku Nelly Fatmawati, Akhliah Diinal Aziiz, Mohammad Ischak, Wiwi Tjiok**

### **Letter of Acceptance**

Melalui surat ini kami sampaikan bahwa data berikut:

Judul artikel : **ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE THROUGH GREEN  
INFRASTRUCTURE: ANALYZING THE ROLE OF PRIVATE  
GREEN OPEN SPACES IN TROPICAL MEGACITIES**

Dinyatakan **diterima untuk diterbitkan** pada INFOMATEK: Jurnal Informatika, Manajemen dan Teknologi Volume 27, Nomor 2.

Terima kasih atas kepercayaan dan kerjasama penulis pada Jurnal INFOMATEK.

Hormat kami,

Prof. Dr. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani  
Editor  
Jurnal INFOMATEK  
Fakultas Teknik – UNIVERSITAS PASUNDAN  
Jl. Dr. Setiabudhi 193 Bandung 40153  
[yonik@unpas.ac.id](mailto:yonik@unpas.ac.id)  
<http://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/infomatek/>

Round 1 Status

Submission accepted.

Notifications

[[INFOMATEK](#)] [Editor Decision](#)

2025-12-04 06:46 AM


Reviewer's Attachments

 Search

 134176

[34331 RVW 01.docx](#)

December 2, 2025

 134204

[34331 RVW 02.docx](#)

December 2, 2025

Revisions

 Search

Upload File



 134213

[34331 revision.docx](#)

December 2, 2025

Article Text

# [INFOMATEK] New notification from Infomatek

External

Inbox x



**Dr. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani** <adminjurnal@unpas.ac.id>

to me ▼

Thu, Dec 4, 2:05 PM (1 day ago)



You have a new notification from Infomatek:

You have been added to a discussion titled "LOA, Copyright transfer and Payment" regarding the submission "Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing The Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities".

Link: <https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/infomatek/authorDashboard/submission/34331>

Bambang Ariantara






Participants

Dr. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani (reviewer06)

Cut Sannas Saskia (cutsannas)

Messages

Note	From
<p>Kepada Penulis, manuskrip sedang kami layout untuk diterbitkan di Infomatek. LOA kami kirimkan sebagai lampiran pesan ini. Mohon (1) Isi form copyright transfer dan kirimkan kepada kami dengan membalas pesan ini. Blanko copyright transfer dapat diunduh di tautan ini: <a href="https://drive.google.com/file/d/1jEEpMn6OEB6sqIxG-W72FPrURSyU048r/view?usp=sharing">https://drive.google.com/file/d/1jEEpMn6OEB6sqIxG-W72FPrURSyU048r/view?usp=sharing</a>; (2) Melakukan pembayaran sesuai dengan invoice yang kami sampaikan di lampiran paling lambat 8 Desember 2025. Terima kasih.</p> <p> <a href="#">34331 LOA INFOMATEK Des 2025 (4).pdf</a></p> <p> <a href="#">34331 Invoice INFOMATEK Desember 2025.pdf</a></p>	<p>reviewer06 2025-12-04 07:01 AM</p>
<p>► Terima kasih atas informasi yang telah disampaikan terkait proses layout dan rencana penerbitan manuskrip saya di jurnal Infomatek. Saya menyampaikan apresiasi dan ucapan terima kasih yang sebesar-besarnya kepada Tim Editorial atas diterimanya artikel ini. Saya telah melakukan pembayaran sesuai dengan invoice yang diberikan, dan bukti transfer telah saya lampirkan melalui email ke alamat <a href="mailto:yonik@unpas.ac.id">yonik@unpas.ac.id</a></p>	<p>cutsannas 2025-12-05 12:12 AM</p>
<p>► Terlampir untuk form copyright transfer</p> <p> <a href="#">Form copyright transfer JCBEEM English_Cut Sannas.pdf</a></p>	<p>cutsannas 2025-12-05 12:21 AM</p>

# Article Acceptance and Payment Confirmation (Vol. 27 No. 2)



**Cut Sannas Saskia** <cutsannas@trisakti.ac.id>  
to yonik, adminjurnal@unpas.ac.id ▾

📧 7:11 AM (8 hours ago)



Dear **INFOMATEK** team,

Thank you very much for confirming the acceptance of my article for publication.

Please allow me to express my sincere appreciation to the editorial team for accepting and processing my manuscript entitled Ecological resilience through green infrastructure: analyzing the role of private green open spaces in tropical megacities

I would also like to inform you that the payment has been successfully transferred. The proof of payment is attached in this email for your reference.

Once again, thank you for this valuable opportunity. I look forward to the publication of the article.

Kind regards,

Cut Sannas Saskia

---

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail ⓘ





### **COPYRIGHTS TRANSFER**

I, the undersigned here, am the corresponding author of manuscript that submitted to the Journal of Community Based Environmental Engineering and Management, with data as followed:

Name : Cut Sannas Saskia

Affiliation : Universitas Trisakti

Email/ phone : cutsannas@trisakti.ac.id/081269077774

Manuscript Title : Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities

On behalf of all authors\*, namely:

1. Cut Sannas Saskia
2. Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari
3. Punto Wijayanto
4. Inavonna
5. Teungku Nelly Fatmawati
6. Akhlish Diinal Aziiz
7. Mohammad Ischak
8. Wiwi Tjiok

Certify that:

1. This submitted manuscript is original and is not a translation from other sources nor copy from other published articles/ script.
2. The manuscript is only submitted to the Journal of Community Based Environmental Engineering and Management, and not in the process of submission to be published in other media.

I will take full responsibility if there are claims and or disputes from other parties who feel aggrieved by the publication of this text. I submit this copyrights transfer to the manager of the Journal of Community Based Environmental Engineering and Management for publication.

Thus, herewith I write this statement to be used as it should.

*Jakarta, 5<sup>th</sup> December 2025*

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'CutSannas'.

*(Cut Sannas Saskia)*

\*) including corresponding author





INFOMATEK

Bandung, 4 Desember 2025

Kepada YKH.

Ibu/Bpk/Sdr. **Cut Sannas Saskia, Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari, Punto Wijayanto, Inavonna, Teungku Nelly Fatmawati, Akhlis Diinal Aziiz, Mohammad Ischak, Wiwi Tjiok**

## INVOICE

**Rp 250.000,00 (*Dua ratus lima puluh ribu rupiah*)\***

---

*Invoice* ini ditujukan untuk biaya publikasi karya ilmiah di Jurnal INFOMATEK yang dikelola oleh Fakultas Teknik Universitas Pasundan dengan keterangan sebagai berikut :

---

Judul artikel : **ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE THROUGH GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE: ANALYZING THE ROLE OF PRIVATE GREEN OPEN SPACES IN TROPICAL MEGACITIES**

Volume : 27

Nomor : 2

*\*Biaya ini meliputi biaya review, editing, doi number, formatting serta biaya penerbitan.*

Metode pembayaran:

Transfer melalui bank : BNI46 norek 0225048346 cabang Perguruan Tinggi Bandung, an. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani

**Penting: Mohon menyelesaikan pembayaran paling lambat 8 Desember 2025, kemudian mengirimkan foto bukti pembayaran melalui email ([yonik@unpas.ac.id](mailto:yonik@unpas.ac.id)) atau media komunikasi lainnya.**

Hormat kami,

Dr. Yonik Meilawati Yustiani  
Editor  
Jurnal INFOMATEK  
Fakultas Teknik – UNIVERSITAS PASUNDAN  
Jl. Dr. Setiabudhi 193 Bandung 40153  
[yonik@unpas.ac.id](mailto:yonik@unpas.ac.id)  
<http://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/infomatek/>



Transfer berhasil

Rp250.000

05 Des 2025 • 07:03:43 WIB •  
Ref ID: 202512050703380000027

Penerima

Ibu YONIK MEILAWATI YUSTIANI  
BNI • 0225048346

Sumber dana

CUT SANNAS SASKIA  
\*\*\*\*\*954

Detail transfer

Nominal	Rp250.000
Biaya transaksi	Rp0
Catatan	Publikasi Karya Ilmiah

Total

Rp250.000

# [INFOMATEK] Editor Decision

External

Inbox x



## Editorial Infomatek

1:06 PM (15 minutes ago)



to me, Maria, Punto, Inavonna, Teungku, Akhlish, Mohammad, Wiwi ▼

Cut Sannas Saskia, Maria Immaculata Ririk Winandari, Punto Wijayanto, Inavonna Inavonna, Teungku Nelly Fatmawati, Akhlish Diinal Aziiz, Mohammad Ischak, Wiwi Tjiok:

The editing of your submission, "Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing The Role of Private Green Open Spaces in Tropical Megacities," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/infomatek/authorDashboard/submission/34331>

↩ Reply

↩↩ Reply all

➦ Forward

WorkflowPublication

SubmissionReviewCopyeditingProduction

Copyediting Discussions					Add discussion
Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed	
<a href="#">LOA, Copyright transfer and Payment</a>	reviewer06 2025-12-04 07:01 AM	cutsannas 2025-12-05 12:21 AM	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Copyedited				Q Search
	138481	32117 Galley.doc	December 18, 2025	Article Text
	138482	34331 Galley dg.doc	December 18, 2025	Article Text

Status: Published

This version has been published and can not be edited.

Title & Abstract

Bahasa Indonesia

English

Contributors

Metadata

References

Galleys

Prefix

Examples: A, The



Title



Ecological Resilience Through Green Infrastructure: Analyzing the Role of Private Green Open Spaces

Subtitle



Abstract

**B**

*I*

$\times^2$

$\times_2$



Green open space is decreasing along with urban development. Development in urban areas has an